DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + +

## MEETING

þ-----» IN THE MATTER OF: Case #10-251-00245 & 11-251-001-00146 Cafe Dupont, LLC t/a Cafe Citron : Show 1343 Connecticut Avenue NW : Cause License #60138 : Hearing Retailer CR ANC-2B Allowed the Establishment to : be used for an Unlawful or : Disorderly Purpose and Failed: to Comply With Security Plan: b-----<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>

January 25, 2012

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., Ruthanne Miller, Chairperson, presiding.

## PRESENT

RUTHANNE MILLER, Chairperson CALVIN NOPHLIN, Member NICK ALBERTI, Member DONALD BROOKS, Member

MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JEANNETTE MOBLEY, Member HERMAN JONES, Member

## T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Opening Statement by the Government . . . . 3

Opening Statement by the Applicant. . . . . 6

Closing Argument by the Government. . . . 193

Closing Argument by the Applicant . . . . 198

WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

Shannon Williams 15 45

Greg Stewart 132 140 142 150

Clelia

Berindoague 152 158 190 192

EXHIBITS: IDENTIFIED RECEIVED

GOVERNMENT:

Exhibit 2 Investigator's

Report 15 120

Exhibit 1 Police Report 17 17

LICENSEE:

Exhibit 1 153 155

cases, Case #11-251-00146 relating to an

22

1 incident that occurred on April 27, 2011.

And Case #10-251-00245 relating to an incident that occurred on October 9, 2010.

The offer consist of a fine of \$5,000. \$1,000 relates to charge 1 which is a violation of D.C. Official Code 25-823(2) of the 2001 edition, and \$2,000 for charge 2, and \$2,000 for charge 3 which relate to a violation of D.C. Official Code 25-823(6). This fine to be paid within 60 days, the violations to be considered as secondary violations for purposes of future penalties.

The Government considered a number of factors in arriving at this offer. The establishment's license was suspended, we believe, for 32 days. In the summary suspension proceeding relating to Case #11-251-00146. We believe that period of suspension to be sufficient to cover all the charges in this case.

As the Board is aware, a penalty in this case does not have to include a

suspension of the license. The Board has the power to suspend or fine a licensee, or both, or revoke a license for violations of Title 25 of the D.C. Code under D.C. Official Code 25-823(1) of the 2001 edition.

The penalties in this case accord with the level of fines that are set by law.

Under D.C. Official Code 25-830(f) of the 2001 edition violations that are not listed on the schedule of fines, which is found at 23 DCMR 800, and none of these violations are so listed, may be fined as primary tier violations consistent with D.C. Official Code 25-830(c)(1) of the 2001 edition.

The fines in this range for the offenses at issue are between a range of \$1,000 and \$2,000 inclusive. We note that the licensee's prior adjudicated violations are for secondary offenses. For purposes of this offer, as I indicated, the charges are treated as secondary charges when calculating future penalties.

We finally note that the licensee has complied with conditions imposed by the Board during the recent summary suspension hearing and has altered its operations to the effect that no further adjudicated violations have taken place to date.

For these reasons, we believe that the offer is appropriate, the charges at this time. I am happy to entertain any questions.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any other questions right now from the Board?

Mr. Kline, do you want to address the Offer-in-Compromise?

MR. KLINE: Yes. The licensee accepts the Offer-in-Compromise and if it is accepted by the Board, will arbitrate to a hearing and waive any right to appeal. The only thing I want to add, I think Mr. Rivero has done an excellent job in terms of outlining to the Board the basis of the offer.

These circumstances giving rise to charge 3 occurred in October of 2010, quite a

long time ago, and long before the extremely regrettable circumstances of April of 2011 which gave rise to the summary suspension of which most of the Board Members are very well aware.

We would certainly concur with Mr.

Rivero's assessment that the license has made
changes in the nature of its operation
consistent with what was expected by the Board
at the conclusion of the summary suspension
hearing.

We would join obviously with Mr.

Rivero in asking the Board to accept this

Offer-in-Compromise so that this licensee can

put this regrettable history behind it. Thank

you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

Any questions by the Board?

I think we would like to take a moment to consider the offer. I'm going to read the closed meeting instructions.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic

б

MR. RIVERO: Thank you. Good afternoon, Board Members. We are here today in the matter of Cafe Dupont, LLC, trading as Cafe Citron. The show cause notice issued in this matter concerns the charges that the licensee;

- (1) Allowed the establishment to be used for an unlawful or disorderly purpose by poorly supervising employees who committed an assault on an intoxicated patron on April 27, 2011, in violation of D.C. Office Code 25-823(2).
- (2) Violating its own security
  plan on April 27, 2011, by not having a
  security staff member at the door properly
  attired and carried a radio, by not having the
  doorman provide water to the intoxicated
  patron who was assaulted that night, by not
  having an adequate number of security staff
  working that night, and by failing to contact
  the police in connection with an incident that
  preceded the assault that night in violation

of D.C. Office Code 25-823(6).

And, (3) Violated its own security plan on October 9, 2010 by failing to contact the police in connection with an assault on a patron in violation of 25-823(6).

It is our belief that a preponderance of the evidence based on the testimony of our witnesses in our exhibit will show that Cafe Citron violated these provisions of the law.

The parties have stipulated as to the testimony concerning charges 1 and 2.

That testimony will rest on the record of the summary suspension hearing for the incident that occurred on April 27, 2011, so no new testimony will be presented as to charges 1 and 2 at this time.

Thus, the testimony that will be heard today concerns the incident on October 9, 2010. The Government has as it witnesses Officer Shannon Williams of the Metropolitan Police Department, and Investigator Tyrone

Lawson of the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation
Administration.

That concludes my opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. You want to call your first witness? I'm sorry, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: I would like to make an opening statement. Madam Chairperson and members of the Board, we would ask that you obviously disregard the fact that an Offer-in-Compromise was made in this case.

It is true that we do not dispute the facts of April 2011 which gave rise to the summary suspension proceeding. The Board will make a determination as to what penalty is appropriate for the two violations charged there.

Of course, I would remind the
Board that there was a substantial suspension
that was served in connection with that
incident. We would hope that the Board would

find that punishment was appropriate enough in terms of those violations. In reality, what is at issue before you today and what you will be hearing testimony on is an alleged violation on October 9 of 2010, quite a long time ago.

Our position is two fold. One is the evidence that you will hear today will not clearly establish that there was a requirement that the police be called and that there was a violation of the security plan.

Nor will the evidence establish that the police were not called. We would ask you at the conclusion of the case to judge the case based upon the evidence that you will hear today and make a decision on that charge and find for the licensee. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

MR. RIVERO: Board's indulgence,

19 please.

We will be submitting the

Investigator Lawson's report in the incident

concerning October 2010 as Government Exhibit

1 Williams, how long have you been with the 2 Metropolitan Police Department? OFFICER WILLIAMS: I have been 3 4 employed with the Metropolitan Police 5 Department for 10 years. 6 MR. RIVERO: Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: How long have 8 you been with the force? 9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Ten years. 10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ten years. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. RIVERO: Ms. Williams, I'm 13 going to show you what has been marked as 14 Government's Exhibit 1. The parties have 15 stipulated to the authenticity and admissibility of the exhibit at this time so 16 17 the Government would move at this time that 18 the police report be admitted into evidence. 19 MR. KLINE: We don't have any 20 objection. I believe that it's actually an 21 exhibit to the Investigative Report.

MR. RIVERO: We move that at this

22

was on patrol with Officer Spain. I was her training officer at the time. We received a radio run to respond and assist the board in the 1300 block of Connecticut Avenue. The dispatcher advised that the board had a belligerent patient.

MR. RIVERO: If I could just interrupt you. When you say the board, what do you mean?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm sorry.

Excuse me. The ambulance for the District of Columbia. We actually responded directly to that location.

Upon arrival on the scene we actually began to speak with some of the ambulance members and they advised that the gentleman apparently was walking and was pushed, fell back and hit his head on a pavement and he was completely knocked out for several minutes is what the witnesses, who was on the scene, described to the ambulance personnel.

MR. RIVERO: Pushed by whom? Was
there a --

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The witness stated that the individual who was inside the ambulance being treated was pushed by what appeared to be the bouncer or the doorman at Cafe Citron and they described what the individual looked like. They said --

MR. RIVERO: Who is they?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The ambulance personnel told me what the witness stated to them, that the gentleman was pushed by a light-complected male who had a bald head and a button-up shirt, like a dark-colored button-up shirt.

MR. RIVERO: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: He was standing at the door of Cafe Citron, apparently their bouncer. They claim that the guy was just walking past and the bouncer pushed him for no apparent reason. The witness stated that he

Page 22 1 believed the guy walked too close to him, 2 walked too close to the bouncer. 3 MR. RIVERO: And then what 4 happened? 5 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bouncer subsequently assaulted him by pushing him and 6 7 knocking him down to the pavement which caused him to hit the back of his head and be knocked 8 unconscious for several minutes. 9 10 Several other pedestrians that were walking alongside stopped to give that 11 12 gentleman some aid and they called 911 and 13 that's when the ambulance responded. 14 question to the ambulance was how did they get

MR. RIVERO: What do you mean by "we never got the assignment?"

said they got it through their dispatcher.

the assignment when we never got it.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

course --

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The MPD was never called in reference to it.

MR. RIVERO: So how did you know

Of

1 to show up there?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The call came because the ambulance requested assistance with the guy because once the guy came to, he didn't realize what was going on and he became belligerent in the ambulance.

7 MR. RIVERO: The ambulance 8 requested assistance of whom?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Of the victim.

MR. RIVERO: The ambulance requested assistance to -- to whom did the ambulance connect or communicate?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I guess they connected with their dispatcher who contacted our dispatcher and sent the MPD. They notified the MPD police to respond over and assist the ambulance person.

MR. RIVERO: Is that how you were notified?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, through my police radio I was notified by the MPD dispatcher.

1 MR. RIVERO: What came through the
2 radio to you?
3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The dispatcher
4 advised us to respond to the location of the

advised us to respond to the location of the 1300 block of Connecticut Avenue to assist the board ambulance with a belligerent patient.

MR. RIVERO: Okay. When you showed up -- continue -- you spoke with the ambulance personnel.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Personnel who actually advised that a witness reported to them that they observed the bouncer at Cafe Citron push this male for no reason causing him to fall to the pavement and bang the back of his head on the pavement and knocked himself unconscious for several minutes.

MR. RIVERO: And then what did you do?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: So I then immediately advised Officer Spain it was an investigation, a criminal matter at this point, and we need to go and contact the

members of Cafe Citron to determine who this individual was, who was the bouncer, and begin a criminal investigation.

MR. RIVERO: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Once I did that, we decided to go inside of Cafe Citron and speak with the bartender who was the first person that we came in contact with that was working.

MR. RIVERO: What did that person look like?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender?

MR. RIVERO: Yes.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender was a male, light-complected. I can't give you his ethnicity. I want to say he's Hispanic maybe. He was actually behind the bar and he was working.

Upon arrival on the scene, I do
want you to know that I did observe an
individual who had a bald head working the
door at Cafe Citron and that is the reason why

I responded into Cafe Citron to see if I can
find that individual again.

MR. RIVERO: What happened to the individual?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I didn't see him. That's when I went to the bartender.

MR. RIVERO: I want to clarify.

Did you or did you not see this bald

OFFICER WILLIAMS: When I got on
the scene I did see a bald individual, a bald
male with a black button-up shirt working the
door. It never dawned on me that he was the
one who the ambulance was stating the person

who actually -- probably perpetrated the

16 crime.

individual?

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

MR. RIVERO: What happened to the individual?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: So I went inside -- I don't know where he went to because I was interviewing with the ambulance personnel.

MR. RIVERO: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: So I went

4 to

inside Cafe Citron to get some information as to who this individual was, do they have his name, can you tell him to come back out and speak with us because we are doing a criminal investigation.

MR. RIVERO: And then what

happened?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: At that time the bartender -- I asked the bartender for his ID. The bartender did comply with that. I asked the bartender who was working, who was the manager there. He told me it was a female.

I can't recall her name at the time but it should be in the report. It was a female that was working who did eventually respond out and provide me with some information. She said she was not the owner. I believe she was related to the owner.

MR. RIVERO: What information did

1 she provide you?

her ID and, of course, I asked for the licensing information for Cafe Citron.

Normally when we have some type of incident that occurs in a bar establishment or within a certain parameter of the bar, we normally have to do a thorough investigation and obtain all the information from that particular bar.

That's just normal procedure for the Metropolitan Police Department.

I attempted to get all of that information. As I was attempting to get more information about this particular bouncer, the bartender began to be uncooperative saying, "We don't have to disclose that information to you."

MR. RIVERO: What information?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender's name, the bartender's information. He actually spoke about another incident that occurred within the bar prior to that

16 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

19

20

21

22

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Did it
18 go much further than that?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: He actually described a whole particular incident that I wasn't even referring to. He was saying they had kicked somebody out.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: In

considering it I just wanted to know how far you're going to discuss what the bartender said about other incidents.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I was just going to let you know that he was talking about a whole different situation other than what we were talking about with the bouncer. Because he was inside, he was mainly just describing something that he had witnessed inside the bar.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Then I would deny the motion because --

MR. RIVERO: Before the Board makes a decision it is important to state that Exhibit 2, the Government's Investigative Report, has statements from the licensee's employees that refer to this very incident that is being described to the officer at this time.

There are statements in the Investigative Report that refer to an

Page 31 individual who was put out earlier in the day. 1 2 Those are statements by the licensee's employees that are in evidence at this time. 3 I think there is relevance here because there 4 5 may be an inference to be made that this is, in fact, the same person. 6 7 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: This is in 8 fact? 9 MR. RIVERO: The same person. The 10 person who was put out as being described in this incident might be the same person who was 11 12 pushed and ended up on the ground. 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 14 don't think Mr. Kline was objecting to that. 15 MR. KLINE: I'm objecting because the witness is testifying that she has been 16 17 told about some other incident which 18 apparently is unrelated to this incident that 19 is before the Board today.

point is conducting an investigation so I don't see how one can indicate at this stage

MR. RIVERO: The witness at this

2.0

21

22

of an investigation that any particular information is or is not relevant.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I deny the motion based on the fact that it was part of the investigation of this incident that was said.

You can continue.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Thank you. The bartender began to describe a whole separate incident, in my opinion, other than what we were actually investigating. They had apparently put somebody else out of the bar earlier.

They never called the police in reference to that so we had no recollection of what he was talking about. He obviously didn't know what we were talking about. I moved forward to try to get some cooperation with the female manager who was on the scene to see what she knew about the circumstances surrounding.

As I was trying to obtain the

information, the bartender was telling the manager, "You don't have to talk to her. We don't have to go any further. I gave her my ID. Nobody has to cooperate with this."

At that particular time I explained to the bartender the severity of the case that we are dealing with. "Sir, this is a criminal case. Do you not understand what's going on?" "I'm not concerned with that..."

This, that, and the other.

"Okay. No problem." At which time I decided, "You don't want to cooperate, I will notify ABRA." I told the dispatcher to notify ABRA to respond to the scene.

MR. RIVERO: How did you do that?

How did the tell the dispatcher?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I have a police radio. I have a police radio and I also have a cell phone. ABRA immediately responded. I believe they were within a few blocks and they began to do their investigation of the scene and what transpired.

Page 34 1 MR. RIVERO: Who showed up you 2 recall? 3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Investigator 4 Lawson showed up along with one other 5 gentleman and I can't recall his name at the 6 time. It was two investigators. They showed 7 up immediately and they conducted their 8 investigation. We continued with the criminal 9 10 investigation. I began to try to obtain information from the ambulance dispatcher as 11 12 to where was the gentleman who actually 13 witnessed the pushing. Okay? Also at this 14 time we also had to respond to GW Hospital 15 with the patient to find out what is going to 16 happen with him. 17 MR. RIVERO: What did the 18 individual at the ambulance respond to your 19 question? What did he say? 2.0 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm sorry? 21 MR. RIVERO: You asked the

individual -- you just testified you asked the

22

individual, the ambulance employee, where was the witness.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Right, where
was the witness.

5 MR. RIVERO: What response did you 6 get?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

OFFICER WILLIAMS: They stated that he had left the scene. Once they had gotten the gentleman on the ambulance prior to police arrival, he left the scene.

MR. RIVERO: I want to go ahead and interrupt you. When you say "they stated" who do you mean?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The ambulance personnel.

MR. RIVERO: And who specifically was that, a male or female?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: It was a male ambulance personnel who told me that the gentleman who actually witnessed the crime had left the scene once they had gotten the patient onto the ambulance and so forth.

Page 36 MR. RIVERO: And then what did you 1 2 do? 3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wanted to obtain his information so I asked for my 4 5 dispatcher, MPD's dispatcher, to contact the 6 ambulance dispatcher, to get a phone number so 7 that I could speak to this individual to stop 8 him prior to him leaving so that we can 9 continue our criminal investigation. 10 MR. RIVERO: And what response did 11 you get from the dispatcher? 12 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The dispatcher 13 told me that she did have a phone number for 14 the caller. 15 MR. RIVERO: And a name also? 16 OFFICER WILLIAMS: She didn't give 17 me a name. She gave me -- I had to call her 18 to get his phone number. I then, indeed, 19 called her, got his information. I called the 20 gentleman. She did give me a name over the 21 phone.

MR. RIVERO: Who is she?

22

male in his state which he was in after being 1 2 pushed for the criminal investigation. I also notified a 2D detective to respond because at 3 4 this point it was a felony assault at the 5 least. It wasn't just a simple assault, misdemeanor, it was a felony. 6 7 MR. RIVERO: What did any medical 8 personnel at the hospital say to you? 9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: They did 10 describe who would be treating him and they also told me that because he was knocked out 11 12 for several minutes he would have to undergo 13 a CAT scan of his head injury. 14 MR. RIVERO: Okay. And you said you called a detective? 15 16 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, I did. 17 MR. RIVERO: Okay. How did you do 18 that? 19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I notified the 20 detective over the police radio.

OFFICER WILLIAMS:

MR. RIVERO: Who did you notify?

I notified my

21

dispatcher. The dispatcher requested that a

2 Detective respond. I believe it was

Detective Morales -- Morris.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

MR. RIVERO: Were you at the hospital when Detective Morris showed up or not?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I was. I was at the hospital. I explained to him what transpired. I explained to him about Cafe Citron, all the facts I had established and was able to get together as far as this investigation was concerned. He then took over that particular investigation. We did a police report.

MR. RIVERO: And then what did you do?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: After that I continued to notify the witness who actually described -- I was able to call him via phone.

MR. RIVERO: Who did you call?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I called -- his

name slips my mind but I believe it was Jamal

Page 40

or Jamel. I would have to look at the report to hear his name but he was the actual witness who called 911 and that is what he described.

He described that he witnessed the man walking down the street and for no apparent reason was pushed by Cafe Citron's bouncer to the ground. He hit his head on the ground and knocked hisself out. They even turned to the Cafe Citron bouncer to ask him, "What is your problem?"

MR. RIVERO: Who is "they" in your sentence?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The witness along with some other individuals who were walking down the sidewalk said, "What's wrong with you? What is your problem?" They tried to help out this guy who was knocked unconscious.

MR. RIVERO: Where were you when you spoke with this witness?

21 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I was actually 22 at the 2nd District on the phone.

1 MR. RIVERO: And what were you 2 doing there besides speaking to him? What was your purpose for being at the 2nd District? 3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Normally when 4 5 we type out our reports and so forth we can 6 respond to the District to type out the 7 report. We have a system there that we type 8 our reports out on. Of course, I was training 9 Officer Spain and I was showing her where to 10 go to type her report. She was typing the report and I 11 12 actually spoke with the witness. We were both 13 able to obtain all the information from the 14 witness and described what transpired. 15 MR. RIVERO: Indulgence, please. Officer Williams, if I showed you 16 a police report, would you be able to remember 17 18 the witness' name with whom you spoke? 19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. 20 MR. RIVERO: Let me know when 21 you're done.

I'm done.

OFFICER WILLIAMS:

21 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

the report.

18

19

20

MR. RIVERO: Did you write this

testified you went to 2nd District to produce

MR. RIVERO: All right. So you

	Page 44
1	MR. RIVERO: Okay. Now, after
2	that date did you do any further work on this
3	investigation, on this incident?
4	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. While I
5	was on the scene I do recall after the manager
6	on duty provided a phone number for the owner,
7	which she claimed was the owner, I did make a
8	phone call to the owner at that time. I
9	got
10	MR. RIVERO: Where were you when
11	you made the call?
12	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I was on the
13	scene at Cafe Citron.
14	MR. RIVERO: Okay. How did you
15	call her?
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Through my cell
17	phone.
18	MR. RIVERO: Okay. How did you
19	get the phone number to call?
20	OFFICER WILLIAMS: The manager on
21	duty gave me the number.
22	MR. RIVERO: Okay. Did you call?

	Page 45
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I did.
2	MR. RIVERO: And what happened?
3	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I got an
4	answering machine.
5	MR. RIVERO: And what did you do?
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I just left a
7	message and let her know what was going on at
8	her particular establishment and I asked if
9	she would give me a call back which she never
10	did.
11	MR. RIVERO: Okay. No further
12	questions at this time of the witness, Board
13	Members.
14	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Let's go to
15	cross.
16	MR. KLINE: Good afternoon,
17	Officer Williams.
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Good afternoon,
19	sir.
20	MR. KLINE: Officer Williams, you
21	spoke to Mr. Brandon James. Is that your

testimony?

	Page 4
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
2	MR. KLINE: All right. He was the
3	only person who recounted this story of a
4	bouncer allegedly pushing the victim and the
5	victim fell down and hit his head. Is that
6	right?
7	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wouldn't say
8	that, sir.
9	MR. KLINE: Were there other
10	witnesses?
11	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm not sure.
12	I know that he was one because he called 911.
13	MR. KLINE: Okay. But he is the
14	only witness you are aware of. Correct?
15	OFFICER WILLIAMS: He is the only
16	witness I spoke with.
17	MR. KLINE: Okay. Are you aware
18	of other witnesses?
19	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, there are
20	other witnesses. Did I speak with them? No.
21	MR. KLINE: Okay. Did you record

their names in your report?

	Page
1	really don't
2	MR. KLINE: My question is wasn't
3	there another witness who stepped forward at
4	the establishment who attempted to tell you
5	what was going on?
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, sir. There
7	wasn't no witness who stepped forward at the
8	establishment.
9	MR. KLINE: Now, in terms of Mr.
10	James, what was his connection to the victim,
11	if any, if you know?
12	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I think that he
13	was just a witness to the crime. That's it.
14	MR. KLINE: The fact of the matter
15	is you don't really know. Do you?
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: You asked me
17	what was the connection?
18	MR. KLINE: Yes. Was there any
19	connection between the witness and the victim?
20	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Are you talking

MR. KLINE: Any connection

about relation?

21

MR. KLINE: So you don't have any

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

21

1 way of knowing whether he had any reason to 2 give this particular testimony other than your belief that it was the truth. Correct? 3 4 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Correct. 5 MR. KLINE: You don't have any reason to believe or reason to know whether or 6 7 not he was biased against the establishment in 8 some way? 9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. I have no 10 reason to believe that. MR. KLINE: And you don't have any 11 12 reason to believe -- you don't know whether he 13 had, indeed, some connection with the victim. 14 Do you? 15 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Not other than 16 a witness. 17 MR. KLINE: And there wasn't 18 anyone to corroborate his testimony. Was 19 there? 2.0 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The ambulance 21 personnel.

MR. KLINE: But the only thing the

ambulance person told you is what he had been told by the witness. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. KLINE: He didn't witness what Mr. James said that he observed. Did he? The ambulance person.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, because he called the ambulance to come to the scene.

MR. KLINE: Right. So that would have occurred long before the ambulance person arrived there.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wouldn't say long before.

MR. KLINE: Well, certainly before the ambulance -- the EMS personnel arrived there. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I would say before.

MR. KLINE: All right. What we have is the one witness, Mr. James, who told you what he believed happened. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Mr. James, yes.

	Fage 32
1	MR. KLINE: We don't have any way
2	of we have no way of corroborating what he
3	told you based on your testimony. Correct?
4	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Only what the
5	ambulance personnel told me he told them.
6	MR. KLINE: Right. But that would
7	have been ultimately coming from Mr. James.
8	Correct?
9	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
10	MR. KLINE: And he never gave you
11	any signed or sworn statements concerning this
12	matter. Did he?
13	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. He was
14	over the phone.
15	MR. KLINE: All right. He is
16	presumably available and could have come in
17	and testified today, Mr. James?
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm not sure.
19	MR. KLINE: Did you attempt to
20	reach him to testify before the Board today?
21	OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's not in
22	my scope of duties.l

Page 53 MR. KLINE: I didn't ask whether 1 2 I just asked whether you did. it was. OFFICER WILLIAMS: I didn't. 3 MR. KLINE: Now, didn't the 4 5 injured individual refuse service? Isn't that 6 why EMS called you? 7 OFFICER WILLIAMS: EMS called 8 because the particular patient was unaware of 9 what transpired. Of course, when he came to 10 he didn't know what was going on and that's the reason why he was behaving the way he was 11 12 because he just didn't know what happened. MR. KLINE: You weren't in his 13 14 head to know what he was thinking at that 15 time. Were you? 16 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, sir. 17 MR. KLINE: So you don't really 18 He was belligerent. Correct? 19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's what was 20 described by the ambulance person. 21 MR. KLINE: All right. And that

is why MPD was called. Wasn't it?

	Page 54
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, to assist
2	the ambulance.
3	MR. KLINE: Because he was being
4	belligerent and wouldn't get into the
5	ambulance for treatment. Correct?
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's not
7	true. He was in the ambulance when I was on
8	the scene.
9	MR. KLINE: But when you received
10	the call isn't that what dispatch told you,
11	that there was a belligerent victim?
12	OFFICER WILLIAMS: That doesn't
13	mean he didn't get in the ambulance.
14	MR. KLINE: Okay.
15	OFFICER WILLIAMS: He was in the
16	ambulance.
17	MR. KLINE: But he was giving EMS
18	personnel a hard time?
19	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
20	MR. KLINE: Okay. I want to show
21	you what's been admitted and marked as
22	Government's Exhibit 2 and direct your

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Investigative Report which is the Government's

Okay.

Thank

CHAIRPERSON MILLER:

19

20

21

22

Exhibit 2.

you.

1 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No one 2 approached me by that name. MR. KLINE: Okay. You don't know 3 4 whether someone approached Officer Spain, do 5 you? No. I wouldn't 6 OFFICER WILLIAMS: 7 be able to testify to whether she was 8 approached. 9 MR. KLINE: So the fact of the 10 matter is -- I'll repeat the question -- you don't have anything to dispute anything in 11 12 this statement in Exhibit 6, do you, other 13 than the statements that you testified that 14 you received from Mr. James? 15 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Do I have 16 anything to dispute what he wrote? 17 MR. KLINE: Correct. I don't 18 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. 19 know who this is and who you provided this 20 information to. If he was on the scene, why 21 didn't he approach us and provide his 22 statement?

1	MR. KLINE: All right. You've
2	read his statement that he indicated that he
3	did approach an officer in an attempt to give
4	a statement. Haven't you read that?
5	OFFICER WILLIAMS: He didn't give
6	the officer's name and badge.
7	MR. KLINE: I understand that.
8	During the course of this investigation there
9	was quite a bit of friction between you and
10	Ms. Courbois, wasn't there?
11	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Me and Ms. who?
12	MR. KLINE: Ms. Courbois.
13	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Who is that?
14	MR. KLINE: The manager that you
15	spoke with.
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, I didn't
17	have any friction with her.
18	MR. KLINE: There was no friction
19	whatsoever?
20	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I didn't have
21	friction with her, no.
22	MR. KLINE: She was completely

	Page 59
1	cooperative and everything was fine?
2	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I didn't have a
3	problem with her.
4	MR. KLINE: That was my question.
5	The question was
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: You asked was
7	there any friction between her and I. No.
8	MR. KLINE: There was no friction
9	whatsoever?
10	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.
11	MR. KLINE: So she was completely
12	cooperative?
13	OFFICER WILLIAMS: She didn't say
14	much because she didn't know anything.
15	MR. KLINE: Didn't she tell you
16	that she came out and gave a bottle of water
17	to the victim when he was on the sidewalk?
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Negative, sir.
19	MR. KLINE: She never told you
20	that?
21	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.

MR. KLINE: You questioned her

quite a bit. Didn't you?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Not really. I didn't question her quite a bit. I asked her what was her involvement, what did she witness. She didn't witness anything.

Neither did she actually -- I'll put it like this. She didn't know what was going on and, in my opinion, her being the manager is pretty far-fetched whether she is one or not based on what she described. She didn't have any information.

MR. KLINE: All right.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: It seemed to me the bartender was the one who attempted to take over the investigation --

MR. KLINE: Could you describe the bartender, please?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender was the Hispanic male.

MR. KLINE: There was a young woman there. Correct?

22 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. KLINE: And she identified 1 2 herself to you as the manager? OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender 3 4 told me that she was the manager and when she 5 came out there I asked her was she the manager 6 and she said yes. 7 MR. KLINE: All right. And you 8 told her that you could shut the bar down. 9 Didn't you? 10 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Negative. don't have that authority, sir. 11 12 MR. KLINE: I didn't ask if you 13 had the authority. My question was --14 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I just now answered your question. I said negative and 15 I do not have that authority to shut a bar 16 17 down. 18 MR. KLINE: All right. So early 19 in your testimony when you talked about 20 witnesses, indeed there was only purported 21 witness. Correct? 22 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Negative. Ι

described to you that there was a witness who

called 911. And I also described to you there

were other pedestrians walking down the

sidewalk who actually witnessed it and

actually stopped to help that gentleman.

MR. KLINE: All right. Do you have the names of those people?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, I do not.

MR. KLINE: So how do you know there were these other witnesses?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's what was described to me by the witness that's in the report. Also by the ambulance personnel.

MR. KLINE: Who prepared the report? That was Officer Spain or that was you?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Officer Spain, sir.

MR. KLINE: So, to your knowledge, based on your investigation and based on the facts that you know, you were only able to identify the one witness, Mr. James. Correct?

	Page 63
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's whose
2	information I have, yes.
3	MR. KLINE: All right. Now, are
4	you familiar with something called the Unruly
5	Patrons Ordinance?
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, I'm not.
7	MR. KLINE: So if someone called
8	you to invoke the provisions of the Unruly
9	Patrons Ordinance, you wouldn't really have
10	any idea what they were talking about, would
11	you?
12	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm not
13	familiar with that. No one has ever done that
14	to me.
15	MR. KLINE: Okay. Now, you don't
16	have any reason to believe that the purported
17	victim was violent. Do you?
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Do I have
19	reason to believe that he was violent? No.
20	MR. KLINE: And you don't have any
21	reason to believe that he was abusive in the
22	establishment. Do you?

	Page 64
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. As a
2	matter of fact, the witness said he wasn't
3	even in the establishment.
4	MR. KLINE: Okay. And you don't
5	have any reason to believe that he was
6	indecent in any way in the establishment.
7	Correct?
8	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.
9	MR. KLINE: And you don't have any
10	reason to believe that he was profane,
11	boisterous, or otherwise disorderly in the
12	establishment. Do you?
13	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.
14	MR. KLINE: You reviewed Exhibit 6
15	to the report. Do you still have that in
16	front of you?
17	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, I do not.
18	Thank you, sir.
19	MR. KLINE: In reading Exhibit 6
20	if you believe those facts to be true, would
21	you believe that any crime had been committed?
22	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I don't view

1 this as fact, sir.

MR. KLINE: I beg your pardon?

3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I don't view

4 this as facts. I've never even seen this

5 besides today. I'm not understanding what

6 you're saying.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. KLINE: My question to you is if you reviewed that document and you read what you see in that document describing a particular circumstance, in reviewing those facts as presented in that document, whether you've ever seen it before or not, whether they are true or not, whether it relates to this incident or not, would you conclude in reading that that any crime had been committed?

MR. RIVERO: I think I'm going to have to object at this point.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The basis of your objection?

MR. RIVERO: The basis of the objection is that what the officer believes

hypothetically would have happened with
respect to the facts, purported to be facts,
is not relevant.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: My problem is we never got this Exhibit 6 in front of us so I can't see exactly what it is. I couldn't find it in my documents. I'm sorry.

MR. RIVERO: Apparently what

9 happened --

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is it a
11 police report?

MR. RIVERO: Apparently what happened is that the report was provided but the attachments to the report were not so they are being copied as we speak.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Correct.

17 That is why I don't have it.

MR. RIVERO: One of the attachments to the report that you have in front of you is a statement written by this Rodrigo Reyes about which there was some testimony right now. If I may approach.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

MR. RIVERO: That is an attachment to a report that you have in front of you.

Unfortunately, I learned that the attachments were not included. They are now in the process of being photocopied and provided to you all.

That is Exhibit 6 of Investigator

Lawson's report which is admitted into

evidence as Government's Exhibit 2. Opposing

counsel is questioning the witness as to that

particular exhibit to the report.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: My question is what is the basis of your objection if he's asking about this attachment to the report?

MR. RIVERO: Opposing counsel is asking the witness to opine on whether a crime had been committed and these statements were true. Whether the witness things these statements are true or false has zero bearing on this case. Relevancy is zero.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't

1 understand that to be the question as to 2 whether he thinks the statement is true or 3 false. I haven't read the facts here yet. 4 He's basically saying based on these facts. 5 MR. RIVERO: He's asking her (a) to suppose the facts are true, and (b) to 6 7 opine as to whether a crime has been 8 committed. 9 MR. KLINE: No, I'm not asking her as to whether a crime has been committed. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Would you 12 repeat your question? 13 MR. KLINE: My question is if you 14 reviewed those facts as set forth in Exhibit 15 6 to the Investigative Report, Government's Exhibit 2, would you conclude based on the 16 17 facts that are therein, that the facts as you 18 understand them about this case, not any other

the basis of the fact that she is being called

Again, I object on

facts, just reading those facts would you

believe that a crime had been committed?

MR. RIVERO:

19

20

21

every day in the course of your job. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. KLINE: When you get there you are given a set of facts in terms of something that occurred. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: That allegedly occurred, yes.

MR. KLINE: That allegedly occurred. When that happens, you have to make a determination based upon what you've been told whether you think a crime has been committed and that you should investigate further, or a crime may have been committed and you should investigate further, or based on the facts that you're told, that no crime has been committed. Correct? Isn't that something you do every day in the course of your job?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So my question for you is since that's part of your job, reading Exhibit 6, if you are presented with

those facts, would you conclude based on those
facts that some crime had been committed?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: If I read this particular witness statement alone --

MR. KLINE: Okay.

2.0

OFFICER WILLIAMS: -- without any other factors, then I would make a determination as to whether a crime had been committed or not.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And you would conclude based upon -- just based on those facts without any of the other facts that are contained in this report or any of the other witness statements or your conversation with Mr. James, you conclude under just that that no crime had been committed. Correct?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.

MR. KLINE: You wouldn't?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I would make a determination of whether a crime has been committed. As to whether I think this is a crime or not, I'm not testifying to that.

	Page 72
1	MR. KLINE: Well, that's my
2	question to you, what would be your
3	determination based on Exhibit 6.
4	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I can't testify
5	to that.
6	MR. KLINE: You can't tell us
7	that. Okay.
8	I beg the Board's indulgence.
9	Now, prior to your being called by
10	EMS on the scene, someone had contacted EMS.
11	Correct?
12	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
13	MR. KLINE: All right. And those
14	were persons connected with the establishment.
15	Correct?
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.
17	MR. KLINE: No?
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.
19	MR. KLINE: Okay. To your
20	knowledge who called EMS?
21	OFFICER WILLIAMS: EMS dispatcher
22	advised my dispatcher that the witness as

Can you

CHAIRPERSON MILLER:

	Page 74
1	repeat that? I didn't hear you.
2	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I asked the
3	dispatcher who called and that is the
4	information she provided.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
6	MR. KLINE: So what was described
7	to you was a gentleman with a bald head.
8	Correct?
9	OFFICER WILLIAMS: She stated it
10	was the bouncer at Cafe Citron.
11	MR. KLINE: Okay. Did you
12	interview the bouncer at Cafe Citron?
13	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, because he
14	vacated the premises.
15	MR. KLINE: Did you come back and
16	investigate and interview the bouncer at Cafe
17	Citron?
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: What do you
19	mean by come back?
20	MR. KLINE: Come back on another
21	occasion and see when he may be working.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. That's for

1 the detectives to do.

2 MR. KLINE: Did the detectives

3 follow up on that?

4 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wouldn't be

5 able to testify to that.

6 MR. KLINE: You wouldn't be able

7 to why?

9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Because I don't sure they

did but I can't testify as to what somebody

else did. I can only testify to what I did.

MR. KLINE: All right. So if they

did and they determined that a bouncer, or

14 another individual connected with Cafe Citron,

was involved in assaulting the victim, then

16 presumably further charges would have

17 proceeded criminally. Correct?

18 MR. RIVERO: I'm sorry. I have to

object again. All of this hypothetical is

just not relevant.

21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's not

22 relevant?

1 MR. RIVERO: No.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: How is it

3 relevant, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: It's relevant because we are here today and we have a witness who talked -- said she spoke to one individual that this person was beaten unconscious or pushed unconscious.

Presumably if that state of facts of correct, the detective bureau would have investigated and determined whether that was indeed the case. If it were true, there would be further charges. It certainly cast doubt upon the credibility, not of this witness but of this set of facts that was related to this witness by the other witness.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So it's relevant.

MR. RIVERO: MPD's methods of conducting investigations are not on trial today.

22 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: It's not on

trial. He's just asking would there be 1 2 evidence in support of the case if that had I don't think it's on trial. 3 occurred. I think you can answer the 4 5 question. 6 OFFICER WILLIAMS: What was your 7 question again? 8 MR. KLINE: My question was if -you were no longer involved in the 9 10 investigation at that point. Correct? OFFICER WILLIAMS: 11 Correct. 12 MR. KLINE: It had been turned 13 over to the detectives. 14 OFFICER WILLIAMS: MR. KLINE: If the detectives had 15 16 a basis, reasonable cause, to determine that 17 the bouncer employed by Cafe Citron had assaulted this man, then presumably they would 18 19 have proceeded further and pressed charges. 2.0 Correct?

If they were

OFFICER WILLIAMS:

able to obtain the information from that

21

particular bouncer which is what they refused to give me when I was on the scene.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But there was follow-up by detectives and you don't know whether information was provided, wasn't provided, or what information was provided.

Do you?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I can't testify

as to his investigation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

MR. KLINE: Okay. So you don't know anything about that?

12 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.

MR. KLINE: All right. But

presumably had there been something discovered

that supported this lone witness' statement

that this man had been assaulted, then the

matter would have proceeded further

criminally. Right?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I presume.

MR. KLINE: Okay. I don't have any further questions of the witness at this time. Thank you.

Page 79 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: 1 Mr. 2 Silverstein. 3 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you. 4 Officer Williams, you made some 5 comments about how the bartender said they 6 didn't have to cooperate? 7 OFFICER WILLIAMS: 8 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Would you 9 help me out and tell me exactly what was said 10 by whom and how this all happened. OFFICER WILLIAMS: 11 I cannot repeat it verbatim but he did make statements to the 12 13 employees there, "You don't have to talk to her. She has my information. She took down 14 my information off my license. You don't have 15 16 to cooperate. You all can go ahead and 17 leave." That's what he said, "You all can 18 19 go ahead and leave," which he doesn't have the 20 authority to do that when it comes down to a 21 criminal investigation. We can actually

interview witnesses and we can actually

1 interview those who were on the scene.

Therefore, I needed help with that so I called the ABRA investigator who was able to come in and obtain more information than what I was able to get out of them.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Did you feel that interfered with your investigation?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I did. I did.

I actually went to speak with one of the attorneys downtown in reference to that to see what can I do further because of what he had done. Of course, I could have charged him with possibly APL interfering and impeding me doing my job.

I was wondering if there was a warrant that I could obtain and I wanted to get clear on what he did that was a violation other than just interfering and telling individuals, "You don't have to talk to her. She already has my information. Don't worry about her."

Business was going on. You have

to think about the scene. It was a bar full of individuals who were drinking. There was music pumping really loud and I was trying to do a criminal investigation with employees who were uncooperative. Okay?

I'm sitting there and I'm like,

"Sir, is there anyway you can come outside?"

They were giving me the runaround. They were

taking their time coming outside to speak with

me so that I could hear them clearly which was

giving the other employees an opportunity to

vacate the premises.

That's why I resorted into calling ABRA down there to let them know that we have a felony investigation going on and we need some cooperation.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Do you believe that this impeding of your investigation may have led to this paucity of witnesses?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I believe so.

I also believe that it allowed the individual

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So you hadn't read the whole investigation report with attachments?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. I never received the full packet or anything like that. The only thing I had was a copy of the police report. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. And then there is an Exhibit 5 that precedes it. Did you read that?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. With respect to that other document, it looks like it's Exhibit 1, incident-based event report, is the witness' name that you talked to on this report somewhere?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: If you have the internal report, then you may have the witness' name. The external report that the defense counsel may have probably was an external report which it will not reveal a witness name.

	Page 8
1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I see.
2	OFFICER WILLIAMS: You know, for
3	security reasons.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
5	OFFICER WILLIAMS: But I did
6	provide the counselor here with an internal
7	report if you all want to see it.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: If you do
9	if you are called on the scene and you
10	interviewed whoever found, particularly this
11	person who called the ambulance, if other
12	officers or if there were other statements
13	that come into the report or whatever, you're
14	not necessarily aware of them. Is that
15	correct? Such as Exhibit 6.
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: I don't know
17	what that is.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry.
19	It's the
20	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Normally when
21	we do an investigation, when the Metropolitan

Police Department does an investigation, when

we interview witnesses that's on the scene, we provide them with a police document, a PD119 which is a witness statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

It's an official document. They have to actually sign the bottom and it gives them warning as to making false statements.

That's what we utilize. I have no idea what that is.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So what's been marked as this Exhibit 6 to the report, the investigation report, is not an official MPD --

OFFICER WILLIAMS: It's not an official police document.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Whatever it is, it's not yours, it's not your department's. Okay.

Other questions?

MEMBER ALBERTI: I do.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Ms. Williams, you

probably went over this, can you describe to

me again what the victim's injuries were?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Apparently he suffered a wound to the back of his head. I'm not sure how long he had to stay in the hospital to obtain medical treatment. The only thing I do know is that once we got on the scene with the ambulance, they had him within a restraint.

The only thing I could do is just photograph him at the hospital with the restraints on and so forth. I wasn't able to see if he had some type of laceration in the back of his head or anything like that. I just know that he sustained some type of head injury.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you see blood on his head?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, I did not see blood on the scene as to where he fell.

I don't recall that. I do recall that -- I just know that he had a patch, some type of restraint on his head.

I do recall going to the hospital and they saying that they have to do an examination on his head to see the severity of the injury because he was knocked out for a couple of minutes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: When you arrived on the scene, was the victim in the ambulance or outside the ambulance?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: He was inside the ambulance.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you approach him at that time?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Actually, the ambulance personnel stepped out of the ambulance because they were working with him.

One of them stepped out and said, "Hey, we called because this male apparently he was pushed," and they told me what happened.

They explained that it smelled as though he did consume some type of alcoholic beverage or something consistent with an alcoholic beverage. They also explained that

1 he came to and obviously didn't realize what 2 was going on and he became belligerent and 3 they needed some type of help. 4 MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you have to 5 deal with him being belligerent? OFFICER WILLIAMS: 6 7 MEMBER ALBERTI: Did he come out 8 of the ambulance when you saw him? 9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. 10 MEMBER ALBERTI: While you were 11 there? 12 No, he did not. OFFICER WILLIAMS: 13 MEMBER ALBERTI: Because I'm 14 trying to understand this note from Mr. Reyes.

"The guy was put in the ambulance and I talked to a female officer. She wasn't too happy. She didn't take down any information. She was accusing the bar of misconduct or something.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Then I walked away. The guy then left the ambulance." So it applies from Mr. Reyes' testimony, if I read this correctly, that the sequence of events is you arrived and

1 the guy then leaves the ambulance but you're 2 telling me he didn't leave the ambulance. 3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: MEMBER ALBERTI: He remained in 4 5 the ambulance. Okay. I'm going to go back to Mr. Kline's question about this. Having been 6 7 to the hospital and talked to the doctors, if 8 you were then confronted with this piece of 9 information, Mr. Reyes' testimony, would you 10 feel the need to investigate further? OFFICER WILLIAMS: T would 11 12 investigate what he is claiming that 13 transpired but his question was would I say that a crime was committed based --14 15 MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm asking you 16 would you investigate further to see if a 17 crime was committed? No, I had 18 OFFICER WILLIAMS: 19 already established a crime was committed. 20 MEMBER ALBERTI: Hypothetically --21 hypothetically if this was the only

information you had, you went to the hospital,

talked to the doctor, and then this is the only other piece of information you have, would you at that point conclude that further investigation was needed to determine if a crime was committed?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just want to for the record --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Hypothetical.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: For the record that is Exhibit 6.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Exhibit 6.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Here is the thing. As a police officer the only crime -- reading what he wrote the only crime that he suggest is that an unlawful entry was occurring. Okay?

If he was asked to leave, talking about the victim, and he refused to leave and tried to go back in, that's unlawful entry.

Now, if they prevented him from going in and in doing so he sustained injuries, there wouldn't be a crime against the bouncer.

It would be a crime that he tried 1 2 to unlawfully enter the establishment meaning if he tried to unlawfully enter the 3 establishment, he still should have notified 4 5 the police. Somebody should have notified the 6 police. 7 MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Thank you. 8 When you talked to dispatch, they gave you 9 only one phone number? 10 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. They gave me one name and one phone number. That's what 11 12 they gave me. 13 MEMBER ALBERTI: I mean, you were 14 part of the conversation. Did you get the sense that they were choosing amongst numbers 15 or it was pretty clear that this is the number 16 17 that called? 18 MR. KLINE: Objection. I hate 19 objecting to Board Members. 20 MEMBER ALBERTI: It's a leading

I mean, she knows or

MR. KLINE:

21

22

question.

she doesn't know based on what she was told. 1 2 MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, she -unless I -- she never detailed what was said 3 4 during that conversation so I'm trying to 5 understand what she interpreted the response to be based on the questions she asked. 6 7 From this conversation do you 8 believe -- okay. Let me ask it a different way. Did the dispatcher say, "I have more 9 than one number but I can give you this one." 10 OFFICER WILLIAMS: 11 12 MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Did she say, "You know, some other people called 13 14 but I don't have their numbers. This is the only number I have." 15 16 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. 17 MEMBER ALBERTI: She just gave you that number? 18 19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The name and 20 the number of the caller. 21 MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. 22 OFFICER WILLIAMS: That's it.

MEMBER ALBERTI: I have no further 1 2 questions. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 4 MEMBER MOBLEY: Madam Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. Go ahead. 6 7 MEMBER MOBLEY: A couple of 8 questions. 9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, please. 10 MEMBER MOBLEY: At anytime during your investigation on the scene, did you make 11 12 an attempt to determine if there were any 13 other witnesses? 14 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, I did. 15 actually asked the ambulance personnel, who is the one that advised me of the witness in the 16 17 first place, "Did you get a name from him? 18 Did you obtain any information?" 19 Like I said, they had already 20 arrived on the scene. They had already -- I'm 21 not sure how long of a period transpired 22 between the time they came on the scene and

1 then when we were called.

The only thing I know is whatever transpired, they may have gotten this person from the location where he fell onto the stretcher, onto the -- you know what I mean? The witnesses were not there when I came on the scene to do the investigation. Okay?

MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay.

attempted to get witness information. I even went to the extent of calling a dispatcher to get witness information. Of course, I tried to obtain the information from the bar in spite of the fact that all the chaos that was going on at the bar. We attempt thoroughly to do a thorough investigation in obtaining this information.

MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Even to the extent of me going back to the station and saying, "Let me call this number," etc., etc.

MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay. Also,

I just said I

OFFICER WILLIAMS:

did you say about the manager?

21

didn't recall her name at this time. I'm sure it's in some notes.

3 MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay. I'm sorry.

I thought you said -- I thought I heard you
say that you heard the manager telling the

6 employees --

7 OFFICER WILLIAMS: No, the

8 bartender.

9 MEMBER MOBLEY: Just the

10 bartender.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender

is the one who was telling the employees,

"Don't cooperate. Go ahead and leave."

14 MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: We have a

criminal investigation going on. This is

17 serious.

18 MEMBER MOBLEY: Thank you.

19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Thank you.

20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I just have a

21 quick question for you. In Exhibit 2, to the

22 same report, it looks like a series of short

22

MR. RIVERO: This is not directed

1 to her.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You have no

3 knowledge of that. Is that right? Okay.

4 Mr. Nophlin.

5 MEMBER NOPHLIN: One quick

6 question.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Officer Williams, it has been your testimony that the cooperation you received from the establishment wasn't that great.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I'm sorry?

MEMBER NOPHLIN: When you went to investigate the situation, the cooperation you received from the establishment wasn't that great.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Not great at

16 all.

MEMBER NOPHLIN: Wasn't great at all. And you gave some examples.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. I

20 did.

MEMBER NOPHLIN: And you explained to them specifically what the issues were.

	Page 99
1	OFFICER WILLIAMS: And the
2	severity and the nature of the crime.
3	MEMBER NOPHLIN: The severity of
4	not cooperating.
5	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, I did,
6	sir.
7	MEMBER NOPHLIN: Okay. Thank you.
8	Thank you, Madam Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, Mr.
10	Jones.
11	MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam
12	Chair.
13	You indicated that the bartender
14	when you approached the bartender or
15	approached the manager of the establishment
16	during your investigation, you overheard or
17	heard clearly, distinctly the bartender
18	telling the other employees to leave and they
19	did not need to cooperate with you? Did I
20	hear that correctly?
21	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
22	MEMBER JONES: Okay. Do you have

any idea or can you put a rough finger on how many people, how many employees this message was directed to?

officer Williams: What happened was once I was inside the bar and I had established that there was a criminal offense that had occurred and I was doing an investigation. I asked for all of the employees that are bouncers or doormen to come down so that I can obtain their information.

At least three -- I want to say it was three. I'm not sure the approximate number. I can't testify to that but I believe it was three and he waved them off saying, "You don't have to come down here. You don't have to cooperate with the investigation.

Just go ahead on. I already gave her my license," or something to that effect.

"She doesn't need any information." I turned to him and explained to him the severity of the nature of this investigation.

MEMBER JONES: Okay. Once you re-

	Page 101
1	explained to this individual the severity
2	OFFICER WILLIAMS: He still
3	regarded me. At that point I called ABRA.
4	MEMBER JONES: Okay. So when he
5	waved the employees let me back up a little
6	bit. If I understand the context, you clearly
7	identified yourself as a representative of the
8	Metropolitan Police Department. Correct?
9	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Sir, I was in
10	full uniform.
11	MEMBER JONES: You were in full
12	uniform so there is no way this possibly could
13	have been misconstrued.
14	OFFICER WILLIAMS: No way.
15	MEMBER JONES: Cool. Just wanted
16	to clear it up for me. So clearly a
17	Metropolitan Police Officer.
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes, with a
19	marked scout car sitting out front which he
20	came outside and observed.
21	MEMBER JONES: So he also observed
22	that so there is no way possible that he could

have misconstrued who you were and what you were there for.

2.1

MEMBER JONES: And you put out a request to speak with all of the employees of the establishment or did you say specific employees?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I asked specifically asked for the bouncers or the doormen of the establishment.

MEMBER JONES: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Three males
were upstairs, or up some steps. They came
down and were attempting to come down and
speak with me and he told them to leave. They
actually listened to what he said and left as
if he was the manager.

MEMBER JONES: And your initial request was asked of whom? Was it asked of the bartender?

22 OFFICER WILLIAMS: And the

1 manager.

2 MEMBER JONES: And the manager.

3 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Both of them

4 was there together.

5 MEMBER JONES: Okay. How did the 6 word get from the manager or the bartender to 7 the rest of the staff --

8 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Like I said --

MEMBER JONES: -- to initially

10 come down?

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Like I said,

she did not give me any -- she didn't give me

a problem. She didn't know what was going on.

The female manager I'm referring to.

MEMBER JONES: Understood.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: She provided me with the information I asked for but the whole time she was attempting to call someone.

Okay? I'm not sure who she was calling.

MEMBER JONES: Understood.

21 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I presume that

she might have been calling the owner. Okay?

I asked for the owner's information. I said,

"Let me speak to the owner." In my opinion,

I didn't think that she had control over the

situation.

2.0

MEMBER JONES: Understood.

officer Williams: Okay? Over the whole scene. Okay? So I said, "Look here.

I need for you guys to bring your employees that work the door or the bouncer, I need them to come down." I remembered seeing when I pulled up on the scene a gentleman that fit the description of what they told me, what the ambulance personnel told me.

MEMBER JONES: Understood. So when you made that request, did you see either one of them take action like on a walkie-talkie or radio or some sort to say, "Hey, you guys. I need you to come down to the bar," or to come down to the front door?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: They just kind of -- they said -- they went off. I stood there and I waited while music was playing,

people were still at the bar, people were
drinking. People were everywhere.

3 MEMBER JONES: Continuous

4 operation.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Continuous 6 operation.

MEMBER JONES: Understood.

officer Williams: So I was
waiting patiently for them to come down and
speak with me or come outside or do something.
Then all of a sudden after I notified -- after
he would not cooperate with me I saw three
gentlemen come down. He said, "Don't worry
about her. Go ahead on. You all go ahead
on." They actually shut down operations.

MEMBER JONES: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: Okay? I guess they decided that they -- I'm not sure what they thought they were going to do but the music stopped. People started vacating the premises. All of a sudden now it was clearing out.

Page 106 1 MEMBER JONES: So bear with me on 2 this. OFFICER WILLIAMS: And at this 3 4 time he was telling them to leave. 5 MEMBER JONES: Okay. Bear with me 6 on this. I just want to -- I'm trying to 7 narrowly focus on one particular item. This 8 is a very, very important aspect of what would 9 sway me, I'll be perfectly frank, one way or the other as an individual Board Member. 10 You identified yourself and you 11 12 were clearly identified and you made a 13 request. That request was, "I would like to 14 speak with bouncers," or employees of the establishment of some form. You made that 15 16 request of both the manager and the bartender? 17 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. 18 MEMBER JONES: Okay. At what 19 point -- did both of them leave you there? 20 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The bartender 21 stayed.

MEMBER JONES: The bartender

1 stayed.

2 OFFICER WILLIAMS: He continued

3 business as usual.

4 MEMBER JONES: Okay. So the

5 bartender never left.

6 OFFICER WILLIAMS: The manager

7 walked off.

8 MEMBER JONES: She walked off.

9 OFFICER WILLIAMS: So I was

waiting. When the individuals came down from upstairs, I believe it was three males. One

was a black -- I remember him, a black tall

male. When they came downstairs the bartender

from behind the bar told them, "You all go

ahead on and leave. You all don't have to."

16 MEMBER JONES: Were you of the

impression, or were you of the opinion that

this young lady, who we are going to call her

the manager for the sake of argument right

now, she was responding to your request of

21 trying to speak with the bouncers?

22 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wasn't sure

what she was doing. The reason why I wasn't
sure what she was doing is because the only
thing she did was provide me with her ID and,
I believe, the license information. The rest
of the time she was on the phone.

MEMBER JONES: Understood. But in order --

OFFICER WILLIAMS: She walked off.

I'm not going to say she was cooperative or

not cooperative. She didn't give me a

hassle --

MEMBER JONES: Understood.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: -- like the

14 bartender did.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

15 MEMBER JONES: Understood.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: She just kind of walked off. I saw the guys. I saw them come down. She didn't even walk in the same direction as where the guys came from so I'm not sure if they had a walkie-talkie. I don't know anything about any of that. All I know is she went one way and then I saw some guys

who I believe were the employees. I wasn't sure because, like I said, there were people in there.

MEMBER JONES: Yes, ma'am.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: The only reason why I knew is because -- he looked over and told them disregard -- you know, basically he told them, "Don't worry about her. Go ahead on. She has my information and that's all she needs." I turned to him, "Excuse me, sir. You obviously don't understand the severity of the situation."

MEMBER JONES: Right.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: You know. He knew exactly what I was requesting. I was in full uniform with a marked scout car. Okay?

He saw my name and my badge.

MEMBER JONES: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: There is no way that he could have misconstrued any information that I was trying to obtain at that time.

1 MEMBER JONES: Okay. Did you have 2 an opportunity to speak with the bartender directly any further or was he individually 3 noncooperative with you in terms of responding 4 5 to your direct questions? 6 OFFICER WILLIAMS: He was 7 noncooperative. I had to call ABRA. 8 MEMBER JONES: So let me ask you 9 this. If you asked him a specific question, 10 for example, if you said, I hate to use this but hypothetically. I wasn't there so I don't 11 12 know exactly what questions you were posing, but if you asked him a question such as, "Are 13 14 you aware of the fact that there was an assault that occurred out in front of your 15 establishment?" 16 17 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. 18 MEMBER JONES: If you asked him --19 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I gave him all that information. 20 21 MEMBER JONES: If you asked him

that very question, did he respond to you at

1 all?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: When I gave him the information, when I first told him, "Can you do me a favor? Can you come outside?" that's when he first came outside and I gave him all the particulars to what transpired.

He began to tell me about -- let

me start by saying when I was inside the

establishment and I went to speak with him, he

asked me about a situation that transpired

inside the club. He said, "Oh, are you

talking about the guy that was being

belligerent?"

Something he said to that effect, and I was like, "No." Because it was loud, I said, "Can we step outside and talk?" He stepped outside to talk to me and I asked him for his information and he provided it. I explained to him what transpired outside, what was described to me, and that I was trying to obtain information about that.

I said, "Is there a manager

working?" He said, "Yes." He went inside and got the manager. The manager came out and I explained everything to her. I attempted to get him to go get the bouncers, which he never did.

Therefore, I had to respond back into the bar and say, "Excuse me. Where are your bouncers or your doormen?" At that point is when he was like, "You already have my information," this, that, and the other. I'm like, "Excuse me, sir."

The manager who was there was inside with me and I explained I need to see these individuals. I need their information. We have a criminal investigation going on. She said okay. She walks off still on the phone. Some gentlemen came from the other side and he told them to disregard and to leave.

MEMBER JONES: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: "She has my

22 information."

Page 113 1 MEMBER JONES: I'm sorry. I don't 2 mean to interrupt. All that transpired. OFFICER WILLIAMS: 3 Um-hum. MEMBER JONES: You are face to 4 5 face or within reasonable proximity of the 6 bartender inside the establishment. 7 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. 8 MEMBER JONES: You made a request 9 for him to come outside of the establishment. 10 Did he heed that request? OFFICER WILLIAMS: In the 11 12 beginning, yes. 13 MEMBER JONES: In the beginning. 14 So he came outside. You explained to him the 15 particular. You both went back inside? OFFICER WILLIAMS: No. He went 16 17 back inside. MEMBER JONES: He went back inside 18 19 and you were where? 2.0 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I continued the 21 investigation. I continued to speak with the 22 manager outside.

	Page 114
1	MEMBER JONES: Outside.
2	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Right.
3	MEMBER JONES: So you were
4	outside. At any point did you go back into
5	the establishment?
6	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Right. When
7	they never came out
8	MEMBER JONES: Yes.
9	OFFICER WILLIAMS: When he didn't
10	come out and the bouncers didn't come out, I
11	had to go back inside to find out, "Okay,
12	where are the bouncers?"
13	MEMBER JONES: Understood. So
14	when you went back inside, you found him
15	working at the bar?
16	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes.
17	MEMBER JONES: Okay.
18	OFFICER WILLIAMS: Behind the bar.
19	MEMBER JONES: And did you have
20	opportunity at that point to ask him any
21	specific questions related to your

investigation outside of, "Hey, I want to talk

MEMBER JONES: When you first

21

22

first pulled up.

1 pulled up.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I just -- you

know, when I first pulled up I saw a male standing at the doorway.

MEMBER JONES: Did you ever have opportunity to confirm whether or not that individual was actually employed with the establishment?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: No.

MEMBER JONES: No.

11 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I never even

12 saw him again after that.

13 MEMBER JONES: Okay.

OFFICER WILLIAMS: It was sort of
like when he saw us pull up, he went back into
the establishment.

MEMBER JONES: Understood. And you never saw him again from the time that you initially -- once you initially encountered him or saw him?

OFFICER WILLIAMS: I first saw him when I pulled up on the scene and I was

Page 117 1 standing out there and obtaining information. 2 He went back into the bar. MEMBER JONES: Understood. 3 4 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Then when I got 5 all the particulars, I said, "I saw a guy 6 standing out there with a button-up shirt. 7 Can you bring your employees out, or bouncers or your doormen?" 8 MEMBER JONES: The individual with 9 10 the button-up shirt, light-complected or white complected individual with the bald head, 11 12 based on your recollection did he appear to be 13 working the door? 14 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. 15 MEMBER JONES: Did he appear to be dressed in attire suitable for security staff 16 17 of that establishment? 18 OFFICER WILLIAMS: Yes. 19 MEMBER JONES: Okay. Does that 20 establishment have a uniform?

other gentleman had on black button-up shirt.

Because the

OFFICER WILLIAMS:

21

I think it was a black button-up shirt as
well. He had come down.

MEMBER JONES: Okay. Does that

establishment have -- to your knowledge does

that establishment have a defined uniform for

the security staff?

7 OFFICER WILLIAMS: I wouldn't be 8 able to attest to that.

MEMBER JONES: Thank you.

10 Thank you, Madam Chairperson.

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any other

Board questions? I think we are at recross.

13 Am I correct?

MR. KLINE: I don't have any.

15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

16 | Redirect?

MR. RIVERO: No redirect. Thank

18 you.

9

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Then you can

20 be excused. Thank you very much.

21 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you for

22 your service.

Page 119 Thank you. 1 OFFICER WILLIAMS: 2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any other witnesses, Mr. Rivero? 3 MR. RIVERO: Not for the 4 5 Government. If we could have a two-minute --6 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. You 7 want two minutes? 8 MR. RIVERO: I need to step 9 outside for a moment. 10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. (Whereupon, at 6:18 p.m. off the 11 12 record until 6:20 p.m.) 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: On the 14 record. 15 MR. RIVERO: I just wanted the record to reflect I had made the motion to 16 17 accept -- to enter Government's Exhibit 2 into 18 evidence which is the Investigative Report 19 with attachments, but I don't recall it 20 actually --21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Being 22 admitted?

	Page 120
1	MR. RIVERO: being admitted so
2	I just want the record to be clear on that
3	point since it was stipulated that it be
4	admitted into evidence. That's all.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. If we
6	are on the record, I will admit it now. We've
7	gotten copies of all the attachments.
8	MR. RIVERO: Okay. Thanks.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
10	(Whereupon, the document marked
11	for identification as Government
12	Exhibit 2 was received into
13	evidence.)
14	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Are we
15	ready to proceed?
16	MR. KLINE: Yes.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
18	MR. KLINE: Madam Chairperson, we
19	do you rest?
20	MR. RIVERO: That's correct.
21	Government's Exhibit 2 has been admitted into
22	evidence which is Investigator Lawson's report

in this matter, together with his exhibits to that report. On the basis of that and the Government's Exhibit 1, and the witness' testimony that we presented, the Government rests.

2.0

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. KLINE: Thank you.

Madam Chairperson, we came here today to respond to charges that this establishment failed to comply with the security plan and we are prepared to put on a case to meet those charges.

Based on the questions that have been asked, I understand that the Board has concerns and I respect those concerns as legitimate. We are not prepared to deal with the issues that have raised by the Board in terms of lack of cooperation. We don't have our witnesses here on that. We were not expecting to try that case today.

One Board Member has said that

their decision in this case will rest on what happened after the incident which gave rise to the charges that occurred. The charge is simply failing to contact the police when an incident occurred. That's what charge 3 is.

That's what we are here to talk about.

As I said before, I hesitate to object to Board Member's questions but much of what was asked was not relevant to these charges. I don't disrespect the Board in terms of expressing concerns about those issues.

It was simply not fair to the licensee to come here expecting to answer one charge and be faced with Board Member questions, which we don't want to object to, that relate to a completely different charge or relate to something that is not relevant to the charge.

We would ask that this hearing be continued to give the licensee an opportunity to bring its witnesses here which will rebut

much of what was testified to by the officer and will corroborate the statements that are attached to the report. We don't know any other way of fairly dealing with what's in the record at this point, most of which doesn't relate to what's been charged.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I have a comment on that.

Mr. Rivero, do you have anything you would like to say before I comment?

MR. RIVERO: The Government does not have an objection to opposing counsel's request, first of all. I understand that a request has been made for a continuance. To the extent that such a request has been made, the Government does not object.

However, the record does -- the report by Investigator Lawson does indicate that various employees of the licensee made statements that became part of the investigation which were then subsequently made available to the licensee.

1

The fact that people made

2 3

that has been known for some time.

statements that are on the record is a fact

4

the only thing that I can see. I know that

5

there are people who made written statements

6

and we've got them and we're talking about

7

It's something that has been known for

Now, I do not have an opinion on

I want to

8

some time.

9

10 opposing counsel's characterization of what

11 the Board conduct has been with respect to

12

questions. To the extent that there is any

13

request for continuance, the Government does

CHAIRPERSON MILLER:

14

not object.

15

16 just look at this from another angle and that

17

is there are these charges and that's what you

18

are on notice that you are here today for. My

19

question is if other evidence came into the

20

record that is not relevant to these charges

21

that the Board is capable of parsing them out

and just looking at the evidence that relates

1 to the charges.

2.0

MR. KLINE: I would ask any Board
Member who believes that what happened during
the course of the investigation, and not
related to whether police were called or not
is somehow relevant to the disposition of
charge 3, recuse themself.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Let me just say this. I mean, sometimes it's possible that question can be asked during a hearing and then afterwards you realize we went a little bit too far and we don't need to consider that, or we shouldn't consider that.

I'm not sure that a recusal is necessary at this point because I'm not sure the Board Members are going to go further when we are reminded of what the charges are.

MR. KLINE: I mean, to the extent
-- I mean, I would ask any Board Member to the
extent that they feel that the testimony that
was given by the officer that doesn't relate
to the very simple charge of whether this

establishment failed to call the police as required by the security plan, that they find it upon themselves to recuse themself.

That's all I'm asking. I'm not noting that any particular Board Member recuse themself. I think it's up to the individual Board Members to make that decision.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Board Members are welcome to speak to this because we need to be clear.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Mr. Kline, the question as to cooperation I believe are relevant because they go to the credibility of other statements that maybe have been made about whether or not employees of the establishment called the police.

Their conduct in one arena, in one facet, I think reflects on the possibility of the way they conducted themselves in another facet. I think it is relevant. It's not relevant to penalize -- certainly we can't penalize you on a charge of noncooperation but

I think it is relevant in terms of the whole picture of trying to get at the veracity of any statements that are going to be made about the charge at hand.

Certainly I would argue that during your closing arguments you can focus the Board on the charges at hand and the evidence that's relevant and trust that the Board will do -- we'll deal with the evidence appropriately.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr.

Silverstein.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Mr. Kline,
having bad acts is always admissible. If you
are trying to determine whether a place
called, you can certainly take into account
what happened when the people got there and if
they said, "Don't cooperate with them," this
might make it appear as evidence that they did
not make that initial call.

If you are going to bring in evidence such as this Exhibit 6, then all

these other pieces are also there to determine who do we believe, who do we trust, how does this all fit into one big picture.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is there anything else from Board Members? I just want to ask is there a motion before us that we continue this?

MR. KLINE: The motion is that the hearing be continued to give the licensee an opportunity to rebut what, in effect, has been put on the record as a result of questions for Board Members concerning lack of cooperation.

I have to comment on bad acts. A history of bad acts is not always admissible. Certainly bad acts that don't relate to not calling the police wouldn't be admissible in this particular case, although it is an administrative hearing and certainly the Board has wide latitude in terms of deciding what to admit or not to admit. That doesn't make it relevant and it doesn't make it fair when the charges specify are narrow and relate to a

1 particular issue.

2.0

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank 3 you.

MR. KLINE: I mean, I would think that the Board after hearing the officer talk about the conduct of this licensee would want to hear from the licensee. For the Board to suppose that we would have presumed that would have been the issue in this case as seen by one or more Board Members, I would suggest that you look at charge 3 and its narrowness and would suggest, no, we weren't on notice of that.

I would hope that the Board would give the licensee the opportunity to bring its witnesses forward live to the extent that Board Members believe that issue is relevant. Either it's a history of bad acts or relevant to the ultimate determination of this case.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you. We are going to take a moment to consider the motion so I am going to read this

1 again.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic

Beverage Control Board for the District of

Columbia and in accordance with Section 405 of

the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010, I

move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting

on Case #10-251-00245 and #11-251-00146 for

the purpose of seeking legal advice from our

counsel and to consider deliberating on the

motion that is being raised in this case on

the Board's agenda for January 25th.

Is there a second?

MEMBER NOPHLIN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Nophlin,

15 how do you vote?

16 MEMBER NOPHLIN: I agree.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Brooks.

18 MEMBER BROOKS: I agree.

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Alberti.

20 MEMBER ALBERTI: I disagree.

21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Miller, I

22 agree.

	Page 131
1	Mr. Silverstein.
2	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I disagree.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Mobley.
4	MEMBER MOBLEY: I agree.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Jones.
6	MEMBER JONES: I agree.
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. The
8	vote is five to two in favor of the motion to
9	go for a closed meeting. We'll be back
10	shortly.
11	(Whereupon, at 6:33 p.m. off the
12	record until 6:57 p.m.)
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, we're
14	back on the record.
15	There is a motion, as I
16	understand, for a continuance of this hearing
17	in light of other testimony that came out
18	today that Mr. Kline has said they weren't
19	prepared to address and he thinks it's beyond
20	the scope if I captured that correctly.

against the continuance. I think that the

21

22

In any event, I would recommend

charge that we are considering is a fairly narrow one.

I just want to read it for the record. "You failed to comply with your establishment's security plan by failing to immediately contact the police as required in violation of D.C. Official Code Section 25-823(6) for which the Board may take the proposed action pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 25-823(6).

I am of the view that Mr. Kline was prepared -- is prepared to address that charge. The fact that there is some testimony that he considers to be beyond the scope, I would just say this; the Board will give the evidence the weight it deserves. Therefore, I don't think there is any need for a continuance.

Is there a second?

MEMBER NOPHLIN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are there

further Board comments? Okay. All those in

	Page 133
1	favor of the motion say aye.
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All those
4	opposed. All those abstaining. The motion
5	passes seven to zero.
6	Mr. Kline are you going to be
7	putting on any witnesses?
8	MR. KLINE: Yes, call to the stand
9	Supervisory Investigator Greg Stewart.
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Stewart,
11	you are going to be sworn in. Do you swear to
12	tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth
13	so help you God?
14	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes, ma'am.
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank
16	you.
17	MR. KLINE: Good evening,
18	Investigator Stewart.
19	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Good
20	evening. Excuse me. I would like to
21	apologize for my dress. I just started my

evening shift so I was not prepared to be a

1 witness.

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No problem.

3 MR. KLINE: For the record, you

4 are employed by ABRA?

5 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes, sir.

6 MR. KLINE: And you're employed as

7 a supervisory investigator?

8 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes, sir.

9 MR. KLINE: All right. And you

were working the evening of October 9 of 2010?

11 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I believe

12 so.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Turning your

14 attention to that evening, were you contacted

in reference to an alleged incident or

something that was going on at Cafe Citron?

17 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes, sir.

18 MR. KLINE: And by whom were you

19 contacted?

20 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I was

21 contacted once on the ABRA hotline by the

22 Metropolitan Police Department, a female

officer. I'm not sure what her name is. I
was also contacted by a member of Cafe Citron
on my ABRA work phone.

MR. KLINE: Okay. About what time were you contacted by each?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Again, I couldn't tell you, sir, without looking at the report. I was not prepared to be here today.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Fair enough.

If we showed you the report, would that potentially refresh your -- let me withdraw that. In terms of the two calls that you received, which call did you receive first?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Again, I couldn't recall at this point. They were relatively close, within maybe two or three minutes of each other.

MR. KLINE: Within two or three minutes of each other?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes.

21 MR. KLINE: All right. Was it an 22 Officer Williams that contacted you if you 1 recall?

2 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I believe

3 that's her name.

4 MR. KLINE: What did she say to

5 you?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: That she

was having a problem. An incident had

occurred. Again, I don't know the details but

she was having a problem with the staff

cooperating at Cafe Citron.

MR. KLINE: All right. Keeping in mind you don't recall which call you received first, you also received the call from somebody in Cafe Citron. Correct?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes.

MR. KLINE: And who was that?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Stacie

18 | Courbois. I can't say her last name correctly

19 but Stacie.

20 MR. KLINE: Okay. And to your

21 knowledge what is her position there?

22 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I think she

1 was a manager at the time.

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: She advised me that there were some officers being -- that were there being aggressive and if I was in the area could we stop by and assist them because they were trying to cooperate but she didn't know what else to do.

MR. KLINE: What did she tell you?

MR. KLINE: Okay. In terms of when she said she didn't know what else to do, what did she tell you was going on?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Well, we happened to be in the area, Investigator

Lawson and myself. I think we were there within like five or 10 minutes. When we came up we were approached by Officer Williams.

Again, I don't know all the details. I don't recall to my best recollection, but I do recall going inside and the staff -- Officer Williams went inside with me and she was trying to get information from some of the staff members.

1	I think they were bartenders at
2	the time. And the bartenders, in turn, looked
3	like they were highly agitated at Officer
4	Williams. I knew Stacie from going to Cafe
5	Citron from a professional standpoint, but I
6	didn't know these bartenders and I asked if
7	they would just cooperate with whatever the
8	officer is requesting of them. Things calmed
9	down from there.
10	MR. KLINE: And did they cooperate
11	at that point?
12	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes. As
13	far as I know they did.
14	MR. KLINE: How long were you
15	there? Do you recall?
16	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Ten
17	minutes.
18	MR. KLINE: Did you assist and
19	make sure that Officer Williams was able to
20	complete her investigation at that time?
21	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I don't
22	know if she completed her investigation but

she didn't ask anything else of me while I was there.

2.0

MR. KLINE: Okay. To your knowledge while you were there, were the bartenders cooperative in terms of questions that might have been asked by Officer Williams?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Again, it was brief. I introduced them that they needed to cooperate and I kind of stepped aside to confer with Investigator Lawson.

MR. KLINE: Is it fair to say that when you got there you found tension?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Oh, absolutely.

MR. KLINE: From what you knew and from what you were told, what did you believe that tension stemmed from?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I think it was a lack of communication on both sides not understanding what the other one wanted or was trying to get to. Stacie appeared to be very

4 not knowing what happened prior to my arrival.

right now." That's where I came in kind of

5 MR. KLINE: Is it fair to say that 6 Officer Williams was angry?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: She was tense. I would say she was mad about anything but she was definitely tense.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Thank you.

I don't have any further questions
of the witness.

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any Board
14 questions?

15 Mr. Fernando.

MR. RIVERO: Rivero.

17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm sorry.

18 There I go again.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

MR. RIVERO: That's quite all right. I don't believe it's the last time that will happen.

Mr. Stewart, you testified that

22

when I arrived?

co-author of the report?

Yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI:

21

22

questions?

	Page 14
1	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.
2	MEMBER ALBERTI: I just want to
3	make sure I understood you, Investigator
4	Stewart. You said that the two calls, one
5	from Officer Williams and one from Ms.
6	Courbois.
7	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Courbois.
8	How do you say your last name?
9	MS. COURBOIS: Courbois.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: Courbois. Sorry.
11	How long apart were they?
12	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Two to
13	three minutes, sir.
14	MEMBER ALBERTI: Two to three
15	minutes. The nature of Ms. Courbois' call, or
16	the reason for her call to you?
17	INVESTIGATOR STEWART: She sounded
18	upset. I could tell that she was upset. She
19	was like, "The police are here telling us
20	we're not cooperating." She said, "The lady
21	is being aggressive with me. Is there any

chance that you guys are in the area? Tell us

Thank you, Madam

MEMBER JONES:

1 Chair.

Just to be clear, when you received the call from the representative of the establishment, that was before or after the call from the officer?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Again, I couldn't tell you which one came first, sir. I apologize.

MEMBER JONES: Okay. The call that you got from the representative of the establishment, did that call indicate -- what did she specifically communicate to you in that phone call?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: To paraphrase, she wanted to know, I believe -- how do I say this? I think she believed that the officers were overstepping their bounds and being aggressive.

MR. RIVERO: Objection. I'm sorry. The witness is answering what he thinks happened but that is not the question that is being asked. The question that is

being asked is what was said. The witness has already answered that question two times already.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Jones, what was your question?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER JONES: I'll rephrase.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

MEMBER JONES: Supervisory

Investigator Stewart, for the purposes of clarification and for my slowness and my obtuseness, can you tell me whether or not the call that you got from the representative of the establishment reported to you that there was an incident that occurred at the establishment and that is why they wanted you to come on the scene?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: The reason for the phone call was that there was an incident and they were asking me to arrive to check out what happened. Am I putting it in the right context?

MEMBER JONES: In your

Page 148				
professional capacity				
INVESTIGATOR STEWART: I don't				
believe the call was to advise me that there				
was an incident at the establishment.				
MEMBER JONES: You do not believe				
that was the case?				
INVESTIGATOR STEWART: No.				
MEMBER JONES: Okay. Did the				
individual to your recollection tell you that				
an assault had occurred at the establishment				
and they wanted to report that assault to you				
as per their security plan?				
INVESTIGATOR STEWART: No.				
MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.				
Thank you, Madam Chair.				
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Mr.				
Stewart, can you remember what she said to				
you?				
INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Not				
verbatim.				
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You were				

asked questions about was it this or was it

Page 149

that, but do you remember what she stated?

2 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Not

verbatim. That's why I wish I could have

prepared to tell you what call came first and

kind of refresh my memory but I'm not sure

what came first.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's okay.

I know you're not sure which came first. Do

you think you can paraphrase what she said?

INVESTIGATOR STEWART: That an incident had occurred. That the officer -- I don't want to say the term threatening but I believe she said they were doing everything they could possibly do but the officer was a little aggressive and she was kind of rattled.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. My

next question is in your experience as an

investigator where there are security plans to

contact the police when there has been an

incident, do establishments contact you

instead of the police or before the police?

Have you had that experience?

Page 150

I've had 1 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: 2 that experience where establishments have contacted me regarding incidents prior to --3 before the Metropolitan Police. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Is there a general reason for that? 6 7 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: We're out 8 in the industry, I guess, every day and our business cards are out there and such. A lot 9 10 of times we'll get phone calls saying, "We just had an incident. We're going to contact 11 12 MPD. We wanted to let you guys know if you happen to be in the area." It's not uncommon. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. don't have any other questions. 15 16 Recross? Yes. 17 There are no other Board questions, are there? 18 19 MEMBER ALBERTI: Just real quick. 20 Just to confirm, the report says approximately 21 3:40 a.m. Would the report be correct as far 22 as you know?

Page 151 1 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: Yes, sir. 2 If that is what Investigator Lawson wrote out, 3 I would assume it's correct. 4 MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 6 Mr. Rivero. 7 MR. RIVERO: It's fair to say this 8 isn't your case. Is it? 9 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: That's 10 correct. MR. RIVERO: You didn't write this 11 12 report, did you? 13 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: That's 14 correct. 15 MR. RIVERO: You didn't do any of 16 the things that are -- any of the 17 investigative work that is described in this 18 report, did you? 19 INVESTIGATOR STEWART: No, sir. 20 MR. RIVERO: So you don't 21 understand this to have been a case assigned 22 to you?

right. You are familiar, at least generally,

Yes.

MS. BERINDOAGUE:

21

22

pages. Correct?

	Page 156			
1	MR. KLINE: Page 2 is a			
2	handwritten statement. Is that correct?			
3	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes, it's a			
4	handwritten statement by Nelson Peraza.			
5	MR. KLINE: And that's dated?			
6	MS. BERINDOAGUE: October 9, 2010.			
7	MR. KLINE: On the form there is			
8	no particular date. Is there? On the first			
9	page of the document.			
10	MS. BERINDOAGUE: The same night.			
11	This was together with all the other incidents			
12	that we have.			
13	MR. KLINE: With the other			
14	incident report that you have?			
15	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.			
16	MR. KLINE: Okay. Now, you			
17	weren't there that night. Correct?			
18	MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, I wasn't.			
19	MR. KLINE: But you got a report			
20	of what happened?			
21	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes, I got the			

report the next day.

of here.

1 witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Because they are assigned differently. There is one person at -- two persons at the door. There is another person inside.

MR. RIVERO: I'm just asking the question you don't know what his specific job was that night. Is that correct? You don't know what his specific position was that night?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I don't know his specific position.

MR. RIVERO: Were you there that night?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, I wasn't.

MR. RIVERO: Where were you?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I was home.

MR. RIVERO: Okay. Did you hire

Nelson Peraza?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Probably -- I'm not the person who does hiring. Probably

Jackie hired him but I know he was working

1 then.

2 MR. RIVERO: Who is Jackie?

3 MS. BERINDOAGUE: The other

4 manager.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5 MR. RIVERO: Okay. How long is --6 is Nelson Peraza still your employee?

> MS. BERINDOAGUE: No. After the closing that happened in April he found another job and he didn't come back to work but he did work until that time.

MR. RIVERO: Do you know why 12 Nelson Peraza is not here today?

> MS. BERINDOAGUE: I wasn't asked to bring him. I don't know if I can find him right now since he doesn't work for us but I could try.

MR. RIVERO: So you have personally spoken with and you know and worked with Nelson Peraza?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Oh, yes. I know him. He's worked for at least two years with us.

1 MR. RIVERO: And have you observed

- 2 his signature?
- MS. BERINDOAGUE: Well, it seems
- 4 like a P at the end like for his last name
- 5 Peraza but I can't say because I honestly
- 6 didn't --
- 7 MR. RIVERO: Have you ever seen
- 8 his signature?
- 9 MR. KLINE: Objection as to
- 10 relevance.
- 11 | MS. BERINDOAGUE: I haven't.
- MR. RIVERO: It's entirely
- 13 relevant. I'm trying to establish whether
- this is a credible document for the Board.
- MR. KLINE: It's already in. It's
- 16 admitted.
- 17 MR. RIVERO: It is admitted but
- 18 the Board can give this the weight that it
- 19 deserves.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Are you
- 21 questioning that Mr. Peraza is the signatory
- 22 to this --

Page 163

MR. RIVERO: Correct. I wanted to make sure that she is comfortable that this is, in fact, this person's signature. It's a two-page document and only one of which is dated.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I'm comfortable that this is accurate and I'm comfortable to say that he wrote this paper. I haven't seen his signature.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Excuse me.

Are you rubbing paper against the microphone?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Oh, I apologize.

I am comfortable to say that this incident report was written by Nelson Peraza. There is no reason why anybody would write other than him.

If somebody else would write, they would have to put their name so if he puts his name, he wrote this paper. I haven't seen his signature. I didn't have a reason ever to have him sign anything but I am confident to say he wrote it.

Page 164

When there is an incident the person -- we are talking about 2010. In 2010 a person who had an employee that had an involvement in security, in any situation they are suppose to write an incident. If he wrote it, it's because he was involved in some way.

If somebody else would have -other security would have been involved in
some way, they would have done it, too, but
this is something that he wrote and that is
why I am confident to say that he did.

MR. RIVERO: Okay. Was this document given to Investigator Lawson?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I don't know if he got it or not.

MR. RIVERO: Okay. This document would have been given to whom? Once Nelson Peraza completed it, to whom did he give this document?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: It's states in the book unless someone request a copy.

MR. RIVERO: Okay. Who is in

charge of giving it to the requestor from the book?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: The manager who is on duty when he comes to request the incident.

MR. RIVERO: Did you discuss with Stacie Courbois whether she gave this document to Investigator Lawson?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, I haven't.

MR. RIVERO: Did you discuss with Stacie Courbois whether she gave any document to Investigator Lawson?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I don't recall if I would have discussed that specifically.

MR. RIVERO: Did Stacie Courbois tell you, "I gave etc. documents to ABRA?"

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Specifically in those words, no, but I'm sure that she told me they came and I gave them reports. I don't think she specifically told me, "I gave them this, this, this, or that." I don't know specifically what did they get and what they

1 didn't.

this report.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 MR. RIVERO: Did you speak to 3 Nelson Peraza about this report?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I didn't ask him
questions. I didn't say, "Oh, did you write
this or what happened?" specifically about

MR. RIVERO: What was your conversation -- oh, about this report no?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: No.

MR. RIVERO: What was your conversation with Nelson Peraza about this incident? Not about this report but about the incident?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Just -- nothing with specifics. They just talk -- I don't know if I'm going to go extensively about what happened that night but am I allowed?

MR. RIVERO: You are allowed to answer the question. The question is what conversation did you have with Nelson Peraza about the incident? That's the question.

1	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Like I said, we				
2	talked about the incident. He told me overall				
3	what happened. Specifically like about these				
4	specific words, you know, Jackie told he				
5	called and Stacie asked him to call 911. We				
6	talk about a lot of things about that night.				
7	You know what I'm trying to say? I don't know				
8	if you want me to say it.				
9	MR. RIVERO: That's okay.				
10	MS. BERINDOAGUE: I'm just trying				
11	to be				
12	MR. RIVERO: That's okay. I				
13	appreciate that.				
14	No further questions.				
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. I just				
16	want to follow up and ask you in this report				
17	there is a record of calling 911. Did you				
18	have a conversation with any of your employees				
19	about anyone calling 911?				
20	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Nobody else, no.				
21	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Nobody else				
22	other than Stacie and who?				

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Did I ask them			
if they called 911? All the other employees			
are inside of the premises. They had no clue			
what was going on outside. They didn't know			
what happened outside. They were inside.			
There is no possible way that they would have			
called.			
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: There is a			
statement in Mr. Peraza's page 2 here that			
says, "I called 911." Did you talk to him			
about that at all?			
MS. BERINDOAGUE: Like I said, he			
mentioned it but we didn't specifically talk			
about it. I didn't get the paper and ask him.			
Overall we talk about the incident.			
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Did you talk			
about calling 911?			
MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.			
CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What did he			
say?			
MS. BERINDOAGUE: He said, "I			
called 911 and Stacie brought water," among			

1	Page 169			
Τ	all the other things that he said.			
2	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: That's it?			
3	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.			
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: "I called 911			
5	and they came."			
6	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.			
7	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Did he give			
8	you more details about the police coming or			
9	anything in response when he called 911?			
10	MS. BERINDOAGUE: He didn't give			
11	me details how soon they came. He just told			
12	me, "I called 911."			
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.			
14	Any other questions?			
15	Mr. Jones.			
16	MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam			
17	Chair.			
18	In your conversations with him did			
19	he at all indicate any attempts that he made			
20	to ascertain how this individual became to be			

prone on the sidewalk out in front of your

21

22

establishment?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: He didn't -- no, 1 2 he didn't talk to me about that. 3 MEMBER JONES: That didn't come up at all? 4 5 MS. BERINDOAGUE: No. MEMBER JONES: Okay. You were 6 7 asked what the individual's specific duties 8 were that night and I didn't get a clear 9 understanding of your understanding of his duties that night. Can you try again? 10 MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. 11 They are 12 inside usually to be attentive to customers. MEMBER JONES: This individual. 13 14 want to know what his specific duties were. 15 MS. BERINDOAGUE: Because I wasn't 16 sure -- I apologize. I'm not sure if he was 17 working the door or he was inside so I don't 18 know his duties so I can't say what are the 19 duties. 2.0 MEMBER JONES: Okay. So you seem 21 to imply that you were fairly confident that

this report was legitimately authored by Mr.

-	_	
	Peraza	

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

the other employees were outside and,

therefore, they wouldn't have had a reason to

be involved in this and, therefore, they

wouldn't have had a reason to author this

document so it must have been him.

MEMBER JONES: -- because none of

MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, that's not it. The reason is because he is the one who called 911.

MEMBER JONES: How do you know that?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Because my manager asked him to make sure -- he knows he has to call 911 and he is the person who happen to do it.

MEMBER JONES: Are you responsible under your security plan to have someone stationed at your door?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Right.

22 MEMBER JONES: You are?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

MEMBER JONES: So if there was

somebody laying prone on the sidewalk in front

of your establishment, the first person that

should have come into contact with that

individual that is an employee of your

establishment would most likely be the

employee that is stationed at the door.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes, probably.

Like I said, he asked me what the duties are.

It's according to where that person is. But because I am under oath, I don't want to say something that I'm not sure. Probably he was working at the door.

You know what I'm trying to say?

If you ask me what are his duties, if he was at the door, these are his duties. If he's inside, these are his duties. He probably was at the door and that is why he called 911.

MEMBER JONES: Is he a security person?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

	Page 173			
1	MEMBER JONES: He is?			
2	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.			
3	MEMBER JONES: Okay. Can you tell			
4	me what his did you talk to him that night?			
5	MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, I wasn't in			
6	the establishment that night.			
7	MEMBER JONES: Correct. When did			
8	you talk to him? How soon after October 9th			
9	did you speak with this individual?			
10	MS. BERINDOAGUE: I don't recall			
11	but it wasn't that night. Maybe a day or two			
12	later.			
13	MEMBER JONES: Maybe a day or two			
14	later?			
15	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yeah, because			
16	security they have different shifts, you know.			
17	They don't work the same people every day.			
18	They work different nights.			
19	MEMBER JONES: Understood. When			
20	were you notified of the incident?			
21	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Oh, the next			

day.

	Page 175			
1	MEMBER JONES: What did she			
2	indicate was what did she indicate to you			
3	occurred?			
4	MS. BERINDOAGUE: There was a			
5	person that fell in the street. Do I have to			
6	go over the minutes?			
7	MR. KLINE: You're okay.			
8	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Sorry. This is			
9	a long story.			
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I don't think			
11	you have to go over a long story.			
12	Mr. Jones, are you interested in			
13	the long story?			
14	MEMBER JONES: Not at all.			
15	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: No. Just			
16	briefly kind of answer.			
17	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Well, the			
18	incident			
19	MEMBER JONES: Let me ask you			
20	differently. Did she indicate to you that an			
21	ambulance was called to your establishment?			
22	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. She told			

1 me --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

MEMBER JONES: Did she indicate to you that an individual was injured or appeared to be in need of medical attention at the establishment?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. Then an ambulance was called and the gentleman refused to get in the ambulance.

MEMBER JONES: Okay. Did she indicate --

MS. BERINDOAGUE: She approached the medics.

MEMBER JONES: Did she indicate to you that Mr. Peraza, specifically Mr. Peraza, called 911?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

MEMBER JONES: She did?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

19 | MEMBER JONES: Okay.

20 Thank you, Madam Chair.

21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Any

22 other questions?

1	Ms.	Mobley.
_	1.0.	11022 - 07.

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER MOBLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just want to clear this up just 3 following up on Board Member Jones' question. 4 5 We have testimony from Stacie Courbois. 6

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We don't have 8 testimony.

MEMBER MOBLEY: Not testimony. We have an exhibit --10

11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes. Okav.

> MEMBER MOBLEY: -- that states that there were three -- am I going in the right direction with this question?

15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm not sure.

16 There's an echo. If people could turn off 17 their mics when they are not using them.

> MEMBER MOBLEY: It said there were three gentlemen by his side and one was on the phone with the paramedics. I'm just curious as to the discrepancy.

> > CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

MEMBER MOBLEY: I'm hearing that 1 2 Mr. Peraza was asked to call. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: She didn't 3 4 hear that. You're going to have to repeat it. 5 MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay. Is the question appropriate? 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'm not sure 8 what you're getting at. So if you need it, 9 you can ask it. 10 MEMBER MOBLEY: Okay. I'm looking at the report from Mr. Peraza. It is stating 11 12 that he knew he called 911. 13 MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. 14 MEMBER MOBLEY: But then I'm looking at this Exhibit 5 that says there were 15 three gentlemen by his side and that one of 16 17 them was on the phone calling the paramedics. Then shortly thereafter the ambulance arrived. 18 19 I guess my question is if, in fact, Mr. Peraza 20 did he really, in fact, make that call to 911. 21 MS. BERINDOAGUE: She didn't tell

In

me how many called but it's possible.

circumstances like that a lot of people call
the police. I have called the police several
times for other establishments when I see
anything going on in the street.

MEMBER MOBLEY: Mr. Peraza here in this exhibit it's being stated that he asked the gentleman a couple questions, "What's your name? Where are you from? Where do you live? Where are your friends?" This other exhibit, according to the ABC manager, indicated that there were already three gentlemen by his side so my --

13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What exhibit are you referring to?

MEMBER MOBLEY: Exhibit 5.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Exhibit 5.

17 That is by Stacie Courbois?

18 MEMBER MOBLEY: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what's

20 your question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21 MEMBER MOBLEY: Well, I'm just

22 trying to figure out the discrepancy. Mr.

Peraza in this document never mentioned that there was anyone by his side. It sounds like he observed an older man inside the Cafe. He said inside laying on the ground. Then he says in front of the business on the sidewalk and that he was asking questions of this individual.

My question is if the ABC manager said there was three other gentlemen by his side, if the victim couldn't answer the question, why wasn't he in turn asking questions of the persons who were there helping this gentleman? I'm just trying to figure it out.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Did you also talk to Stacie Courbois about the incident?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. She told me about it.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And did you read her statement which is marked Exhibit 5?

That's what Ms. Mobley keeps referring to.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Do refresh could

1 I --

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Do you want to refresh your --

I think it's hard for her to answer without looking at this maybe.

MEMBER MOBLEY: It just stands to reason that if he had the victim laying on the ground up against a tree, and if Mr. Peraza is asking questions, it doesn't collaborate with what Stacie, the ABC manager, stated because she said there were three gentleman by his side, of which one did leave a little bit later on and that person was the one that had made the phone call.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: To me it just says -- she is just describing what she has seen. Again, I wasn't there but obviously there were a lot -- several people in the street.

There just happened to be three of them. Maybe she assumed they were his friends. That's why she described them. I

can't speak for her but that's what I think I understand she would have tried to say.

3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Any 4 other questions?

5 MEMBER MOBLEY: Thank you, Madam 6 Chair.

7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Jones. 8 MEMBER JONES: Thank you, Madam

9 Chair.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Have you read Exhibit 5? Have you read this statement from Stacie Courbois who is your manager?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. Awhile ago
yes, I did read it.

member Jones: To your recollection does this state anywhere in Exhibit 5 that she instructed Mr. Peraza to call 911? To your recollection.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: To my recollection I'm reading it now but I don't know if she specifically wrote absolutely everything that went that night. It was quite

hectic for her. She was pretty sick the whole night after that.

MEMBER JONES: So in your response to my question when I asked you specifically what did she communicate to you, you indicated that she told you that she instructed Mr.

Peraza, or instructed someone, to call 911.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: That is what Peraza said.

MEMBER JONES: No. I believe I asked you a question, if you had spoken to someone about the incident. You said yes. I asked you to whom and you said Stacie. You indicated Stacie had specifically told you that she made sure someone called 911.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: She always does make sure if there is an issue because it's necessary.

MEMBER JONES: Did she tell you that she called or had someone call 911?

That's what I got from your previous statement.

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I think what she said is, "Nelson called the police." I don't think she said, "Oh, Nelson. Go ahead and call the police." I think she said to me, "Nelson called the police." She let me know what happened.

MEMBER JONES: Okay. I'm having a problem correlating the two different statements in the sense of the exhibit that she apparently allegedly wrote was dated October 9, 2010, so it should have been very fresh in her recollection at that point in time. Nowhere in here do I read -- I could be wrong, but nowhere in here do I read that she either directly or indirectly accounted for the calling of 911 but I can be corrected on that.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yeah, uh -
MEMBER JONES: She doesn't appear

to come forth with your statement that he

spoke with you on the phone the next day.

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Well, what

happened is that night, like I said, she was crying, she was vomiting. She was really sick and stressed of what had happened. She could have forgotten something. I don't know. She probably tried to do the best she can with the statement.

MEMBER JONES: Then also as part of the Investigative Report, I believe, that was submitted and agreed to, it was in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 3 had several incident reports which appear to be similar to the incident report that you were just referring to from Mr. Peraza.

Exhibit 3 included incident reports from various individuals but none of them match the incident report to which you are referring to from Mr. Peraza unless I just can't read it.

So there is a Mr. Corillio, Mr.

Corill. Maybe they are both the same

individual. There is one unnamed incident

report. I'm just a little befuddled as to why

this incident report from Mr. Peraza didn't make it into the Exhibit 3 collection.

Maybe you have no insight into

that but did you receive this incident report,

this being the one from Mr. Peraza, at the

same time as you received all the other

incident reports or is there a different

mechanism or process by which Mr. Peraza does

his incident reports that is different from

everybody else's?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Honestly, I can't respond to that. What happened I don't know. I know that they were written at night but why I don't know.

MEMBER JONES: Should they all have been written at the same time within a certain period of time? For example --

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yeah, sometimes

-- I'm sorry. I know that sometimes it has
happened that precise night they might have
not write it and then they are requested again
by the manager, "You didn't write your report.

1	You have to write your report."
2	They will come the next day to
3	write a report. It has happened. I don't
4	know that is the case in these reports but it

5 has happened times that they forget or they

6 don't always.

7

MEMBER JONES: Okay. Thank you.

8 Thank you, Madam Chair.

9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any other

10 Board questions?

MEMBER ALBERTI: Ms. Berindoague, 11 12 did you talk to your staff about incidents

13 earlier in the evening?

14 MS. BERINDOAGUE: I apologize. 15 didn't hear you.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you talk to 16 17 your staff about incidents earlier in the evening? 18

19 MS. BERINDOAGUE: I'm sorry.

20 Earlier?

21 MR. KLINE: Objection. Assumes

22 facts not in evidence about an incident

-	- ·			
1	earlier	ın	the	evening.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes, there is.

3 Yes, there is.

4 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Williams.

5 MEMBER ALBERTI: Mr. Rivero

6 actually asked, I think, Deputy Williams about

7 that and it's in our Investigative Report. We

8 have an incident report. I can't find the

9 incident report but --

10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Williams

11 did testify about being told about --

12 MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm not sure I

have the full incident report. I've been

looking for it and I can't find it but it's

15 summarized in the Investigative Report.

16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. What's

17 | the question?

18 MEMBER ALBERTI: So the question

is did you talk to your staff about reports

20 earlier in the evening?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Like I said,

22 they told me everything. Yeah, everything

1 that happened they tell me, yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. What did they tell you about the incident earlier in the evening?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: They told me that there was a customer who had approached the bar and asked for a drink. The customer looked already intoxicated and that the bartender refused to serve him and instead gave him a glass of water. He just threw the glass of water and got upset. Then she called security and they escort him out.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Did they tell you what time it was?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: I didn't ask the time. At the time I didn't say what time it was. They just told me about what had happened.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Is the time in the incident report? You don't know? Did you read the incident report?

MS. BERINDOAGUE: Probably I did

22

from?

1 MS. BERINDOAGUE: Well, they were 2 given to me all together. I didn't go look for them. 3 4 MR. KLINE: Okay. Fair enough. 5 That's all I have. Thank you. MR. RIVERO: Ms. Berindoaque, I 6 7 want to go ahead and show you the document 8 that was admitted by your attorney which is a 9 statement, the handwritten statement by Nelson 10 If you look at it, it doesn't say what time he called the police. Is that 11 12 right? 13 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: The documents 14 speak for themselves. I'm not sure. Are you going somewhere with this? 15 16 MR. RIVERO: Yes, I am. 17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 18 MR. RIVERO: Did he tell you what 19 time he called the police? 2.0 MS. BERINDOAGUE: No, I didn't ask 21 him what time. When he called the police I 22 thought, you know --

Page 193 1 MR. RIVERO: So you don't know 2 what time he called the police? 3 MS. BERINDOAGUE: No. MR. RIVERO: What does Nelson 4 5 Peraza look like? Does he have hair on his 6 head? 7 MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes. MR. RIVERO: Thank you. 8 9 No further questions. 10 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you 11 very much. 12 Now are we ready for closing? think you'll be able to do it within five 13 14 minutes each of you. 15 MR. RIVERO: I'm going to try to do it within three minutes. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 18 MR. RIVERO: Board Members, we are 19 here today because I've got to prove to you 20 that what I say happened happened. There is 21 a very specific reason why I am very specific

in what I say happened.

22

I said the establishment did not call the police for this incident. You've got a lot of facts and testimony about a whole lot of other stuff. Happily I'm here to tell you you don't have to pay much attention to any of that. Okay?

We'll start with what appears to be the smoking gun, the most damning piece of evidence that will prove to you that I failed to do my job. This is a statement by Nelson Peraza that says, "I called 911." Then what am I doing here? Leave aside the fact that I've just seen this, first of all.

We don't know when he called the police but we do know when the police was called because that is Exhibit 8 in Tyrone

Lawson's report. The police itself said, "We got a call at 5:04 a.m." This incident did not occur at 5:04 a.m.

Let's assume and give the benefit of the doubt he did call. It's more likely than not that he may have called at 5:04 a.m.

because we have an actual record that someone called. Okay?

I submit to you, however, that 5:04 a.m. is not the same thing as calling about this incident because they might as well have called the following year. We have to have a reasonable reading about what I'm trying to prove to you all.

But we do have uncontroverted evidence about who called the police and that was the fire department. Right? They called and said, "Hey, we need some help. We've got a belligerent person here." Officer Williams showed up and the rest is history. She got to the bottom of an actual witness who said, "Yes, I called the police. I saw this person pushed."

Now, the person was either pushed or, according to Rodrigo Reyes' statement, also in evidence, and admission by licensee, he walked into the guy's hand, lost balance, and fell down. We can argue all day long

1 about whether that's an assault.

We can argue all day long whether the professional opinion of Officer Williams concludes that this was a crime or not a crime. That's not what I'm suppose to prove to you all.

Did the establishment call the police within a meaningful sense of what I'm saying call the police. There is zero evidence to prove that. The closest we have is 5:00 in the morning. Okay?

Now, we do have a lot of statements about he said, she said they didn't like each other. All that goes to credibility and the most you have about this, because Investigator Stewart is not a psychologist and he is not qualified to say there was tension and these people didn't like each other.

There was a lack of communication.

It may have been the case. It is entirely beside the point. You don't have anybody here from the establishment that meaningful called

1 the police when this incident happened.

That's all that this is about.

Now, yes, I want to give the licensee the benefit of the doubt. A long time has happened. Let's say that everything they say is true. It still doesn't obviate or contradict the evidence I put before you that someone called.

We can actually mesh all this together and discount a lot of he said she said. That's what this case is about. Luckily we will never get to the truth of what actually happened.

I'm not here to prove the truth which is why we have this thing called preponderance of the evidence; is it more likely than not that the establishment did, in fact, call when they were suppose to call. The closest we can get to by statements that are contemporaneous and not dependent on people's memory is 5:04 a.m. Thank you very much.

1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

MR. KLINE: Thank you. There is something missing from the Government's argument and it's really the last thing that was said which is when they are suppose to call.

This case is about violation of the security plan. Now, we all agree, and we all know, that the preferred practice and we recommend that licensees call the police when a crime has been committed and when there is an act of violence and so on and so forth, but live or die by our security plan.

This is an attempt to hang this licensee with their security plan. That's what all the cases about security plans are about. I'm not criticizing it. I'm merely stating it as a fact. That's our starting point. We have to look at the security plan.

The security plan says, "Licensee will familiarize all security staff with provisions of the Unruly Patrons Ordinance,"

whatever that is. "When a patron acts in a manner that is violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, or otherwise disorderly, licensee will immediately contact the police and request that the police invoke the provisions of this ordinance."

Now, I don't know what that means.

Officer Williams clearly didn't know what it meant. But when Officer Williams was asked whether there was any patron that acted in a manner that was violent, she said no.

Abusive, she said no. Indecent, she said no. Profane, boisterous, or otherwise disorderly, she said no.

Under that provision where does the obligation to call the police arise?

Granted, we concede that is the better practice and we believe that there's evidence before you that they did, indeed, do what was appropriate which was call 911 when they saw someone injured.

In the first instance, the

obligation has to arise from the security plan because the ABC statute and the ABC regulations do not contain any provision that says thou shall call the police under certain circumstances.

The other provision that's in the security plan which may be relevant, and both of these are cited in the Investigative Report so it's quite easy, "The police will be called in a timely manner anytime management or staff have information to believe a crime has been or is about to be committed, or whenever a threat or act of violence occurs on the premises."

Now, I'm sure there are some of you that will take at face value the third party hearsay that we have -- maybe it's only second party now -- from the witness that was relayed by the witness to Officer Williams who then told you what was said.

We all know who have been here before and who have been in administrative

hearings that the rules concerning hearsay evidence are pretty lax. However, they are not non-existent. There are rules and the rules are as follows.

If the Board is going to rely on hearsay evidence, then the hearsay has to meet a reliability standard. The reliability standard as set forth in Gropp v. District of Columbia Board of Dentistry at 606 Atlantic 2nd, 1010, footnote 10, is as follows:

(1) Whether the declarant is biased; (2) whether the testimony is corroborated; (3) whether the hearsay statement is contradicted by direct testimony; (4) whether the declarant is available to testify and be cross-examined; and (5) whether the hearsay statements were signed or sworn.

Under that test maybe the

Government meets one of them but we don't know whether the declarant was biased because we don't know who they are. We don't know what their motivation was.

We don't know why it is they called the police. We don't know whether it was the guy's buddy or they had an ax to grind. We don't know. We don't have any information whatsoever as to who the declarant is other than his name.

Second, whether it's corroborated.

It's not. The only statement Mr. Peraza

allegedly told the police officer that there

was an assault perpetrated by a security

person affiliated with Cafe Citron. That's

it.

No. 3, whether it's contradicted by direct testimony. It is not contradicted by direct testimony but there is other hearsay that certainly contradicts the notion that there was an assault by a member of Cafe Citron security.

Fourth, whether the declarant is available to testify and be cross-examined.

We have the name of the declarant. The officer indicated that she spoke to him on the

phone. The Government didn't produce him and doesn't explain why they are not here.

No. 5, whether the hearsay statements were signed or sworn. Clearly they are not. They are oral statements.

Now, I understand the Board's propensity to want to believe what police officers say on the stand and what investigators say on the stand and I respect that. In this case it's not what the officer says.

It's what a third party says who is not here who we can't evaluate. We have no opportunity to evaluate their credibility.

Under those circumstances we would submit that it is not sufficient to sustain a finding that there was violence on the premises of the establishment such that there was responsibility triggered under the security plan to call the police.

Even if the Board finds otherwise that the hearsay testimony is reliable and

should be relied upon, the evidence from the licensee's side is that when this situation was identified, 911 was called. 911 says, "Police, emergency, fire."

Reading the literal security plan to say, "Gee, when you called up you should have specified police." It's not clear from the witness statement from the establishment what he specified. I think it's reasonable to conclude that he saw people injured and said, "We've got people injured laying the sidewalk. Send the paramedics."

When the paramedics got there,
what's in the report is that the victim, or
the alleged victim, was belligerent. That's
why they called the police, not because of an
assault.

It's all woven together with string and we would submit under the laws of the District of Columbia governing hearsay and given the fact that we are looking at construing the security plan in such a way as

to find an obligation that does not arise under the law, we would ask you to find for the licensee. Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. I think that concludes our hearing for today -- for tonight. I am going to read the instructions for closed meeting and the order that will be coming.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic

Beverage Control Board for the District of

Columbia and in accordance with Section 405 of

the Open Meetings Amendment Act -- back up.

I forgot to ask you all if you want to waive findings of fact and conclusions of law or whether you want to file.

MR. KLINE: We'll waive if the Government is waiving.

MR. RIVERO: In that case the Government will waive.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So I will start again unless there is anything else I'm overlooking. Okay.

1 As Chairperson of the Alcoholic 2 Beverage Control Board for the District of 3 Columbia and in accordance with Section 405 of the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010, I 4 5 move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting 6 for the purpose of (1) seeking legal advice 7 from our counsel in case #10-251-00245 and 8 #11-251-00146 per Section 405(b)(4) of the 9 Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010. 10 And (2) deliberating upon case #10-251-00245 and #11-251-00146 for the reason 11 12 cited in Section 405(b)(13) of the Open 13 Meetings Amendment Act of 2010. Is there a 14 second? 15 MEMBER NOPHLIN: Second. 16 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I'll now take a roll call vote on the motion before us now 17 that it's been seconded. 18 19 Mr. Nophlin. 2.0 MEMBER NOPHLIN: I agree. 21 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Brooks. 22 MEMBER BROOKS: I agree.

Page 207 1 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Alberti. 2 MEMBER ALBERTI: I agree. 3 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Miller, I 4 agree. 5 Mr. Silverstein. 6 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I agree. 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Mobley. 8 MEMBER MOBLEY: I agree. 9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Jones. 10 MEMBER JONES: I agree. 11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. 12 vote is seven zero zero on the motion. As it 13 appears the motion as passed, I hereby give 14 notice that the ABC Board will hold a closed 15 meeting in the ABC Board conference room 16 today, tonight, whatever, pursuant to the Open 17 Meetings Amendment Act of 2010 and issue an 18 order within 90 days. 19 That does conclude our hearing. 20 Thank you very much. 21 (Whereupon, at 8:03 p.m. the 22 hearing was adjourned.)

	adjourn 9:9	107:15 109:8	Amendment 8:3	58:3 66:22 87:11
A D C 0 4 120 6	adjourned 207:22	184:3 190:21	130:5 205:12	approached 57:2,4
<b>ABC</b> 8:4 130:6	adjudicated 5:18	192:7	206:4,9,13 207:17	57:8 99:14,15
179:10 180:8	6:5	aid 22:12	amounted 141:20	137:16 176:11
181:10 200:2,2	Administration	Alberti 1:23 8:19	ANC-2B 1:12 3:7	189:6
206:5 207:14,15	13:2	8:20 10:6,7 85:19	Andrew 3:9	appropriate 6:8
<b>able</b> 39:11,19 41:13	administrative	85:20,21 86:16	and/or 95:3	13:17 14:1 178:6
41:17 56:19 57:7	128:18 200:22	87:6,11 88:4,7,10	and/of 93.3 angle 124:16	199:20
62:21 75:5,6	admissibility 16:16	88:13 89:4,15,20	angry 140:6	appropriately
77:22 80:3,5 82:2	admissible 127:14	90:8,11 91:7,13	answer 77:4 122:14	127:10
86:11 115:1 118:8	128:14,16	91:20 92:2,12,17	158:4,10 166:20	approximate
138:19 193:13	admission 17:10	92:21 93:1 126:11	175:16 180:10	100:12
<b>ABRA</b> 33:13,14,19	195:20	130:19,20 143:22	181:5	approximately
80:3 81:14 101:3	admit 120:6 128:20	144:2,10,14 145:4	<b>answered</b> 61:15	18:15 142:3
110:7 134:4,21	128:20 155:12	150:19 151:4	147:2	150:20
135:3 141:14	admitted 16:18	150:19 151:4	answering 45:4	April 4:1 7:2 11:10
165:16	17:13,16 54:21	188:2,5,12,18	146:20	11:14 12:15 13:14
absolutely 139:15	67:9 119:22 120:1	189:2,13,19 190:4	anybody 9:22	161:8
182:21	120:4,21 155:18	190:8,12 207:1,2	163:15 196:21	arbitrate 6:16
abstaining 133:4	162:16,17 192:8	alcoholic 1:3,17,18	anytime 93:10 95:2	area 137:6,13
abusive 63:21	advice 8:6 130:8	7:22 13:1 87:20	145:9 200:10	144:22 150:13
199:2,12	206:6	87:22 130:2 205:9	anyway 81:7	arena 126:17
accept 7:13 9:17	advise 148:3	206:1	anyway 61.7 apart 144:11	argue 127:5 195:22
119:17		alleged 14:4 134:15	<b>APL</b> 80:13	196:2
accepted 6:16	advised 20:5,16 24:4,11,20 72:22	204:15		
accepts 6:15	93:16 137:3	allegedly 46:4 70:6	<b>apologize</b> 133:21 145:12 146:8	<b>argument</b> 2:3,4 107:19 198:4
accord 5:6	<b>affiliated</b> 202:11	70:8 184:10 202:9	154:12 163:12	
account 127:16		allowed 1:13 11:7	170:16 187:14	<b>arguments</b> 9:15 127:6
accounted 184:15	<b>afternoon</b> 3:8,10 3:12,16 11:2	81:22 166:18,19		arrival 20:14 25:19
accurate 157:13,15	15:13,14 45:16,18	alongside 22:11	apparent 21:22 40:6	35:10 140:4
158:7 163:7	agenda 8:8 130:11	altered 6:4	apparently 20:17	arrive 147:19
accusing 88:18	aggressive 137:5	ambulance 20:11	21:19 31:18 32:12	arrive 147.19 arrived 51:11,15
act 8:3 130:5	144:21 146:18	20:16,21 21:5,11	66:8,12 86:2	87:6 88:22 93:20
198:12 200:13	149:15	22:13,14 23:3,6,7	87:17 184:10	141:22 178:18
205:12 206:4,9,13	agitated 138:3	23:10,12,17 24:6	appeal 6:17	arriving 4:14
207:17	ago 7:1 14:5 182:13	24:9 26:14,21	appear 117:12,15	ascertain 169:20
acted 199:10	agree 8:16,18,20,22	34:11,18 35:1,9	127:19 184:19	aside 139:10
action 104:16	9:2,4,6 10:3,5,7,9	35:14,19,22 36:6	185:11	194:12
132:9	10:11,13,15	37:16 50:20 51:1	appeared 21:6	asked 27:11,13
acts 127:14 128:13	130:16,18,22	51:6,8,10,15 52:5	139:22 176:3	28:3 34:21,22
128:14,15 129:18	130:10,18,22	53:20 54:2,5,7,13	appears 194:7	36:4 45:8 47:20
199:1	206:20,22 207:2,4	54:16 62:13 84:11	207:13	48:16 53:2 59:6
actual 17:22 40:2	207:6,8,10	86:7 87:7,8,10,14	<b>Applicant</b> 2:2,4	60:3 61:5 73:19
195:1,15	agreed 185:9	87:15 88:8,15,20	applies 88:20	74:2 90:17 92:6
add 6:18	ahead 35:11 79:16	89:1,2,5 93:15	appreciate 9:15	93:15 100:8 102:9
address 6:12	79:19 93:6 96:13	104:13 175:21	167:13	102:10,20,20
131:19 132:12	100:17 105:14,14	176:7,8 178:18	approach 57:21	102:10,20,20
adequate 11:19	100.17 103.14,14	170.7,0 170.10	approach 37.21	103.17 104.1
	l		l	<u> </u>

110 0 10 10 21	60 5 00 10 04 15	110 10 114 4 11		1540101600
110:9,13,18,21	69:5 93:12 94:15	113:18 114:4,11	basis 6:20 65:19,21	154:3,12,16,22
111:10,17 121:15	95:3 198:14	114:14 116:15	67:14 68:22 77:16	155:8,10 156:3,6
122:9 125:10	attempted 28:12	117:2 131:9,14	121:2	156:10,15,18,21
138:6 139:6	48:4 60:14 94:10	161:9 205:12	bear 106:1,5	157:3,7,10,14,22
146:22 147:1	112:3	<b>bad</b> 127:14 128:13	bearing 67:20	158:11 159:5,6,9
148:22 161:13	attempting 28:13	128:14,15 129:18	beaten 76:7	159:15,18 160:2
167:5 170:7	102:15 103:18	<b>badge</b> 58:6 109:17	befuddled 185:22	160:11,15,17,20
171:15 172:10	attempts 169:19	badgering 159:21	beg 65:2 72:8	161:3,7,13,20
178:2 179:6 183:4	attention 55:1	159:22	<b>began</b> 20:15 28:15	162:3,11 163:6,12
183:11,13 188:6	134:14 176:4	balance 195:21	32:9 33:21 34:10	164:14,20 165:3,9
189:7 199:9	194:5	<b>bald</b> 21:14 25:21	111:7	165:13,17 166:4
<b>asking</b> 7:13 67:15	attentive 170:12	26:8,11,11 74:7	beginning 113:12	166:10,15 167:1
67:17 68:5,9 77:1	attest 118:8	115:17 117:11	113:13	167:10,20 168:1
89:15 126:4	<b>attire</b> 117:16	<b>bang</b> 24:14	<b>behalf</b> 3:9 9:16	168:12,18,21
147:19 160:6	attired 11:16	bar 25:18 28:6,7,9	behaving 53:11	169:3,6,10 170:1
180:6,11 181:9	attorney 17:6	28:22 30:11 32:12	<b>belief</b> 12:6 50:3	170:5,11,15 171:2
aspect 106:8	192:8	61:8,16 81:1	<b>believe</b> 4:16,18 6:7	171:9,14,21 172:1
assault 11:10,22	attorneys 80:10	88:18 94:13,15	16:20 18:10 27:21	172:9,22 173:2,5
12:4 38:4,5	authenticity 16:15	100:5 104:18	33:20 39:2,22	173:10,15,21
110:15 148:10,11	author 171:7	105:1 107:14	50:6,10,12 63:16	174:3,6,10,13,17
174:19,22 196:1	authored 170:22	112:7 114:15,18	63:19,21 64:5,10	174:21 175:4,8,17
202:10,17 204:17	<b>authority</b> 61:11,13	117:2 189:7	64:20,21 68:20	175:22 176:6,11
assaulted 11:18	61:16 79:20	bartender 25:7,12	81:18,21,22 92:8	176:16,18 178:13
22:6 77:18 78:16	available 52:16	25:14 26:6 27:11	100:13 107:11	178:21 180:17,22
assaulting 75:15	97:21 123:22	27:11,12,13 28:15	108:4 109:1	181:15 182:13,19
assessment 7:7	201:15 202:20	29:15 30:3 32:9	126:12 128:2	183:8,16 184:1,18
assigned 151:21	<b>Avenue</b> 1:10 3:6	33:1,6 60:14,17	129:17 134:11	184:22 186:11,18
160:3	18:6 20:4 24:5	60:18 61:3 79:5	136:2 139:17	187:11,14,19
assignment 22:15	aware 4:21 7:5	95:8 96:8,10,11	140:20 146:15	188:21 189:5,15
22:19	46:14,17 84:14	99:13,14,17	148:3,5 149:13	189:22 190:6,10
assist 20:3 23:17	110:14	102:21 103:6	183:10 185:8	190:22 191:4,6,12
24:5 54:1 137:6	<b>Awhile</b> 182:13	106:16,20,22	199:18 200:11	191:15,19 192:1,6
138:18	ax 202:3	107:5,13 108:14	203:7	192:20 193:3,7
assistance 23:3,8	aye 133:1,2	110:2 113:6 189:9	<b>believed</b> 22:1 51:21	best 137:18 185:5
23:11	<b>a.m</b> 18:16 150:21	bartenders 138:1,2	115:18 146:16	<b>better</b> 199:17
assume 151:3	194:18,19,22	138:6 139:5	believes 65:22	<b>beverage</b> 1:3,17,18
194:20	195:4 197:21	bartender's 28:19	125:3	8:1 13:1 87:21,22
assumed 181:21		28:20	belligerent 20:6	130:3 205:10
<b>Assumes</b> 187:21	<u>B</u>	<b>based</b> 12:7 14:14	23:6 24:6 53:18	206:2
Atlantic 201:9	<b>b</b> 68:6	19:6 32:4 52:3	54:4,11 88:2,5	<b>beyond</b> 131:19
attached 123:3	back 9:9,14 20:18	60:10 62:20,20	111:13 195:13	132:14
191:10	22:8 24:14 27:5	68:4,16 70:10,14	204:15	<b>biased</b> 50:7 201:12
attachment 67:2,15	45:9 69:7 74:15	71:1,11,11 72:3	<b>benefit</b> 194:20	201:20
attachments 66:14	74:19,20 82:11	89:14 92:1,6	197:4	<b>big</b> 128:3
66:19 67:4 83:3	86:3,13 89:5	117:12 121:14	Berindoague 2:9	<b>bit</b> 58:9 60:1,3
119:19 120:7	90:19 94:20 101:5	basically 68:4	3:10,11 152:15,20	101:6 125:12
attempt 52:19 58:3	112:6 113:15,17	109:7	153:3,4,8,14,20	181:12 190:18

hll- 26-12-107-12	102.10.106.14	54.10.04.21	<b>W</b>	155.1 160.17
black 26:12 107:12	102:10 106:14	54:10 94:21	calling 81:13 94:11	155:1 169:17
107:12 117:22	107:21 112:4,8	103:18 107:18	103:19,22 128:16	176:20 177:3
118:1	114:10,12 115:5	110:7 126:1	167:17,19 168:17	182:6,9 187:8
block 20:4 24:5	117:7	127:20 133:8	178:17 184:16	Chairperson 1:19
blocks 33:20	<b>bounds</b> 146:17	135:13 136:12,13	195:4	1:22 3:3,15,19
<b>blood</b> 86:16,19	<b>Brandon</b> 42:6,9,10	144:15,16 146:3,5	calls 135:12 141:14	6:10 7:17,22 8:13
board 1:3,18 3:12	42:11 45:21 56:9	146:9,11,13	144:4 150:10	8:17,19,21 9:3,5,7
3:20 4:21 5:1 6:3	<b>brief</b> 139:9	147:12,18 148:3	calmed 138:8	9:13,21 10:4,6,8
6:11,16,20 7:4,9	<b>briefly</b> 175:16	149:4 152:14	CALVIN 1:22	10:12,14,16 13:5
7:13,18 8:1,4 9:22	bring 95:3 104:8	167:5 171:16	cameras 143:13	13:9 14:17 15:8
11:2 13:10,15,20	117:7 122:22	174:2,9 178:2,20	capable 124:21	16:7,10 17:2,5,9
13:22 17:8 20:3,5	127:21 129:15	179:1 181:14	capacity 148:1	17:12 29:14,17
20:8 24:6 30:14	161:14 Programs 1:22 0:17	182:18 183:7,20	captured 131:20	30:1,12 31:13
31:19 45:12 52:20	Brooks 1:23 8:17	184:3 194:2,18,21	car 101:19 109:16	32:3 45:14 55:15
91:19 106:10	8:18 10:4,5	196:7,9 197:18,18	cards 150:9	55:21 65:19 66:4
118:12 121:15,18	130:17,18 206:21	198:6,10 199:16	<b>carried</b> 11:16	66:10,16 67:1,13
121:22 122:8,10	206:22	199:20 200:4	carry 141:16	67:22 68:11 69:3
122:15 124:11,21	<b>brought</b> 95:8 145:9 168:22	203:20 206:17	case 1:8 3:3,22 4:2	69:8 73:22 74:5
125:2,16,19 126:5		called 14:9,12	4:17,20,22 5:6 8:5	75:21 76:2,17,22
126:7,8 127:7,9	<b>buddy</b> 202:3	22:12,21 32:14	8:9,10 13:12	79:1 82:8,10,13
128:5,12,18 129:5	Building 1:18 bureau 76:10	36:19,19 37:6,10	14:13,14 33:7,8	82:16,20 83:1,8
129:7,10,14,17		38:15 39:21 40:3 46:12 47:13 51:8	67:21 68:18 76:12	83:12 84:1,4,8,18
130:3,6 132:8,15 132:22 133:2	business 80:22		77:2 121:13,21	85:9,15,20 90:6,9
	107:3 150:9 180:5	53:6,7,22 62:2	122:1 128:17	93:3,5 96:20 97:4
140:13 142:17	191:2 <b>button</b> 21:15	63:4,7 68:22	129:9,19 130:7,10	97:7,10,14,18
150:17 154:15		69:21 72:9,20	148:6 151:8,21	98:2 99:9 118:10
162:14,18 177:4	button-up 21:15	73:1,4,7,11,16,17	187:4 196:20	118:11,15,19
187:10 190:15 193:18 201:5,9	26:12 117:6,10,22 118:1	73:20 74:3 80:3 84:9,11 87:17	197:11 198:7 203:10 205:18	119:2,6,10,13,21
203:21 205:10	110.1	91:17 92:13 94:1	205.10 205.18	120:5,9,14,17,18 121:6,9 123:7
206:2,5 207:14,15		101:3 125:5	cases 3:22 198:16	121.0,9 123.7
Board's 8:8 14:18	Cafe 1:9,10 3:4,5	126:16 127:16	cast 76:13	124:13 123.8
72:8 130:11 203:6	11:3,4 12:9 18:10	167:5 168:2,7,10	CAT 38:13	128:4 129:2,20
<b>boisterous</b> 64:11	21:7,19 24:12	168:22 169:4,9,12	cause 1:10 3:16	130:2,14,17,19,21
199:3,13	25:1,6,22 26:1	171:11 172:19	11:4 77:16	131:3,5,7,13
<b>book</b> 164:21 165:2	27:3 28:4 39:9	175:21 176:7,15	caused 22:7	132:21 133:3,10
191:6,7,18	40:6,9 44:13	178:12,22 179:2	causing 24:13	133:15 134:2
<b>bother</b> 115:2	74:10,12,16 75:14	183:15,20 184:2,5	cell 33:19 44:16	140:13,17 142:17
<b>bottle</b> 59:16	77:17 134:16	189:11 192:11,19	141:15	143:20 144:1
<b>bottom</b> 85:5 195:15	135:2 136:10,14	192:21 193:2	certain 28:7 186:17	145:6,20 147:4,7
<b>bouncer</b> 21:6,20,21	138:4 141:1 153:7	194:11,14,16,22	200:4	148:16,21 149:7
22:2,5 24:12 25:2	180:3 202:11,17	195:2,6,10,11,16	<b>certainly</b> 7:6 51:14	149:16 150:5,14
28:14 30:8 40:7,9	calculating 5:21	196:22 197:8,15	76:13 126:21	151:5 152:6,16,21
46:4 74:10,12,16	<b>call</b> 8:13 13:6 23:2	200:9 202:2 204:3	127:5,16 128:15	153:11 155:11,17
75:13 77:17 78:1	29:2 36:17 37:8	204:6,16	128:18 202:16	157:19 158:2,8,13
90:22 104:9	39:19,20 44:8,11	caller 36:14 73:1	Chair 93:4 99:8,12	158:17 159:1,4
<b>bouncers</b> 100:9	44:15,19,22 45:9	92:20	146:1 148:15	162:20 167:15,21
	I .	I	I	ı

	1	1	l	1
168:8,16,19 169:2	25:1,6,22 26:1	come 27:5 51:8	9:18 10:18 13:12	125:13,13 129:22
169:4,7,13 175:10	27:3 28:4 39:10	52:16 69:7 74:15	concede 199:17	130:9
175:15 176:21	40:9 44:13 74:10	74:19,20 80:4	concerned 33:9	consideration 8:9
177:7,11,15,22	74:12,17 75:14	81:7 84:13 88:7	39:12	considered 4:11,13
178:3,7 179:13,16	77:17 134:16	100:9,15 102:15	concerning 12:12	considering 30:2
179:19 180:15,19	135:2 136:10,14	103:10 104:10,18	14:22 52:11	132:1
181:2 182:3,7	138:5 141:2 153:7	104:19 105:9,10	128:12 201:1	considers 132:14
187:9 188:4,10,16	202:11,18	105:13 108:18	concerns 11:5	consist 4:4
190:14,20 192:13	Citron's 40:6	111:4 113:9	12:19 121:16,16	consistent 5:13 7:9
192:17 193:10,17	<b>claim</b> 21:20	114:10,10 118:2	122:11	87:21
198:1 205:4,9,20	claimed 44:7	122:14 147:16	conclude 65:14	construing 204:22
206:1,16,21 207:1	claiming 89:12	161:9 170:3 172:5	68:16 69:1 71:1	consume 87:20
207:3,7,9,11	clarification	184:20 187:2	71:11,15 90:3	<b>contact</b> 11:20 12:3
chance 143:4	147:10	comes 79:20 165:4	204:10 207:19	24:22 25:8 36:5
144:22	clarify 26:7	comfortable 163:2	concludes 13:3	122:4 132:6
changes 7:8	<b>clear</b> 80:17 91:16	163:6,7,13	190:15 196:4	141:18,21 149:19
<b>chaos</b> 94:14	101:16 120:2	<b>coming</b> 52:7 81:9	205:5	149:20 150:11
characterization	126:10 146:2	169:8 205:8	conclusion 7:10	172:5 199:4
124:10	170:8 177:3 204:7	<b>comment</b> 123:8,10	14:13	contacted 23:14
<b>charge</b> 4:5,7,8 6:22	clearing 105:21	128:13	conclusions 205:14	72:10 73:4 134:14
14:15 122:3,5,15	<b>clearly</b> 14:8 81:10	comments 79:5	concur 7:6	134:19,21 135:2,5
122:17,19 125:7	99:17 101:6,16	132:22	conditions 6:2	135:22 150:3
125:22 126:22	106:12 199:8	committed 11:9	conduct 124:11	contain 200:3
127:4 129:11	203:4	64:21 65:16 67:18	126:17 129:6	contained 71:13
132:1,13 165:1	<b>Clelia</b> 2:7 3:11	68:8,10,20 70:12	conducted 34:7	contemporaneous
charged 13:17	152:15 153:3	70:13,16 71:2,9	126:19	197:20
80:12 123:6	close 22:1,2 42:7	71:16,21 89:14,17	conducting 19:9	context 101:6
<b>charges</b> 4:20 5:20	135:16	89:19 90:5 198:11	31:21 76:20	147:21
5:21 6:8 11:5	<b>closed</b> 7:21 8:4 9:8	200:12	<b>confer</b> 139:11	continuance
12:12,16 75:16	130:6 131:9 205:7	communicate	conference 207:15	123:14 124:13
76:13 77:19	206:5 207:14	23:12 146:12	confident 163:21	131:16,22 132:18
121:10,13 122:3	<b>closest</b> 196:10	183:5	164:11 170:21	<b>continue</b> 24:8 32:7
122:10 124:17,20	197:19	communication	confirm 116:6	36:9 128:7
125:1,17 127:7	<b>closing</b> 2:3,4 127:6	139:20 196:19	150:20	continued 34:9
128:22	161:8 190:16	<b>Company</b> 153:18	confronted 89:8	39:18 43:19 107:2
check 147:20	193:12	complaints 141:10	connect 23:12	113:20,21 122:21
choosing 91:15	<b>club</b> 111:11	complected 117:11	connected 23:14	128:9
circumstance	<b>clue</b> 168:3	complete 138:20	72:14 75:14	Continuous 105:3
65:10	<b>Code</b> 4:6,9 5:4,4,8	completed 138:22	Connecticut 1:10	105:5
circumstances 6:21	5:13 11:11 12:1	157:1 164:18	3:6 18:6 20:4	contradict 197:7
7:2 32:20 69:22	132:7,9	completely 20:19	24:5	contradicted
115:13 179:1	collaborate 181:9	58:22 59:11	connection 11:21	201:14 202:13,14
200:5 203:15	collection 186:2	122:17	12:4 13:21 48:10	contradicts 202:16
cited 200:8 206:12	<b>Columbia</b> 1:1 3:14	complied 6:2	48:17,19,22 49:6	<b>control</b> 1:3,17,18
<b>Citron</b> 1:10 3:5	8:2 20:12 130:4	<b>comply</b> 1:14 27:12	49:18 50:13 153:6	8:1 104:3 130:3
11:4 12:9 18:11	201:9 204:20	121:11 132:4	153:7	205:10 206:2
21:7,19 24:13	205:11 206:3	Compromise 3:20	consider 7:20	controvert 56:11
	8		-	-

conversation 71:14	191:11,14	198:11 200:11	declarant 201:11	13:16 70:10 71:8
91:14 92:4,7	<b>corrected</b> 184:16	criminal 24:21	201:15,20 202:5	71:20 72:3 129:19
166:9,12,21	correctly 88:21	25:3 27:6 33:8	202:19,21	<b>determine</b> 25:1
167:18	99:20 131:20	34:9 36:9 38:2	decline 10:17	77:16 90:4 93:12
conversations	136:18	79:21 81:4 96:16	defense 83:20	127:15 128:1
169:18	correlating 184:8	100:6 112:15	defined 118:5	<b>determined</b> 75:13
Cool 101:15	corroborate 50:18	criminally 75:17	definitely 42:17	76:11
cooperate 33:4,12	123:2	78:18	140:9	die 198:13
79:6,16 95:10	corroborated	criticizing 198:17	deliberating 130:9	<b>different</b> 29:12
96:13 99:19	201:13 202:7	cross 2:5 45:15	206:10	30:7 69:22,22
100:16 105:12	corroborating 52:2	158:19	deliberation 8:10	92:8 122:17
127:18 137:7	counsel 8:7 67:11	cross-examined	Dentistry 201:9	173:16,18 184:8
138:7,10 139:10	67:16 83:20 130:9	201:16 202:20	deny 30:13 32:3	186:7,9
cooperating 99:4	206:7		deny 30.13 32.3 department 12:22	differently 160:3
136:10 144:20	counselor 84:6	crying 185:2 curious 177:20	15:21 16:2,5	175:20
			ŕ	
<b>cooperation</b> 32:18	counsel's 123:12	<b>customer</b> 189:6,7	28:11 69:17 84:22	direct 2:5 54:22
81:16 98:8,12	124:10	customers 170:12	101:8 134:22	110:5 201:14
121:19 126:12	couple 87:5 93:7	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	145:10 195:11	202:14,15
128:12	179:7	2:1	department's	directed 97:1,22
<b>cooperative</b> 59:1	Courbois 58:10,12		85:17	100:3
59:12 108:9,10	95:13 136:18	damning 194:8	dependent 197:20	<b>direction</b> 108:19
139:5	144:6,7,9,9,10,15	dark-colored 21:15	Depending 159:18	177:14
copied 66:15	145:8 165:7,11,15	date 6:6 18:12	<b>Deputy</b> 188:6	directly 20:12
copies 17:7 120:7	174:11,14 177:6	19:17 44:2 156:8	<b>describe</b> 32:9 38:10	110:3 184:15
<b>copy</b> 17:6,22 83:6	179:17 180:16		60:16 85:22	disagree 130:20
164:21	182:11	<b>dated</b> 156:5 163:5 184:10	described 20:21	131:2
Corill 185:20	course 13:19 22:17		21:7 29:20 30:19	disclose 28:16
Corillio 185:19	28:3 41:8 53:9	dawned 26:13	31:10 39:19 40:3	discount 197:10
corner 55:18	58:8 70:1,17	day 31:1 43:16 70:1	40:4 41:14 53:20	discovered 78:14
correct 46:14 47:4	80:12 94:9,12	70:17 150:8	60:10 62:1,2,12	discrepancy 177:21
49:20 50:3,4 51:2	125:4 191:2	156:22 173:11,13	74:6 111:20	179:22
51:16,21 52:3,8	cover 3:21 4:19	173:17,22 174:2,4	115:12 151:17	discuss 30:3 165:6
53:18 54:5 55:10	co-author 142:22	174:7 184:21	181:22	165:10
56:22 57:17 60:21	CR 1:12	187:2 195:22	describing 30:10	discussed 165:14
61:21 62:22 64:7	credibility 76:14	196:2	65:9 181:16	disorderly 1:14
66:16 69:10,19	126:13 196:14	days 4:10,16	description 104:12	11:8 64:11 199:3
70:1,5,16 71:16	203:14	207:18	deserves 132:16	199:13
72:11,15 73:18	credible 162:14	<b>DCMR</b> 5:10	162:19	dispatch 54:10
74:8 75:17 76:10	<b>crime</b> 26:16 35:20	deal 88:5 121:17	detailed 92:3	91:8
77:10,11,20 84:15	48:13 49:6,16	127:9	details 136:8	dispatcher 20:5
101:8 118:13	64:21 65:15 67:17	<b>dealing</b> 29:8 33:7	137:18 169:8,11	22:16 23:14,15,22
120:20 136:14	68:7,10,20 70:11	123:4	detective 38:3,15	24:3 33:13,16
150:21 151:3,10	70:13,15 71:2,8	<b>decided</b> 25:6 33:12	38:20 39:2,3,5	34:11 36:5,5,6,11
151:14 152:2	71:16,20,22 82:2	105:18	76:10	36:12 37:1 39:1,1
154:2,21 155:7	89:14,17,19 90:5	deciding 128:19	detectives 75:1,2	72:21,22 74:3
156:2,17 160:8	90:13,14,22 91:1	decision 14:15	77:13,15 78:4	92:9 94:11
163:1 173:7 191:3	99:2 196:4,5	30:15 122:1 126:7	determination	disposition 125:6
			•	•

12 12 12	122.21	115 1 117 7	122 17 20	120 12 21 121 2
<b>dispute</b> 13:13	dress 133:21	115:1 117:7	evening 133:17,20	120:12,21 121:3
57:11,16	dressed 117:16	123:19 126:15	133:22 134:10,14	127:22 154:7,10
disregard 13:11	drink 189:7	167:18 168:2	152:13 157:9	155:2,20 177:10
109:7 112:18	drinking 81:2	171:4	187:13,18 188:1	178:15 179:6,9,13
disrespect 122:10	105:2	EMS 51:15 53:6,7	188:20 189:4	179:15,16 180:20
distinctly 99:17	<b>Dupont</b> 1:9 3:5	54:17 72:10,10,20	event 18:1 83:14	182:10,17 184:9
<b>District</b> 1:1 3:13	11:3	72:21	131:21	185:9,10,14 186:2
8:1 15:21 20:11	duties 170:7,10,14	encountered	events 88:22 154:1	191:14 194:16
40:22 41:3,6	170:18,19 172:10	116:19	eventually 27:18	exhibits 2:11 121:1
42:19 130:3 201:8	172:16,17,18	<b>ended</b> 31:12	everybody 186:10	expected 7:9
204:20 205:10	<b>duties.l</b> 52:22	enter 91:2,3 119:17	<b>evidence</b> 12:7 14:7	expecting 121:21
206:2	<b>duty</b> 44:6,21 165:4	entertain 6:9	14:11,14 16:18	122:14
<b>doctor</b> 37:21 90:1	<b>D.C</b> 1:19 4:6,9 5:4	entirely 162:12	17:16 31:3 56:11	experience 149:17
doctors 89:7	5:4,8,13 11:11	196:20	67:10 77:2 119:18	149:22 150:2
document 15:5	12:1 18:7 132:7,9	<b>entry</b> 90:15,19	120:4,13,22	explain 203:2
17:14,19,22 18:1		<b>escort</b> 189:12	124:19,22 127:8,9	explained 33:6
55:16 65:8,9,11	<u>E</u>	establish 14:8,11	127:19,22 132:16	39:8,9 87:19,22
83:13 85:2,4,14	earlier 31:1 32:13	69:6 162:13	155:22 187:22	98:21 100:20
120:10 154:8,9,15	187:13,17,20	established 29:11	194:9 195:10,20	101:1 111:19
155:5,6,13,19	188:1,20 189:3	39:10 89:19 100:6	196:10 197:7,16	112:3,13 113:14
156:9 162:14	early 61:18	establishment 1:13	199:18 201:2,6	expressing 122:11
163:4 164:13,16	easy 200:9	11:7 18:8,10 28:6	204:1	extensively 166:17
164:19 165:7,11	echo 177:16	45:8 48:4,8 50:7	<b>exactly</b> 66:6 79:9	<b>extent</b> 94:11,20
171:8 180:1 192:7	<b>edition</b> 4:7 5:5,9,14	63:22 64:3,6,12	102:3 109:15	123:15 124:12
documents 66:7	effect 6:5 100:18	72:14 91:2,4 95:2	110:12 145:17	125:18,20 129:16
165:16 192:13	111:14 128:10	98:9,13 99:15	examination 87:3	<b>external</b> 83:19,21
<b>doing</b> 27:6 41:2	<b>either</b> 104:15	102:7,11 106:15	example 110:10	extremely 7:1
80:14 90:21 100:7	129:18 184:15	110:16 111:9	186:17	
108:1,2 141:6	195:18	113:6,9 114:5	examples 98:18	<u> </u>
149:13 194:12	else's 186:10	115:19 116:8,16	excellent 6:19	<b>face</b> 113:4,5 200:16
<b>DONALD</b> 1:23	<b>email</b> 97:3	117:17,20 118:4,5	Excuse 20:11	<b>faced</b> 122:15
door 11:15 21:19	<b>emails</b> 97:1,1	121:11 126:1,16	109:10 112:7,11	facet 126:18,20
25:22 26:13 104:9	emergency 204:4	142:9 146:4,11	133:20 163:10	<b>fact</b> 13:11 29:12
104:19 117:13	employed 16:4	147:13,15 148:4	190:6	31:6,8 32:4 48:14
160:4 170:17	77:17 116:7 134:4	148:10 169:22	excused 118:20	56:15 57:9 64:2
171:20 172:8,14	134:6	172:4,7 173:6	<b>exhibit</b> 2:15,18,22	65:1 68:22 94:14
172:17,19	<b>employee</b> 35:1 95:2	174:20 175:21	12:8 14:22 15:6	110:14 124:1,2
doorman 11:17	115:19 157:5	176:5 194:1 196:7	16:14,16,21 17:15	132:13 163:3
21:6	161:6 164:3 172:6	196:22 197:17	30:16 54:22 55:1	178:19,20 194:12
doormen 100:9	172:8	203:18 204:8	55:2,3,17,20	197:18 198:18
102:11 112:8	employees 11:9	establishments	56:12 57:12 64:14	204:21 205:14
117:8	30:18 31:3 79:13	149:20 150:2	64:19 66:5 67:8	<b>factors</b> 4:14 71:7
doorway 116:4	81:4,11 95:4,10	179:3	67:10,12 68:14,16	<b>facts</b> 13:14 39:10
<b>doubt</b> 76:13 194:21	96:6,12 99:18	establishment's	70:22 72:3 82:11	62:21 64:20 65:4
197:4	100:2,9 101:5	4:15 132:5	83:9,14 84:15	65:11 66:2,2 68:3
downstairs 107:13	102:6,8 104:8	ethnicity 25:16	85:10 90:10,11	68:4,6,14,17,17
downtown 80:10	106:14 109:1	<b>evaluate</b> 203:13,14	96:21 97:6 119:17	68:19,19 69:2
		ĺ		
	•	•	•	•

70:4,15 71:1,2,12	<b>finding</b> 203:16	<b>found</b> 5:10 37:21	181:11	89:5 90:20 94:15
71:12 76:9,15	findings 205:14	84:10 114:14	gentlemen 105:13	94:20 96:16
187:22 194:3	finds 203:21	139:13 161:8	112:17 177:19	103:13 105:19
<b>failed</b> 1:14 121:11	fine 4:4,10 5:2 17:9	191:21	178:16 179:11	107:18 108:9
126:1 132:4 194:9	59:1	foundation 69:6	180:9	112:15 125:16
failing 11:20 12:3	<b>fined</b> 5:12	Fourth 202:19	getting 178:8	127:3,21 129:21
122:4 132:5	fines 5:7,10,15	frank 106:9	give 17:6 22:11	129:22 133:6,11
fair 122:13 128:21	finger 100:1	free 9:10	25:15 28:2 36:16	134:16 137:11,19
135:9 139:12	<b>finished</b> 37:11 56:4	fresh 184:12	36:20 45:9 50:2	138:4 150:11
140:5 143:13	56:5 158:15	friction 58:9,17,18	58:3,5 69:1 78:2	152:16 154:5
151:7 192:4	fire 195:11 204:4	58:21 59:7,8	92:10 103:12,12	166:17 168:4
fairly 123:4 132:1	first 13:6 25:7	friends 179:9	108:10 122:21	177:13 178:4
170:21	42:11 93:17 111:3	181:22	128:9 129:15	179:4 192:15
fall 24:14	111:5 115:21,22	front 64:16 66:5,20	132:15 162:18	193:15 201:5
false 67:20 68:3	116:3,21 123:13	67:3 101:19	164:18 169:7,10	205:6
85:6	135:13 136:13	104:19 110:15	194:20 197:3	<b>good</b> 3:8,10,12,15
familiar 63:4,13	146:7 149:4,6,8	169:21 172:3	207:13	11:1 15:13,14
153:22 154:3	156:8 172:4	174:20 180:5	given 70:4 125:21	45:16,18 133:17
familiarize 198:21	194:13 199:22	191:7,7	164:13,17 192:2	133:19 152:12
far 30:2 39:11	<b>fit</b> 104:11 128:3	full 81:1 83:5	204:21	gotten 35:9,21 94:3
125:12 138:13	five 131:8 137:15	101:10,11 109:16	gives 85:5	120:7
150:21 157:11	193:13	188:13	giving 6:21 54:17	governing 204:20
158:6,12	Florence 19:4 43:5	<b>further</b> 6:5 29:18	81:8,11 165:1	Government 2:2,3
far-fetched 60:9	flustered 140:1	33:3 43:17 44:2	glass 189:10,11	2:13 4:13 12:20
favor 111:4 131:8	145:3	45:11 70:13,14	glasses 154:13	14:22 15:6 16:17
133:1	focus 106:7 127:6	75:16 76:13 77:19	go 9:8 10:18 24:22	17:15 119:5
<b>feel</b> 9:10 80:6 89:10	<b>fold</b> 14:6	78:17,21 80:11	25:6 29:18 33:3	120:11 121:4
125:20	<b>follow</b> 75:3 167:16	89:10,16 90:3	35:11 41:10 45:14	123:11,16 124:13
fell 20:18 46:5	followed 37:16	93:1 110:3 125:16	79:16,19 89:5	201:19 203:1
86:19 94:4 175:5	following 177:4	132:22 140:11	90:19 93:5 96:13	205:17,19
195:22	195:6	142:16 145:5	100:17 105:14,14	Government's 15:3
<b>felony</b> 38:4,6 81:15	follows 201:4,10	152:3,5 157:17	107:14 109:8	16:14 30:16 54:22
female 27:15,18	<b>follow-up</b> 78:4	167:14 193:9	112:4 114:4,11	55:1,19 67:10
32:19 35:17 88:16	footage 97:21	future 4:12 5:21	125:16 126:13	68:15 119:17
103:14 134:22	footnote 201:10		131:9 140:18	120:21 121:3
Fernando 3:13	force 16:8	G	166:17 175:6,11	198:3
140:15	<b>forget</b> 187:5	<b>Gee</b> 204:6	184:3 190:21	Granted 199:17
<b>figure</b> 179:22	forgot 205:13	general 150:6	192:2,7	great 98:9,14,15,17
180:14	forgotten 185:4	153:8,15	God 15:11 133:13	153:21
file 205:15	<b>form</b> 106:15 156:7	generally 153:22	152:19	Greg 2:6 133:9
<b>filled</b> 154:17	forth 35:22 41:5	gentleman 20:17	goes 196:14	grind 202:4
<b>film</b> 97:20	68:14 86:11	21:13 22:12 34:5	going 7:20 9:21	<b>Gropp</b> 201:8
finally 6:1	184:20 198:12	34:12 35:9,20	16:13 23:5 30:3,6	ground 31:12 40:7
<b>find</b> 14:1,16 26:2	201:8	36:20 37:7,9,11	33:9 34:15 45:7	40:8 180:4 181:8
34:15 66:7 114:11	<b>forward</b> 10:18	62:5 74:7 104:11	47:21 48:5 53:10	guess 23:13 105:17
126:2 161:14	32:18 47:15 48:3	117:22 176:7	60:8 65:17 80:22	150:8 178:19
188:8,14 205:1,2	48:7 129:16	179:7 180:13	81:15 87:1 88:2	gun 194:8
				0 - 11
	I	I	I	

21.20 22.1 22.4	22.0.24.15.25.21	L-110.4 120.6	22.5 10 44.2	01.00 101.1
guy 21:20 22:1 23:4	22:8 24:15 25:21	hold 8:4 130:6	32:5,10 44:3	81:22 101:1
23:4 29:6 40:17	38:13 40:7 46:5	206:5 207:14	65:14 122:2,5	106:10 115:17
88:15,19 89:1	53:14 74:7 86:3	home 160:17	134:15 136:7	116:7 117:9,11
111:12 117:5	86:13,14,17,22	honestly 162:5	145:14 147:14,19	126:6 148:9
guys 104:8,18	87:3 115:17	186:11	148:4 149:11,20	169:20 170:13
108:17,19,22	117:11 193:6	hope 13:22 129:14	150:11 154:17,19	172:6 173:9 176:3
144:22 150:12	hear 14:7,15 40:2	hospital 34:14	156:14 163:13	180:7 185:21
guy's 195:21 202:3	74:1 81:10 99:20	37:12,14,17,19,21	164:1,5 165:5	190:5,9,11
<b>GW</b> 34:14	129:7 153:12	38:8 39:5,8 86:5	166:13,14,22	individually 110:3
<u> </u>	178:4 187:15	86:10 87:1 89:7	167:2 168:15	individuals 40:14
	heard 12:19 96:4,5	89:22	173:20 174:16	80:19 81:2 107:10
hair 193:5	99:17	<b>hotline</b> 134:21	175:18 180:16	112:14 185:15
hand 15:9 127:4,7	hearing 1:11,18	141:15	183:12 185:10,11	individual's 170:7
195:21	3:16 6:4,17 7:11	hypothetical 75:19	185:14,16,21	indulgence 14:18
handwritten 55:6	10:19 12:14 14:4	90:8	186:1,4,7,9	41:15 72:8 97:9
155:6,13 156:2,4	122:20 125:10	hypothetically 66:1	187:22 188:8,9,13	industry 150:8
192:9	128:9,18 129:5	89:20,21 110:11	189:3,20,21 191:1	inference 31:5
hang 198:14	131:16 153:13		191:8,10 194:2,18	information 18:22
happen 34:16	178:1 205:5	<u>I</u>	195:5 197:1	19:2,6 27:3,20,22
140:21 150:13	207:19,22	<b>ID</b> 27:12 28:3 33:4	incidents 30:4	28:4,9,13,14,16
171:17	hearings 201:1	108:3	150:3 156:11	28:18,20 32:2
happened 22:4	hearsay 200:17	idea 63:10 85:7	187:12,17	33:1 34:11 36:4
26:3,17 27:9	201:1,6,6,13,17	100:1	incident-based	36:19 41:13 57:20
37:18 42:3 45:2	202:15 203:3,22	identification 15:7	83:14	60:11 63:2 73:12
47:16,20 49:17	204:20	120:11 154:11	include 4:22	73:18,20 74:4
51:21 53:12 66:1	hectic 183:1	155:21	included 67:5	77:22 78:5,6
66:9,13 79:10	heed 113:10	<b>identified</b> 2:11 8:7	185:14	79:14,15 80:4,20
87:18 95:4 100:4	help 15:11 40:17	61:1 101:7 106:11	includes 18:2	82:3 88:17 89:9
122:2 125:3	62:5 79:9 80:2	106:12 204:3	inclusive 5:17	89:22 90:2 93:18
127:17 137:13	88:3 133:13	identify 62:22 95:3	indecent 64:6	94:10,12,13,17
140:4 146:21	152:19 195:12	154:7,14	199:2,12	100:10,19 103:17
147:20 154:19	<b>helping</b> 180:13	immediately 24:20	indicate 31:22	104:1 108:4 109:9
156:20 161:8	<b>HERMAN</b> 1:25	33:19 34:7 132:6	123:18 146:11	109:21 110:20
166:6,18 167:3	hesitate 122:7	199:4	169:19 174:18	111:3,18,21
168:5 181:20	<b>Hey</b> 87:16 104:17	impeding 80:13	175:2,2,20 176:2	112:10,14,22
184:6 185:1,3	114:22 195:12	81:18	176:10,13	117:1 137:21
186:12,20 187:3,5	<b>highly</b> 138:3	imply 170:21	<b>indicated</b> 5:20 42:3	141:12 200:11
189:1,18 193:20	hire 160:18	important 30:15	58:2 99:13 115:16	202:5
193:20,22 197:1,5	hired 160:22	106:8	174:15 179:10	<b>initial</b> 102:19
197:13	<b>hiring</b> 160:21	imposed 6:2	183:5,14 202:22	127:20
happens 70:9	Hispanic 25:17	impression 107:17	indirectly 184:15	initially 103:9
Happily 194:4	60:19	incident 4:1,2	individual 21:4,8	115:18 116:19,19
happy 6:9 88:16	hisself 40:8	11:21 12:14,19	25:2,21 26:2,4,9	<b>injured</b> 53:5 176:3
hard 54:18 181:4	<b>history</b> 7:15 128:14	13:22 14:21 18:1	26:11,18 27:4	199:21 204:10,11
hassle 108:11	129:18 195:14	18:4,6,13,14,18	31:1 34:18,22	<b>injuries</b> 86:1 90:21
hate 91:18 110:10	hit 20:18 22:8 40:7	28:5,21 29:20	35:1 36:7 53:5	<b>injury</b> 38:13 86:15
head 20:18 21:14	46:5	30:18 31:11,17,18	73:16 75:14 76:6	87:4
	-	-	=	-

	1	1	1	1
inside 21:4 25:6	83:2 84:21,22	75:15 77:9 82:1	117:3,9,15,19	61:1,7,12,18 62:6
26:20 27:3 30:9	85:11 90:4 93:11	164:6,8 171:6	118:3,9 131:5,6	62:9,14,19 63:3,7
30:11 87:9 100:5	94:7,16 96:16	involvement 19:21	145:21,22 146:9	63:15,20 64:4,9
111:8,11 112:1,13	97:8 99:16 100:8	60:4 164:4	147:4,6,8,22	64:14,19 65:2,7
113:6,15,17,18	100:16,21 112:15	issue 5:16 14:3	148:5,8,14 169:15	68:9,13 69:5,9,13
114:11,14 137:19	113:21 114:22	129:1,9,17 183:17	169:16 170:3,6,13	69:16,19,21 70:3
137:20 160:5	123:21 125:4	207:17	170:20 171:3,12	70:8,20 71:5,10
168:3,5 170:12,17	138:20,22 143:16	issued 11:4	171:18,22 172:2	71:18 72:1,6,13
172:18 180:3,4	investigations	issues 98:22 121:18	172:20 173:1,3,7	72:17,19 73:2,6
insight 186:3	76:20	122:12	173:13,19 174:1,4	73:10,15 74:6,11
inspections 141:7	investigative 16:21	<b>item</b> 106:7	174:8,12,14,18	74:15,20 75:2,6
instance 199:22	30:16,22 55:2,19		175:1,12,14,19	75:12 76:3,4 77:8
instruct 47:6	56:13 68:15	J	176:2,9,13,17,19	77:12,15 78:3,10
instructed 182:17	119:18 151:17	<b>Jackie</b> 160:22	177:4 182:7,8,15	78:13,20 91:18,22
183:6,7	185:8 188:7,15	161:2 167:4	183:3,10,19 184:7	118:14 120:16,18
instructions 7:21	200:8	<b>Jamal</b> 39:22	184:19 185:7	121:8 125:2,18
205:7	investigator 12:22	<b>Jamel</b> 40:1	186:15 187:7	126:11 127:13
interested 175:12	14:21 15:2 34:3	<b>James</b> 42:6,9,10,11	207:9,10	128:8 129:4
interfered 80:7	67:8 80:3 97:2	45:21 48:10 51:5	<b>judge</b> 14:13	131:18 132:11
interfering 80:13	120:22 123:18	51:20,22 52:7,17		133:6,8,17 134:3
80:18	133:9,14,18,19	56:9 57:14 62:22	K	134:6,9,13,18
internal 83:18 84:6	134:5,7,8,11,17	71:15	keep 191:8	135:4,9,18,21
interpreted 92:5	134:20 135:6,14	January 1:16	<b>Keeping</b> 136:11	136:4,11,16,20
interrupt 20:8	135:20 136:2,6,15	130:11	keeps 180:21	137:2,9 138:10,14
35:12 113:2	136:17,22 137:3	JEANNETTE 1:25	kept 191:1,5,17	138:18 139:3,12
<b>interview</b> 74:12,16	137:12,13 138:12	<b>job</b> 6:19 70:1,18,21	kicked 29:22	139:16 140:5,10
79:22 80:1 85:1	138:16,21 139:8	80:14 159:12,14	kind 104:20 108:16	152:4,10,14 153:1
interviewed 84:10	139:11,14,19	160:7 161:9	139:10 140:3	153:5,10,17,21
interviewing 26:21	140:7 141:3,6,9	194:10	149:5,15 175:16	154:5,14,20 155:1
intoxicated 11:10	141:17,21 142:2,6	join 7:12	Kline 3:8,9 6:12,14	155:4,9 156:1,5,7
11:17 189:8	142:10,13,14,20	Jones 1:25 9:5,6	13:7,8 16:19 29:7	156:13,16,19
introduced 139:9	143:1,5,9,15,19	10:14,15 99:10,11	31:14,15 45:16,20	157:1,5,8,11,16
investigate 70:12	144:3,7,12,17	99:22 100:22	46:2,9,13,17,21	158:5,16,21
70:14 74:16 89:10	145:11,16 146:6	101:4,11,15,21	47:3,6,9,14,19	159:20 162:9,15
89:12,16 98:12	146:14 147:9,17	102:5,12,19 103:2	48:2,9,14,18,22	175:7 187:21
investigated 76:11	148:2,7,13,19	103:5,9,15,20	49:4,7,12,19,22	190:18,22 191:5,9
investigating 32:11	149:2,10,18 150:1	104:5,14 105:3,7	50:5,11,17,22	191:13,17,20
investigation 19:6	150:7 151:1,2,9	105:16 106:1,5,18	51:4,9,14,19 52:1	192:4 198:2
19:9,14,17,21	151:13,19 152:1,8	106:22 107:4,8,16	52:6,10,15,19	205:16
24:21 25:3 27:7	152:11 164:13	108:6,12,15 109:4	53:1,4,13,17,21	<b>Kline's</b> 89:6
28:8 31:21 32:1,5	165:8,12 196:16	109:13,18 110:1,8	54:3,9,14,17,20	knew 32:20 102:3
33:21 34:8,10	investigators 34:6	110:18,21 112:20	55:5,8,13,15,17	109:6,15 138:4
36:9 38:2 39:12	203:9	113:1,4,8,13,18	56:1,5,8,17,21	139:16 141:1
39:13 43:21 44:3	investigator's 2:15	114:1,3,8,13,17	57:3,9,17 58:1,7	178:12 190:5,9
58:8 60:15 62:20	191:11	114:19 115:7,10	58:12,14,18,22	knocked 20:19
77:10 78:9 79:21	invoke 63:8 199:5	115:14,22 116:5	59:4,8,11,15,19	22:8 24:15 38:11
80:7 81:4,15,19	involved 18:17	116:10,13,17	59:22 60:12,16,20	40:8,17 87:4

knocking 22:7	171:15	license 1:11 3:6	143:2 190:3 192:2	103:14 106:16
know 22:22 25:20	1/1.13	4:15 5:1,3 7:7	192:10 193:5	107:6,19 111:22
26:20 30:2,6	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	79:15 100:18	192.10 193.3	112:2,2,12 113:22
32:17 41:20 42:11	labeled 55:17	108:4	looked 21:8 109:6	137:1 153:8,15
45:7 46:12 48:11	laceration 86:12	licensee 2:20 3:9	138:2 189:8	161:4 165:3
48:15 49:8,12	lack 121:19 128:12	5:2 6:1,14 7:14	looking 124:22	171:15 179:10
50:6,12 53:10,12	139:20 196:19	11:6 14:16 122:14	135:7 178:10,15	180:8 181:10
53:14,18 56:15	lady 107:18 144:20	122:21 123:19,22	181:5 188:14	182:12 186:22
57:3,19 59:14	latitude 128:19	128:9 129:6,7,15	204:21	manner 199:2,11
60:7 62:9,21 73:7	law 5:7 12:10 205:2	153:18 154:10	looks 83:13 96:22	200:10
73:16 75:9 78:4	205:15	155:20 195:20	lost 195:21	marked 15:6 16:13
78:11 81:14 84:2	laws 204:19	197:4 198:15,20	lot 150:9 167:6	17:15 54:21 85:10
84:16 86:6,14,21	<b>Lawson</b> 13:1 34:4	199:4 205:3	179:1 181:18	101:19 109:16
92:1,13 94:2,5	97:2,2 123:18	licensees 198:10	194:3,3 196:12	120:10 154:6,10
97:19 103:13	137:14 139:11	licensee's 5:18	197:10	155:19 180:20
108:21,21 109:7	142:14 151:2	30:17 31:2 154:6	loud 81:3 111:15	Master 15:19 69:12
108.21,21 109.7	164:13 165:8,12	155:2 204:2	Luckily 197:11	69:13
115:8 116:3 123:3	Lawson's 14:21	licensing 28:4	Lucking 197.11	match 185:16
124:4 136:8 137:8	15:2 67:9 120:22	light 131:17	M	matter 1:7 11:3,5
137:10,17 138:6	194:17	light-complected	machine 45:4	24:21 48:14 52:12
138:13,22 145:1	lax 201:2	21:14 25:15	mad 140:8	56:15 57:10 64:2
146:15 149:8	laying 172:3 180:4	115:17 117:10	<b>Madam</b> 3:18 13:9	78:17 121:1 191:1
150:12,22 157:8	181:7 204:11	Limited 153:17	93:4 99:8,11	matters 3:17 8:7
157:12,21 158:1,6	leading 91:20	listed 5:9,12 73:1	118:10 120:18	10:21
158:12 159:16,19	learned 67:4	listened 102:17	121:9 145:22	ma'am 82:9,12
160:7,9,11,22	leave 43:17 79:17	literal 204:5	148:15 155:1	109:4 133:14
161:11,14,18,20	79:19 82:2 89:2	little 101:5 125:12	169:16 176:20	145:12
164:14 165:21	90:17,18 96:13	149:15 181:12	177:2 182:5,8	mean 20:9 22:18
166:17 167:4,7,7	99:18 102:16	185:22 190:18	187:8	35:13 54:13 74:19
168:4 170:14,18	106:4,19 107:15	live 129:16 179:8	making 85:6	91:13,22 94:5
171:12 172:15	112:19 142:5,11	198:13	male 21:14 24:13	113:2 115:9 125:9
173:16 182:21	181:12 194:12	<b>LLC</b> 1:9 3:5 11:3	25:15 26:12 35:17	125:18,19 129:4
184:5 185:4	leaves 89:1	153:16	35:18 38:1 42:15	141:4
186:13,13,14,19	leaving 36:8	location 20:13 24:4	60:19 87:17	meaning 91:2
187:4 189:20	<b>led</b> 81:19	94:4 141:13	107:13 116:3	meaningful 196:8
190:11 192:22	<b>left</b> 35:8,10,21 45:6	lone 78:15	males 102:13	196:22
193:1 194:14,15	88:20 102:17	long 7:1,1 14:5	107:11	means 199:7
198:9 199:7,8	107:5 142:9,14	16:1,7 51:10,13	man 40:5 77:18	meant 199:9
200:21 201:19,21	154:13	86:4 93:21 138:14	78:16 180:3	mechanism 186:8
201:21 202:1,2,4	legal 8:6 130:8	142:5 144:11	management	medical 38:7 86:5
knowing 50:1	206:6	161:5 175:9,11,13	200:10	176:4
140:4	legitimate 121:17	195:22 196:2	manager 27:14	medics 176:12
knowledge 62:19	legitimately 170:22	197:4	32:19 33:2 44:5	meet 121:13 201:6
72:20 98:3 118:4	Let's 45:14 194:20	longer 77:9	44:20 58:14 60:9	meeting 1:5 7:21
136:21 139:4	197:5	look 17:19 25:11	61:2,4,5 95:9,12	8:4 9:8 130:6
known 124:3,7	level 5:7	40:1 42:8 104:7	95:21 96:5 99:15	131:9 205:7 206:5
knows 91:22	Liability 153:18	124:16 129:11	102:18 103:1,2,6	207:15
	-			_
	ı ————————————————————————————————————	ı	I	

Meetings 8:3 130:5	146:9 147:6,8,22	84:21 101:8,17	157:19 158:2,8,13	Morales 39:3
205:12 206:4,9,13	148:5,8,14 150:19	134:22 145:9	158:17 159:1,4	morning 196:11
207:17	151:4 152:12	150:4	162:20 167:15,21	Morris 39:3,5
meets 201:19	153:9,15,17	microphone 153:12	168:8,16,19 169:2	<b>motion</b> 10:1 30:13
member 1:22,23,23	159:10,13 163:10	163:11	169:4,7,13 175:10	32:4 119:16 128:6
1:24,25,25 8:12	169:16 170:3,6,13	mics 177:17	175:15 176:21	128:8 129:22
8:16,18,20 9:2,4,6	170:20 171:3,12	MIKE 1:24	177:7,11,15,22	130:10 131:8,15
9:20 10:3,5,7,11	171:18,22 172:2	Miller 1:19,22 3:3	178:3,7 179:13,16	133:1,4 206:17
10:13,15 11:15	172:20 173:1,3,7	3:15 6:10 7:17	179:19 180:15,19	207:12,13
31:7 69:16 79:3,8	173:13,19 174:1,4	8:13,17,19,21,21	181:2 182:3,7	motivation 201:22
80:6 81:17 82:4	174:8,12,14,18	9:3,5,7,13,21 10:4	187:9 188:4,10,16	move 8:4 9:17
85:19,21 86:16	175:1,14,19 176:2	10:6,8,8,12,14,16	190:14,20 192:13	16:17,22 17:3,10
87:6,11 88:4,7,10	176:9,13,17,19	13:5 14:17 15:8	192:17 193:10,17	130:6 155:2 206:5
88:13 89:4,15,20	177:2,4,9,12,18	16:7,10 17:2,5,9	198:1 205:4,20	moved 32:18
90:8,11 91:7,13	178:1,5,10,14	17:12 29:14,17	206:16,21 207:1,3	MPD 22:20 23:15
91:20 92:2,12,17	179:5,15,18,21	30:1,12 31:13	207:3,7,9,11	23:16,21 53:22
92:21 93:1,4,7,10	181:6 182:5,8,15	32:3 45:14 55:15	mind 39:22 136:12	85:12 150:12
94:8,18,22 95:6	183:3,10,19 184:7	55:21 65:19 66:4	minute 55:14	<b>MPD's</b> 36:5 76:19
95:12,17,20 96:3	184:19 185:7	66:10,16 67:1,13	minutes 20:20 22:9	music 81:3 104:22
96:9,14,18 98:5	186:15 187:7,11	67:22 68:11 69:3	24:16 38:12 87:5	105:20
98:11,17,21 99:3	187:16 188:2,5,12	69:8 73:22 74:5	119:7 135:17,19	
99:7,11,22 100:22	188:18 189:2,13	75:21 76:2,17,22	137:15 138:17	N 15 17 10
101:4,11,15,21	189:19 190:4,8,12	79:1 82:8,10,13	141:20 142:3,7,9	name 15:17,19
102:5,12,19 103:2	202:17 206:15,20	82:16,20 83:1,8	144:13,15 175:6	18:10 27:5,16
103:5,9,15,20	206:22 207:2,6,8	83:12 84:1,4,8,18	193:14,16	28:20 34:5 36:15
104:5,14 105:3,7	207:10	85:9,15,20 90:6,9	misconduct 88:18	36:17,20 37:2
105:16 106:1,5,10	members 3:13 7:4	93:3,5 96:20 97:4	misconstrued	39:22 40:2 41:18
106:18,22 107:4,8	11:2 13:10 20:16	97:7,10,14,18	101:13 102:1	42:2,12 55:9 57:2
107:16 108:6,12	25:1 45:13 91:19	98:2 99:9 118:11	109:20	58:6 83:15,19,22
108:15 109:4,13	125:16 126:7,8	118:15,19 119:2,6	misdemeanor 38:6	91:11 92:19 93:17
109:18 110:1,8,18	128:5,12 129:10	119:10,13,21	missed 157:19	95:15 96:1 109:17
110:21 112:20	129:17 133:2	120:5,9,14,17	158:3	135:1 136:3,18
113:1,4,8,13,18	137:22 142:18	121:6 123:7	missing 198:3	144:8 153:1 162:4
114:1,3,8,13,17	159:10 193:18	124:15 125:8	<b>Mobley</b> 1:25 9:3,4	163:18,19 179:8
114:19 115:7,10	Member's 122:8	126:8 127:11	10:12,13 93:4,7	202:6,21
115:14,22 116:5	<b>memory</b> 149:5	128:4 129:2,20	93:10 94:8,18,22	names 46:22 47:7
116:10,13,17	197:21	130:14,17,19,21	95:6,12,17,20	47:11,12 62:7
117:3,9,15,19	mentioned 168:13	130:21 131:3,5,7	96:3,9,14,18	narrative 19:1,2
118:3,9,21 121:22	180:1	131:13 132:21	131:3,4 145:7,8	narrow 128:22
122:15 125:3,19	merely 198:17	133:3,10,15 134:2	145:15,18 177:1,2	132:2
126:5,11 127:13	mesh 197:9	140:13,17 142:17	177:9,12,18 178:1	narrowly 106:7
130:13,16,18,20	message 45:7 100:2	143:20 144:1	178:5,10,14 179:5	narrowness 129:11
131:2,4,6 132:20	met 1:18	145:6,20 147:4,7	179:15,18,21	<b>nature</b> 7:8 99:2
135:2 142:20	<b>methods</b> 76:19	148:16,21 149:7	180:21 181:6	100:21 144:15
143:3,6,12,18,22	Metropolitan	149:16 150:5,14	182:5 207:7,8	necessarily 73:11
144:2,10,14 145:4	12:21 15:20 16:2	151:5 152:6,16,21	moment 7:20 119:9	84:14
145:8,15,18,22	16:4 28:11 69:17	153:11 155:11,17	129:21	necessary 125:15
		·		
	•	•	1	·

102.10		162.0 197.21	Offer in Comm	62.12 19 64.1 9
183:18	non-existent 201:3	162:9 187:21	Offer-in-Compr	63:12,18 64:1,8
need 24:22 81:15	<b>Nophlin</b> 1:22 8:12	<b>obligation</b> 199:16	6:13,15 7:14 9:16	64:13,17,22 65:3
89:10 95:1 99:19	8:15,16 9:20 10:2	200:1 205:1	Office 11:11 12:1	65:22 69:9,10,11
100:19 104:8,9,18	10:3 98:4,5,11,17	observe 25:20	officer 12:21 15:4	69:12,14,15,18,20
112:13,14 119:8	98:21 99:3,7	observed 24:12	15:12,14,18,20,22	70:2,6,19 71:3,6
125:12 126:9	130:13,14,16	51:5 73:5 101:20	16:3,9 17:18,21	71:17,19 72:4,12
132:17 140:2	132:20 142:19,20	101:21 162:1	18:5,9,14,19 19:3	72:16,18,21 73:3
145:1 176:4 178:8	143:3,6,12,18	180:3	19:3,7,10,15,18	73:9,13,19 74:2,9
195:12	206:15,19,20	<b>obtain</b> 28:8 32:22	19:22 20:1,2,10	74:13,18,22 75:4
needed 80:2 88:3	<b>normal</b> 28:10	34:10 36:4 41:13	21:3,11,18 22:5	75:8 77:6,11,14
90:4 139:9	normally 28:5,7	77:22 80:4,16	22:20 23:2,9,13	77:21 78:8,12,19
needs 109:10	41:4 84:20	86:5 93:18 94:13	23:20 24:3,10,19	79:4,7,11 80:8
negative 59:18	<b>note</b> 5:17 6:1 88:14	100:10 109:21	24:20 25:5,12,14	81:21 82:6,9,12
61:10,15,22	<b>notes</b> 95:15 96:2	111:21	26:5,10,19 27:2	82:15,18,22 83:4
<b>neither</b> 47:11 56:18	<b>notice</b> 11:4 124:18	obtaining 82:2	27:10 28:2,19	83:11,17 84:2,5
60:6	129:12 207:14	94:16 117:1	29:5,16,19 30:5	84:16,20 85:13
<b>Nelson</b> 156:4 157:2	<b>notified</b> 23:16,19	obtuseness 147:11	30:19 32:8 33:17	86:2,18 87:9,13
159:7 160:19	23:21 38:3,19,22	obviate 197:6	34:3,20 35:3,7,14	88:6,9,12,16 89:3
161:6,12,19	91:4,5 105:11	obviously 7:12	35:18 36:3,12,16	89:11,18 90:12,13
163:14 164:17	173:20	13:11 32:16 88:1	37:1,4,6,10,15,20	91:10 92:11,16,19
166:3,12,21 184:2	<b>notify</b> 33:13,14	109:11 181:17	38:9,16,19,22	92:22 93:9,14
184:3,4 192:9	38:21 39:18	occasion 74:21	39:7,17,21 40:13	94:9,19 95:5,7,14
193:4 194:10	<b>noting</b> 126:5	occur 194:19	40:21 41:4,9,16	95:19,22 96:7,11
never 22:15,19,21	<b>notion</b> 202:16	occurred 4:1,3 6:22	41:19,22 42:4,6,9	96:15,19 97:3,6
26:13 32:14 45:9	<b>number</b> 4:13 11:19	12:15 18:6,15	42:13,16,21 43:2	98:7,10,15,19
47:17 52:10 59:19	36:6,13,18 37:3	28:22 51:10 70:5	43:5,6,10,14,18	99:1,5,21 100:4
65:4 66:5 82:22	44:6,19,21 91:9	70:7,9 77:3 100:7	43:22 44:4,12,16	101:2,9,14,17,18
83:4 92:3 107:5	91:11,16 92:10,15	110:15 122:3,5	44:20 45:1,3,6,17	102:3,9,13,22
112:4 114:7	92:18,20 94:21	136:8 147:14	45:18,20 46:1,7	103:3,8,11,16,21
116:11,18 180:1	97:6 100:13	148:10 149:11	46:11,15,19 47:1	104:6,20 105:5,8
197:12	numbers 91:15	174:19 175:3	47:4,5,8,10,17,21	105:17 106:3,17
new 12:15	92:14	occurring 90:16	48:6,12,16,20	106:20 107:2,6,9
NICK 1:23	<b>NW</b> 1:10	occurs 28:6 200:13	49:2,5,10,14,21	107:22 108:8,13
<b>night</b> 11:18,20,22	<b>N.W</b> 1:19 3:6 18:7	<b>October</b> 4:3 6:22	50:4,9,15,20 51:3	108:16 109:5,14
141:19 154:18		12:3,19 14:5,22	51:7,12,17,22	109:19 110:6,17
156:10,17 159:11	0	18:15 19:18	52:4,9,13,18,21	110:19 111:2
159:13,16 160:8	oath 172:12	134:10 154:1	53:3,7,16,19 54:1	112:21 113:3,7,11
160:10,14 166:18	object 65:18 68:21	156:6 157:9 173:8	54:6,12,15,19	113:16,20 114:2,6
167:6 170:8,10	75:19 122:8,16	174:5 184:11	55:4,7,11 56:3,7	114:9,16,18 115:3
173:4,6,11 182:22	123:16 124:14	offense 100:6	56:14,18 57:1,4,6	115:8,11,20 116:2
183:2 185:1	<b>objecting</b> 31:14,15	offenses 5:16,19	57:15,18 58:3,5	116:9,11,14,21
186:13,20	91:19	18:2	58:11,13,16,20	117:4,14,18,21
<b>nights</b> 173:18	<b>objection</b> 16:20	offer 3:21 4:4,14	59:2,6,10,13,18	118:7 119:1 123:1
noncooperation	29:7 65:20,22	5:20 6:8,20 7:20	59:21 60:2,13,18	125:21 129:5
126:22	67:14 91:18	offered 47:15	60:22 61:3,10,14	135:1,22 137:16
noncooperative	123:12 146:19	<b>Offer-in</b> 3:19 9:17	61:22 62:8,11,15	137:20 138:3,8,19
110:4,7	155:3,15 159:20	10:17 13:11	62:17,17 63:1,6	139:6 140:1,6

141.10 144.5	114.17 115.7	am ana <b>ti</b> ana 6.1	160.14	62.2
141:19 144:5	114:17 115:7	operations 6:4	168:14	62:3
146:5 149:11,14	116:13 117:19	105:15	paramedics 177:20	penalize 126:21,22
195:13 196:3	118:3,15 119:10	opine 67:17 68:7	178:17 204:12,13	penalties 4:12 5:6 5:22
199:8,9 200:19 202:9,22 203:10	120:5,8,9,14,17 121:6 123:7 129:2	<b>opinion</b> 32:10 60:8 69:1 104:2 107:17	parameter 28:7 paraphrase 146:15	= :
officers 84:12		124:9 196:3	149:9	penalty 4:21 13:16
	129:20 131:7,13			people 62:7 92:13
137:4 146:17	132:22 133:15	opportunity 81:11	pardon 65:2	100:2 105:1,1,2
203:8 officer's 58:6	134:13 135:4,9 136:20 137:9	110:2 114:20	parsing 124:21	105:20 109:2
		116:6 122:21	part 32:4 70:21	124:1,5 127:17
official 4:6,9 5:4,8	139:3 140:10	128:10 129:15 203:14	91:14 123:20	173:17 177:16
5:13 85:4,12,14 132:7,9	141:11 142:4,11		143:16 185:7	179:1 181:18
oh 111:11 139:14	142:15 143:12 144:1 145:4 146:9	opposed 133:4	participated 19:13	196:18 204:10,11
157:22 161:20		<b>opposing</b> 67:10,16 123:12 124:10	particular 18:5	people's 197:21 Peraza 156:4 157:2
163:12 166:5,9	147:7 148:8,14,16 149:7,16 150:14	oral 203:5	28:9,14 29:1,20 32:1 33:5 39:13	159:7 160:19
*	,	order 108:7 205:7		
173:21 184:3 okay 9:7,13 13:5	151:5 152:6,21 153:10,14,21	207:18	45:8 50:2 53:8 65:10 67:12 71:4	161:6,12,19 162:5 162:21 163:14
15:8,22 16:6 17:9	155:10,14,21	ordinance 63:5,9	78:1 82:3 106:7	162:21 163:14 164:18 166:3,12
17:12 18:3,21	157:11 158:8,13	198:22 199:6	113:15 126:5	166:21 171:1
19:8 21:17 24:7	157.11 158.8,15	outlining 6:20	128:17 129:1	176:14,14 178:2
25:4 27:1 29:17	160:18 161:5	outside 81:7,9 87:8	156:8	178:11,19 179:5
31:13 32:3 33:11	164:12,16,22	101:20 105:10	particularly 84:10	180:1 181:8
34:13 37:5 38:14	167:9,12,15	111:4,5,16,17,19	particulary 84.10 particulars 111:6	182:17 183:7,9
38:17 43:7,12,20	169:13 170:6,20	113:9,14,22 114:1	117:5	185:13,17 186:1,5
44:1,14,18,22	173:3 174:14	113.9,14,22 114.1	parties 3:19 12:11	186:8 192:10
45:11 46:13,17,21	175.7 176:9,19,21	114.4,22 113.4	15:1 16:14	193:5 194:11
49:7,19 54:14,20	173.7 170.9,19,21	171:4	party 200:17,18	202:8
55:21 56:17,21	177.11,22 178.3	overall 167:2	203:12	Peraza's 168:9
57:3 63:15 64:4	184:7 187:7	168:15	passed 207:13	perfectly 106:9
69:8 70:20 71:5	188:16 189:2	overheard 99:16	passed 207.13 passes 133:5	period 4:18 93:21
71:10 72:7,19	190:14,21 192:4	overlooking 205:22	passes 133.3 patch 86:21	186:17
73:2,6,15 74:11	190:14,21 192:4	overstepping	patient 20:6 24:6	perpetrated 26:15
76:17 78:3,10,20	194:6 195:2	146:17	34:15 35:22 37:12	202:10
81:5 82:16 83:8	196:11 205:20,22	owner 27:20,21	37:14,16 53:8	perpetrator 82:1
83:12 84:4 85:9	207:11	44:6,7,8 103:22	patiently 105:9	person 23:17 25:8
85:17 89:5 90:16	older 180:3	104:2	patrol 15:20 20:1	25:10 26:14 31:6
91:7 92:8 93:3	once 23:4 25:5 35:8	owner's 104:1	69:12,14	31:9,10,11 42:5
94:7,8,18,22 95:6	35:21 86:6 100:5	<b>O-F</b> 2:1	patron 11:10,18	42:14 46:3 47:12
96:3,14 97:18	100:22 116:19		12:5 199:1,10	51:1,6,10 53:20
98:3 99:7,22	134:21 164:17	P	Patrons 63:5,9	76:7 84:11 94:3
100:22 101:4	Open 8:3 130:5	<b>P</b> 162:4	198:22	95:7 145:10 160:3
102:12 103:5,19	205:12 206:4,9,12	packet 83:5	paucity 81:19	160:5,21 164:2,3
103:22 104:6,7	207:16	page 18:22 155:4,5	pavement 20:19	171:16 172:4,11
105:16,17 106:5	opening 2:2,2 13:3	156:1,9 168:9	22:7 24:14,15	172:21 175:5
106:18 107:4	13:9	pages 154:21	pay 194:5	181:13 195:13,16
109:16,18 110:1	operation 7:8	<b>paid</b> 4:10	PD119 85:2	195:18 202:11
112:16,20 114:11	105:4,6 191:2	<b>paper</b> 163:8,11,19	pedestrians 22:10	personally 161:18
	,			
	ı	I	ı	ı

personnel 20:22	106:19 112:8	practice 198:9	problem 33:11	<b>purpose</b> 1:14 8:6
21:12 24:9,10	114:4,20 120:3	199:18	40:10,16 59:3	11:8 41:3 130:8
26:22 35:15,19	123:5 125:15		66:4 103:13 134:2	206:6
38:8 50:21 51:15	135:15 138:11	preceded 11:22 precedes 83:9		
	184:12 196:21	-	136:7,9 140:2 184:8	<b>purposes</b> 4:12 5:19 147:9
52:5 54:18 62:13		precise 186:20		
87:14 93:15	198:19	preferred 198:9	procedure 28:10	<b>pursuant</b> 132:9 207:16
104:13	police 2:18 11:21	preliminary 3:17	proceed 120:15	
persons 72:14	12:4,22 14:9,12	10:20	proceeded 75:17	push 24:13
160:4 180:12	15:20 16:2,4,18	premises 74:14	77:19 78:17	pushed 20:18 21:1
person's 163:3	17:22 23:16,21	81:12 105:21	proceeding 4:17	21:5,13,21 31:12
<b>phone</b> 33:19 36:6	28:11 29:2 32:14	168:3 200:14	13:15	38:2 40:6 76:8
36:13,18,21 37:3	33:17,18 35:10	203:17	process 67:6 186:8	87:18 195:17,18
39:19 40:22 44:6	38:20 39:14 41:17	prepared 62:14	<b>produce</b> 42:19	<b>pushing</b> 22:6 34:13
44:8,17,19 49:20	66:11 69:10,17	121:12,17 131:19	203:1	46:4
52:14 91:9,11	83:7 84:22 85:2	132:12,12 133:22	<b>profane</b> 64:10	put 7:15 31:1,10
108:5 112:17	85:14 90:13 91:5	135:8 143:11	199:3,13	32:12 47:7 60:7
135:3 141:14,15	91:6 101:8,17	145:13 149:4	professional 138:5	88:15 100:1 102:5
146:13 147:18	122:4 125:5 126:1	190:1	148:1 196:3	121:12 128:11
150:10 174:1,8	126:16 128:16	preponderance	<b>prone</b> 169:21 172:3	163:18 197:7
177:20 178:17	132:6 134:22	12:7 197:16	propensity 203:7	<b>puts</b> 163:18
181:14 184:21	144:19 145:10	<b>present</b> 1:21 3:20	properly 11:15	putting 133:7
203:1	149:19,21,21	157:9	proposed 132:9	147:20
<b>photocopied</b> 67:6	150:4 169:8 179:2	presented 3:21	<b>prove</b> 193:19 194:9	P-R-O-C-E-E-D
photograph 86:10	179:2 184:2,4,5	12:16 65:11 70:22	195:8 196:5,10	3:1
photographs 37:22	192:11,19,21	82:19 121:4	197:14	<b>p.m</b> 3:2 9:11,12
<b>picture</b> 127:2 128:3	193:2 194:2,15,15	presiding 1:19	provide 11:17	119:11,12 131:11
<b>piece</b> 89:8 90:2	194:17 195:10,16	pressed 77:19	27:19 28:1 57:21	131:12 207:21
194:8	196:8,9 197:1	presumably 52:16	84:6 85:2 108:3	
pieces 128:1	198:10 199:4,5,16	75:16 76:9 77:18	provided 44:6	Q
<b>place</b> 6:6 18:13	200:4,9 202:2,9	78:14	57:19 66:13 67:6	qualified 196:17
93:17 127:15	203:7,20 204:4,7	presume 78:19	73:21 74:4 78:5,6	question 22:14
<b>plan</b> 1:14 11:14	204:16	103:21	78:6 103:16	34:19 47:22 48:2
12:3 14:10 121:12	<b>poll</b> 9:22	presumed 129:8	111:18	57:10 59:4,5 60:3
126:2 132:5 143:8	poorly 11:9	<b>pretty</b> 60:9 91:16	provision 199:15	61:13,15 65:7
148:12 171:19	<b>posing</b> 110:12	183:1 201:2	200:3,6	67:13 68:1,12,13
198:8,13,15,19,20	position 14:6	prevented 90:20	provisions 12:10	69:4 70:20 72:2
200:1,7 203:20	136:21 159:16	previous 183:21	63:8 198:22 199:6	77:5,7,8 89:6,13
204:5,22	160:9,12	primary 5:12	proximity 113:5	91:21 96:21 97:10
<b>plans</b> 149:18	possibility 97:20	<b>prior</b> 5:18 28:22	psychologist	98:6 110:9,13,22
198:16	126:18	35:9 36:8 72:9	196:16	115:15 124:19
playing 104:22	possible 101:22	140:4 141:13	<b>pull</b> 116:15	125:10 126:12
please 14:19 15:16	125:9 168:6	150:3	<b>pulled</b> 104:11	143:6 146:21,22
17:20 18:21 41:15	178:22	probably 26:15	115:21 116:1,3,22	147:2,5 149:17
60:17 93:9 153:2	possibly 80:13	83:20 85:22 97:19	pumping 81:3	158:3,5 160:7
point 10:18 24:22	101:12 149:14	160:20,21 172:9	punishment 14:1	166:20,20,22
31:21 38:4 65:18	potentially 135:11	172:13,18 185:5	purported 56:9	177:4,14 178:6,19
77:10 90:3 101:3	power 5:2	189:22	61:20 63:16 66:2	179:20 180:8,11
	1		2	
	<u> </u>		ı	ı

100 111 100 15	10210111		l	
183:4,11 188:17	182:10,11,14	141:12,14 146:3	Regulation 13:1	40:1 41:7,10,11
188:18	184:13,14 185:18	155:21 186:6	regulations 200:3	41:17 42:20 43:1
questioned 59:22	189:21 205:6	recognized 115:18	regulatory 141:7	43:4,8,11,13
questioning 67:11	reading 56:6 64:19	recollection 32:15	relate 4:8 122:17	46:22 47:2,7 55:2
162:21	65:15 68:19 70:22	117:12 137:19	122:18 123:6	55:19 56:13 62:13
questions 6:9,11	90:14 182:20	145:13 148:9	125:21 128:15,22	62:15 64:15 66:11
7:18 45:12 78:21	190:16 195:7	182:16,18,20	related 27:21 76:15	66:13,14,19 67:3
85:18 92:6 93:2,8	204:5	184:12	114:21 115:15	67:9,12,15 68:15
110:5,12 114:21	ready 120:15	recommend 131:21	125:5	71:13 73:1 83:2,7
118:12 121:14	190:16 193:12	198:10	<b>relates</b> 4:5 65:13	83:14,16,18,19,21
122:8,16 124:12	real 150:19	record 9:12,14	124:22	84:7,13 85:11,11
128:11 139:5	reality 14:2	12:13 15:7,17	<b>relating</b> 3:22 4:2,17	96:22 97:8 119:18
140:11,14 142:16	realize 23:5 88:1	46:21 90:7,10	relation 48:21	120:22 121:2
143:21 145:5	125:11	95:1 119:12,14,16	relatively 135:16	123:3,18 135:8,10
148:22 150:15,18	really 48:1,15	120:2,6 123:5,17	<b>relayed</b> 200:19	142:22 143:2,10
152:3 157:17	53:17 60:2 63:9	124:2,20 128:11	<b>relevance</b> 29:8 31:4	148:11 150:20,21
159:3 166:5	81:3 145:2,2	131:12,14 132:4	162:10	151:12,18 154:17
167:14 169:14	159:1 178:20	134:3 153:2	Relevancy 67:21	156:14,19,22
176:22 179:7	185:2 198:4	167:17 195:1	relevant 32:2 66:3	157:12 163:14
180:6,12 181:9	reason 21:22 24:13	recounted 46:3	75:20,22 76:3,4	166:3,7,9,13
182:4 187:10	25:22 40:6 50:1,6	recross 2:5 118:12	76:18 122:9,18	167:16 170:22
190:15 193:9	50:6,10,12 53:11	150:16	124:20 125:6	178:11 185:8,12
quick 96:21 98:5	63:16,19,21 64:5	recusal 125:14	126:13,20,21	185:16,22 186:1,4
150:19	64:10 108:1 109:5	recuse 125:7 126:3	127:1,8 128:21	186:22 187:1,3
quite 6:22 14:5	144:16 147:17	126:5	129:17,18 162:13	188:7,8,9,13,15
58:9 60:1,3	150:6 163:15,20	redirect 2:5 118:16	200:7	189:20,21 191:11
140:19 182:22	171:5,7,10 181:7	118:17 190:17,19	reliability 201:7,7	194:17 200:8
200:9	193:21 206:11	190:20	reliable 203:22	204:14
	reasonable 77:16	Reeves 1:18	relied 204:1	reported 24:11
R	113:5 195:7 204:9	refer 30:18,22	rely 201:5	147:13
radio 11:16 20:3	reasons 6:7 84:3	reference 22:21	remained 89:4	reports 41:5,8
23:21 24:2 33:18	rebut 122:22	32:15 80:10 97:20	remember 41:17	165:19 185:10,15
33:18 38:20	128:10	134:15	107:12 148:17	186:7,9 187:4
104:17	recall 27:16 34:2,5	referring 29:21	149:1	188:19 191:1,8,10
raise 15:9	42:2,13 44:5	103:14 179:14	remembered	representative
raised 121:18	86:20,20 87:1	180:21 185:12,17	104:10	101:7 146:3,10
130:10	95:14 96:1 119:19	reflect 119:16	remind 13:19	147:12
range 5:15,16	135:15 136:1,12	reflects 126:18	reminded 125:17	request 102:6,20
rattled 149:15	137:18,19 138:15	refresh 135:11	repeat 47:22 57:10	104:15 106:13,13
reach 52:20	143:17 145:15,17	149:5 180:22	68:12 74:1 79:11	104.13 100.13,13
read 7:21 55:14	165:13 173:10	181:3	178:4	113:8,10 123:13
56:1 58:2,4 65:8	receive 135:13	refuse 53:5		123:14,15 124:13
68:3 71:3 82:13	186:4	refused 78:1 90:18	rephrase 69:4 147:6	164:21 165:4
82:15,17,21 83:2	received 2:11 20:2			199:5
83:10 88:21		176:7 189:9	report 2:17,18	
129:22 132:3	54:9 57:14 83:5	regarded 101:3	14:21 16:18,21	requested 23:3,8
143:10 180:20	98:8,13 120:12	regarding 150:3	17:11 18:2 27:17	23:11 39:1 186:21
173.10 100.20	135:13 136:12,13	regrettable 7:2,15	30:17,22 39:14	requesting 109:15
	l		l	l

138:8	15:9 17:17 35:3	44:1,10,14,18,22	195:16 199:20	126:2 132:5 143:8
	42:18 46:2,6 51:9	, , , ,	204:10	148:12 149:18
requestor 165:1	,	45:2,5,11 65:17		
required 126:2 132:6	51:19 52:6,15	65:21 66:8,12,18	saying 28:15 29:21	154:18 159:9,10
	53:21 55:8 58:1	67:2,16 68:5,21 75:18 76:1,19	65:6 68:4 87:2	159:13 164:4,8
requirement 14:8	60:12 61:7,18	, and the second	94:21 100:14	171:19 172:20
resorted 81:13	62:6 63:3 66:22	97:9,12,16,22	111:8 150:10	173:16 189:12
respect 8:8 66:2 83:13 121:16	69:13 72:13 75:12 78:13,18 92:12	118:17 119:3,4,8	153:19 196:9	198:8,13,15,16,19
124:11 203:9	98:3 107:19	119:15 120:1,8,20 123:9,11 140:16	<b>says</b> 150:20 168:10 178:15 180:5	198:20,21 200:1,7 202:10,18 203:19
respond 20:3 23:16	109:13 114:2,6	140:16,19 141:4,8	181:16 194:11	202.10,18 203.19
24:4 27:19 33:14	115:10,20 134:9	140.10,19 141.4,8	198:20 200:4	see 26:1,5,8,11
34:14,18 38:3	135:21 136:11	141.11,18 142.1,4	203:11,12 204:3	31:22 32:20 55:3
39:2 41:6 110:22	140:3,20 147:21	142.8,11,13	scan 38:13	55:4,5 65:9 66:6
112:6 121:10	152:8 153:22	151:15,20 152:3	scene 20:14,21	74:21 80:10 84:1
186:12	152.8 153.22	151.15,20 152.5	25:19 26:11 32:19	84:7 86:12,16,19
responded 20:12	154:5,20 155:12	159:2,5,7,12,17	33:14,21 35:8,10	87:3 89:16 104:15
22:13 26:1 33:19	171:21 177:14	159:2,5,7,12,17	35:21 44:5,13	112:13 124:4
responding 107:20	191:9 192:12	160:13,16,18	51:8 54:8 56:20	179:3
110:4	191.9 192.12	161:2,5,11,17	56:22 57:20 72:10	seeing 104:10
response 35:5	right-hand 55:18	162:1,7,12,17	78:2 80:1 81:1	seeking 8:6 130:8
36:10 92:5 141:10	ring 191:7	163:1 164:12,16	84:9 85:1 86:7,19	206:6
169:9 183:3	rise 6:21 7:3 13:14	164:22 165:6,10	87:7 93:11,20,22	seen 65:4,12 129:9
responsibility	122:2	165:15 166:2,8,11	94:7 104:7,11	162:7 163:8,19
203:19	<b>Rivero</b> 3:12,13,18	166:19 167:9,12	116:22 145:10	181:17 191:10
responsible 19:1	6:18 7:13 10:22	188:5 192:6,16,18	147:16	194:13
171:18	11:1 14:18 15:13	193:1,4,8,15,18	scenes 69:22	Send 204:12
rest 12:13 95:9	15:16,22 16:6,12	205:18	schedule 5:10	sense 91:15 184:9
103:7 108:4	16:22 17:4,7,10	Rivero's 7:7	scope 52:22 131:20	196:8
120:19 122:1	17:17 18:3,8,12	<b>Rodrigo</b> 55:9,11,13	132:14	sent 23:15
195:14	18:17,21 19:5,8	56:15 66:21	scout 101:19	sentence 40:12
restraint 86:8,22	19:13,16,20 20:7	195:19	109:16	separate 32:9
restraints 86:11	21:1,10,17 22:3	roll 8:14 206:17	second 8:11,12	sequence 88:22
rests 121:5	22:18,22 23:7,10	room 1:18 207:15	9:19,20 18:22	series 96:22
result 128:11	23:18 24:1,7,17	rough 100:1	130:12,13 132:19	serious 96:17
Retailer 1:12	25:4,10,13 26:3,7	rubbing 163:11	132:20 155:4,5	serve 189:9
retrieve 73:14	26:17 27:1,8,22	rules 201:1,3,4	200:18 202:7	served 13:21
retrieved 191:21	28:18 29:3,10	run 20:3	206:14,15	service 53:5 82:5
reveal 83:21	30:14 31:9,20	runaround 81:8	secondary 4:11	118:22
review 143:4	33:15 34:1,17,21	<b>Ruthanne</b> 1:19,22	5:19,21	set 5:7 68:14 70:4
reviewed 64:14	35:5,11,16 36:1		seconded 206:18	76:15 201:8
65:8 68:14	36:10,15,22 37:2	S	<b>Section</b> 8:2 130:4	seven 9:8 10:17
reviewing 65:10	37:5,8,13,18 38:7	<b>sake</b> 107:19	132:7,10 205:11	133:5 207:12
revoke 5:3	38:14,17,21 39:4	saw 42:3 88:8	206:3,8,12	severity 33:6 87:3
<b>Reyes</b> 55:9,12,13	39:15,20 40:11,19	105:12 108:17,17	security 1:14 11:13	99:2,3 100:20
56:16 66:21 88:14	41:1,15,20 42:1,5	108:22 109:17	11:15,19 12:2	101:1 109:11
88:21 89:9 195:19	42:7,10,14,18,22	116:3,12,15,18,20	14:10 84:3 117:16	<b>Shannon</b> 2:6 12:21
<b>right</b> 6:11,17 9:9	43:3,7,12,16,20	116:21 117:5	118:6 121:12	15:19 97:17
				•

	I	I	I	I
shift 133:22	64:18 65:1 69:15	25:7 27:6 36:7	137:20,22 187:12	states 164:20
<b>shifts</b> 173:16	69:18 81:7 98:19	46:20 66:15 80:9	187:17 188:19	177:12
<b>shirt</b> 21:15,16	99:6 101:9 109:10	81:9 102:6,16	198:21 200:10	stating 26:14
26:12 117:6,10,22	112:11 115:5	104:2 105:10	stage 31:22	178:11 198:18
118:1	134:5,8,17 135:7	106:14 107:21	stand 133:8 152:15	station 94:20
<b>short</b> 96:22	143:1,5,17,19	110:2 111:9	203:8,9	stationed 171:20
<b>shortly</b> 131:10	144:13 146:7	113:21 126:9	<b>standard</b> 201:7,8	172:8
178:18	151:1,19	166:2 173:9 182:1	standing 21:18	statute 200:2
<b>show</b> 1:10 3:16	sit 153:6	192:14	116:4 117:1,6	stay 86:4
11:4 12:9 16:13	<b>sitting</b> 81:6 101:19	speaking 41:2	standpoint 138:5	<b>stayed</b> 106:21
23:1 54:20 154:6	<b>situation</b> 29:1,9,9	specific 102:7	<b>stands</b> 181:6	107:1
192:7	29:13 30:7 98:12	110:9 114:21	<b>start</b> 111:8 194:7	<b>stemmed</b> 139:18
<b>showed</b> 24:8 34:1,4	104:4 109:12	154:18 159:14,15	205:21	step 111:16 119:8
34:6 39:5 41:16	111:10 164:4	160:7,9,12 167:4	<b>started</b> 105:20	<b>stepped</b> 47:15 48:3
135:10 195:14	204:2	170:7,14 193:21	133:21	48:7 87:14,16
showing 41:9	situations 29:12	193:21	starting 198:18	111:17 139:10
<b>shut</b> 61:8,16 105:15	slips 39:22	specifically 35:16	state 15:17 30:15	steps 102:14
sick 183:1 185:2	slowness 147:10	98:22 102:10	38:1 76:9 145:9	<b>Stewart</b> 2:6 133:9
side 112:18 177:19	smelled 87:19	146:12 165:14,17	153:1 182:16	133:10,14,18,19
178:16 179:11	smoking 194:8	165:20,22 166:6	stated 21:4,12,22	134:5,8,11,17,20
180:2,10 181:12	somebody 29:22	167:3 168:13	29:5 35:7,12	135:6,14,20 136:2
204:2	32:12 75:10 91:5	176:14 182:21	49:14 74:9 145:17	136:6,15,17,22
sides 139:20	136:14 163:17	183:4,14	149:1 157:12	137:3,12 138:12
sidewalk 40:15	164:7 172:3	specifics 166:16	179:6 181:10	138:16,21 139:8
59:17 62:4 169:21	somewhat 115:15	specified 204:7,9	statement 2:2,2	139:14,19 140:7
172:3 180:5	<b>soon</b> 9:9 169:11	specify 128:22	13:4,9 55:6,9,14	140:22 141:3,6,9
204:11	173:8	<b>spite</b> 94:14	56:2 57:12,22	141:17,21 142:2,6
sign 85:5 163:21	sorry 8:8 13:6	<b>spoke</b> 24:8 28:21	58:2,4 66:20 68:2	142:10,13,21
signatory 162:21	20:10 34:20 66:7	37:7 40:20 41:12	71:4 78:15 85:3	143:1,5,9,15,19
signature 162:2,8	75:18 84:18 95:17	41:18 42:2 45:21	155:6,14 156:2,4	144:4,7,12,17
163:3,9,20	95:20 96:3 98:10	46:16 49:19 58:15	157:21 158:6	145:11,16 146:6
<b>signed</b> 52:11	113:1 140:17	76:6 184:21	168:9 180:20	146:14 147:9,17
201:17 203:4	143:3 144:10	202:22	182:11 183:22	148:2,7,13,17,19
Silverstein 1:24 9:1	146:20 153:11	<b>spoken</b> 161:18	184:20 185:6	149:2,10 150:1,7
9:2 10:10,11 31:7	154:13 157:20	183:11	192:9,9 194:10	151:1,9,13,19
79:2,3,8 80:6	158:17 175:8	stacie 95:13 136:17	195:19 201:14	152:1,8 196:16
81:17 82:4 118:21	186:19 187:19	136:19 138:4	202:8 204:8	stipulated 12:11
127:12,13 131:1,2	190:7	139:22 141:1,19	statements 30:17	15:1 16:15 120:3
163:10 207:5,6	<b>sort</b> 104:17 116:14	165:7,11,15 167:5	30:21 31:2 52:11	stood 104:21
<b>similar</b> 185:11	145:14	167:22 168:22	56:9,10,12 57:13	<b>stop</b> 36:7 137:6
simple 38:5 125:22	<b>sounded</b> 144:17	174:10,12 177:5	67:18,20 71:14	<b>stopped</b> 22:11 62:5
<b>simply</b> 122:4,13	145:2	179:17 180:16	79:12 84:12 85:6	105:20
sir 15:15,18 17:21	<b>sounds</b> 180:2	181:10 182:11	123:2,20 124:2,5	<b>story</b> 46:3 175:9,11
18:19 19:22 33:7	<b>Spain</b> 19:4 20:1	183:13,14	126:14 127:3	175:13
41:19 42:4 45:19	24:20 41:9 43:5	<b>staff</b> 11:15,19	184:9 196:13	<b>street</b> 1:19 40:5
46:8 48:6 53:16	57:4 62:15,17	103:7 117:16	197:19 201:17	49:15 175:5 179:4
59:18 61:11 62:18	speak 10:1 20:15	118:6 136:9	203:4,5	181:19
i .	-	-	-	-

stressed 185:3	gurmounding 22:21	72.6 70.0 05.4	122.12 177.5 9 0	146:16 149:9
	surrounding 32:21	72:6 79:9 95:4	132:13 177:5,8,9	
stretcher 94:5	115:13	111:7 133:12	194:3 201:12,14	165:20 175:10
string 204:19 stuff 194:4	suspend 5:2	135:7 137:2,11	202:14,15 203:22	181:4 182:1 184:1
	suspended 4:15	144:18,22 146:7	<b>Thank</b> 7:15,17 9:10	184:3,4 188:6
submit 195:3	suspension 4:17,19	147:11 148:9	11:1 14:16,17	190:15 193:13
203:15 204:19	5:1 6:3 7:3,10	149:4 152:17	16:11 17:17 32:8	204:9 205:5
<b>submitted</b> 185:9	12:14 13:15,20	154:15 165:16	42:1 55:21 64:18	thinking 53:14
191:14	sustain 203:16	173:3 178:21	67:1 74:5 78:22	thinks 68:2 131:19
submitting 14:20	sustained 86:14	183:19 189:1,3,13	79:3 82:4,5,6 91:7	146:21
subsequently 22:6	90:21	190:4,8 192:18	92:21 96:18,19	third 200:16
123:21	sway 106:9	194:4	99:7,8,11 118:9	203:12
substantial 13:20	swear 15:10 133:11	telling 33:1 80:18	118:10,17,20,21	thorough 28:8
sudden 105:11,21	152:17,17	89:2 96:5,12	119:1 121:6,8	94:16
suffered 86:3	sworn 52:11 133:11	99:18 106:4	129:2,20 133:15	thoroughly 94:15
sufficient 4:19	201:17 203:4	144:19	140:10 143:18	thou 200:4
203:16	system 41:7	<b>Ten</b> 16:9,10 138:16	145:4,18,19,22	thought 96:4,4
suggest 90:15		tense 140:8,9	148:14,15 151:4	105:19 158:2
129:10,12	take 7:19 9:10	tension 139:13,18	152:5,6,9,10,21	192:22
suitable 117:16	17:18 18:13 37:13	196:17	157:16 158:13,20	threat 200:13
summarized	42:7 55:13 60:15	term 149:12	169:16 176:20	threatening 149:12
188:15	88:17 104:16	terms 6:19 14:2	177:2 182:5,8	three 100:11,12,14
summary 4:16 6:3		48:9 70:4 110:4	187:7,8 190:12	102:13 105:12
7:3,10 12:14	127:16 129:21	121:19 122:11	192:5 193:8,10	107:11 135:16,18
13:15	132:8 143:2 190:2	127:1 128:19	197:21 198:1,2	144:13,14 177:13
supervising 11:9	200:16 206:16	135:12 137:9	205:3,4 207:20	177:19 178:16
supervisory 133:9	taken 6:6 talk 33:2 79:13	139:5 143:8	Thanks 120:8	179:11 180:9
134:7 147:8		test 201:18	themself 125:7	181:11,20 193:16
<b>support</b> 77:2	80:19 111:16,17 114:22 122:6	testified 34:22	126:3,6	threw 29:6 189:10
supported 78:15	129:5 166:16	42:19 47:18 52:17	thing 6:18 50:22	tier 5:12
<b>suppose</b> 68:6 129:8	167:6 168:10,13	57:13 123:1	73:15 83:6 86:6,9	time 3:21 6:9 7:1
164:5 196:5	168:15,16 170:2	140:22 141:20	90:13 94:2 108:3	12:17 14:5 15:3
197:18 198:5	173:4,8 180:16	testify 52:20 56:19	124:4 143:13	16:16,17 17:1,3,4
sure 46:11 52:18	187:12,16 188:19	56:22 57:7 72:4	158:9 195:4	17:11 19:12 20:2
75:9 82:6 86:4	talked 61:19 76:6	75:5,10,11 78:8	197:15 198:4	27:10,17 30:20
93:21 95:15 96:1	83:15 88:15 89:7	100:13 158:12	things 67:19 138:8	31:3 33:5,12 34:6
100:12 103:19	90:1 91:8 167:2	188:11 201:16	151:16 167:6	34:14 44:8 45:12
105:18 107:22	talkie 104:17	202:20	169:1	53:15 54:18 78:22
108:2,20 109:2	talking 29:6,11	testifying 31:16	think 6:18 7:19	81:9 87:12 93:22
125:14,15 135:1	30:6,8 32:16,17	71:22	31:4,14 48:12	96:1 103:18 106:4
138:19 144:3	48:20 63:10 90:17	testimonies 190:2	65:17 70:11 71:21	108:5 109:22
149:5,8 155:12	111:12 124:6	testimony 12:8,12	77:3,4 81:1 104:3	116:18 124:3,8
163:2 165:18	164:2	12:13,16,18 14:4	118:1,12 126:6,18	135:4 137:1 138:2
170:16,16 171:15	tall 107:12	15:2 45:22 50:2	126:20 127:1	138:20 140:20
172:13 177:15	tell 15:10 17:19	50:18 52:3 56:10	129:4 131:22	157:6 161:10
178:7 183:15,17	19:20 27:5 33:16	61:19 66:22 88:21	132:17 136:22	184:13 186:6,16
188:12 192:14	47:16 48:4 59:15	89:9 98:8 121:4	137:14 138:1	186:17 189:14,16
200:15	47.10 40.4 39.13	125:20 131:17	139:19 143:7	189:16,16,19

		1	l	l
190:1 192:11,19	treatment 54:5	<b>type</b> 28:5 41:5,6,7	unlawfully 91:2,3	199:2,11
192:21 193:2	86:5	41:10 86:12,14,21	unnamed 185:21	vomiting 185:2
197:5	tree 181:8	87:20 88:3	unrelated 31:18	<b>vote</b> 8:15 9:8 10:16
timely 200:10	<b>trial</b> 76:20 77:1,3	<b>typing</b> 41:11	<b>Unruly</b> 63:4,8	130:15 131:8
times 147:2 150:10	<b>tried</b> 40:16 90:19	<b>Tyrone</b> 12:22	198:22	206:17 207:12
179:3 187:5	91:1,3 94:12	194:16	<b>upper</b> 55:18	***
title 5:3 55:16	182:2 185:5	<b>T-A-B-L-E</b> 2:1	<b>upset</b> 144:18,18	<u>W</u>
today 9:15 11:2	triggered 203:19	<b>t/a</b> 1:10	189:11	waited 104:22
12:19 14:3,7,15	trouble 153:13		upstairs 102:14	<b>waiting</b> 105:9
31:19 52:17,20	true 13:13 54:7	<u>U</u>	107:11	107:10
65:5 76:5,21	64:20 65:13 67:19	uh 184:18	use 110:10	waive 6:17 205:14
121:10,21 124:18	67:20 68:2,6	ultimate 129:19	<b>usual</b> 107:3	205:16,19
131:18 135:8	76:12 157:12,21	ultimately 52:7	usually 170:12	waiving 205:17
161:12 190:2	158:7 197:6	<b>Um-hum</b> 113:3	utilize 85:7	walk 9:10 108:18
191:14 193:19	trust 127:8 128:2	142:1	-	walked 22:1,2
205:5 207:16	<b>truth</b> 15:10,10,11	unaware 53:8		88:19 107:7,8
told 21:12 27:14	50:3 133:12,12	<b>uncommon</b> 150:13	v 201:8	108:8,17 195:21
31:17 33:13 35:19	152:17,18,18	unconscious 22:9	vacate 81:12	walkie 104:16
36:13 38:11 51:1	197:12,14	24:16 40:18 76:7	vacated 74:14	walkie-talkie
51:2,20 52:3,5,5	<b>try</b> 32:18 34:10	76:8	vacating 105:20	108:20
54:10 59:19 61:4	115:3 121:21	uncontroverted	value 200:16	walking 20:17
61:8 70:11,15	161:16 170:10	195:9	various 123:19	21:21 22:11 40:5
87:18 92:1 95:9	193:15	uncooperative	185:15	40:15 49:15 62:3
95:18 102:16	<b>trying</b> 32:22 81:3	28:15 81:5	veracity 127:2	walks 112:16
104:12,13 107:14	88:14 92:4 106:6	undergo 38:12	verbatim 79:12	want 6:12,18 9:14
109:7,8 111:3	107:21 109:21	understand 33:8	148:20 149:3	13:6 17:2 25:16
112:18 139:17	111:20 127:2,15	58:7 68:1,18	victim 23:9 46:4,5	25:20 26:7 33:12
165:18,20 167:2,4	137:7,21 139:22	88:14 92:5 101:6	48:10,19 49:9,11	35:11 54:20 82:10
169:11 175:22	158:21 162:13	109:11 121:15	50:13 54:11 59:17	84:7 90:6 100:11
180:17 183:6,14	167:7,10 172:15	123:13 131:16	63:17 75:15 87:7	106:6 114:22
188:11,22 189:5	179:22 180:13	151:21 182:2	90:18 180:10	119:7 120:2
189:17 200:20	195:8	191:15 203:6	181:7 204:14,15	122:16 124:15
202:9	turn 18:21 138:2	understanding	victim's 86:1	128:5 129:6 132:3
tonight 205:6	177:16 180:11	65:5 139:21 170:9	view 15:2 64:22	144:2 149:12
207:16	turned 40:9 77:12	170:9	65:3 132:11	167:8,16 170:14
totally 115:16	100:19 109:10	understood 103:15	violated 12:2,9	172:12 177:3
trading 3:5 11:3	<b>Turning</b> 134:13	103:20 104:5,14	Violating 11:13	181:2 192:7 197:3
training 19:11 20:2	two 3:21 13:17 14:6	105:7 108:6,12,15	<b>violation</b> 4:6,9	203:7 205:14,15
41:8 47:3	29:11 34:6 97:7	114:13 115:14	11:11,22 12:5	wanted 30:2 36:3
transpired 33:22	119:7 131:8	116:17 117:3	14:4,10 80:17	80:16 101:15
39:9 41:14 53:9	135:12,16,18	144:3 173:19	132:7 143:8 198:7	119:15 139:21
89:13 93:21 94:3	144:4,12,14 147:2	Unfortunately 67:4	violations 4:11,12	146:15 147:15
111:6,10,19 113:2	154:20 160:4	uniform 101:10,12	5:3,9,11,13,18 6:5	148:11 150:12
transpiring 141:13	161:21 173:11,13	109:16 117:20	13:17 14:2	163:1
treated 5:20 21:5	184:8	118:5	violence 198:12	wants 10:1
treating 37:22	two-minute 119:5	<b>unlawful</b> 1:13 11:8	200:13 203:17	warning 85:6
38:10	<b>two-page</b> 163:4	90:15,19	<b>violent</b> 63:17,19	warrant 80:16

Washington 1.10		61.2 10 14 22	144.5 100.4 6 10	161.15 172.17 19
Washington 1:19	we've 120:6 124:6	61:3,10,14,22	144:5 188:4,6,10	161:15 173:17,18
18:7	195:12 204:11	62:8,11,17 63:1,6	195:13 196:3	worked 161:18,21
wasn't 29:21 38:5	whatsoever 49:1	63:12,18 64:1,8	199:8,9 200:19	working 11:20 25:9
47:16 48:2,7	58:19 59:9 202:5	64:13,17,22 65:3	wish 149:3	25:18,21 26:12
50:17 53:22 56:22	white 115:16	69:11,15,18,20	withdraw 135:11	27:13,18 74:21
58:10 64:2 78:5	117:10	70:2,6,19 71:3,6	witness 13:6 15:3	87:15 112:1
86:11 88:16 98:9	wide 128:19	71:17,19 72:4,12	21:3,12,22 24:11	114:15 117:13
98:13,17 107:22	Williams 2:6 12:21	72:16,18,21 73:3	31:16,20 35:2,4	134:10 159:10
108:1 109:1	15:4,9,12,14,18	73:9,13,19 74:2,9	39:18 40:2,13,20	160:22 170:17
110:11 143:16	15:19 16:1,3,9,12	74:13,18,22 75:4	41:12,14,18 42:2	172:14
156:18 158:12	17:18,21 18:5,9	75:8 77:6,11,14	45:12 46:14,16	worry 80:20 105:13
160:15 161:13	18:14,19 19:3,7	77:21 78:8,12,19	47:15 48:3,7,13	109:8
170:15 173:5,11	19:10,15,18,22	79:4,7,11 80:8	48:19 49:3,4,18	wouldn't 46:7
180:11 181:17	20:10 21:3,11,18	81:21 82:6,8,9,12	50:16 51:2,4,20	51:12 54:4 57:6
water 11:17 59:16	22:5,20 23:2,9,13	82:15,18,22 83:4	60:5,5 61:21 62:1	63:9 71:18 73:11
168:22 189:10,11	23:20 24:3,10,19	83:11,17 84:2,5	62:12,22 64:2	75:4,6 90:22
waved 100:14	25:5,12,14 26:5	84:16,20 85:13,21	67:11,17,19 71:4	118:7 128:16
101:5	26:10,19 27:2,10	86:2,18 87:9,13	71:14 72:22 76:5	171:5,7
way 50:1,8 52:1,2	28:2,19 29:5,16	88:6,9,12 89:3,11	76:14,16,16 78:15	<b>wound</b> 86:3
53:11 64:6 92:9	29:19 30:5 32:8	89:18 90:12 91:10	78:21 83:15,19,22	woven 204:18
101:12,14,22	33:17 34:3,20	92:11,16,19,22	85:3 93:16 94:10	write 19:5 42:22
106:9 108:22	35:3,7,14,18 36:3	93:9,14 94:9,19	94:12 97:16 121:3	43:4 151:11
109:19 123:4	36:12,16 37:1,4,6	95:5,7,14,19,22	134:1 140:12	163:15,17 164:5
126:19 164:6,9	37:10,15,20 38:9	96:7,11,15,19	146:20 147:1	166:5 186:21,22
168:6 204:22	38:16,19,22 39:7	97:3,5,6,13,15,17	152:5 157:18	187:1,3
weight 132:16	39:17,21 40:13,21	98:7,10,15,19	159:21 160:1	written 43:13
162:18	41:4,16,19,22	99:1,5,21 100:4	195:15 200:18,19	66:20 124:5 158:6
welcome 126:9	42:4,6,9,13,16,21	101:2,9,14,18	204:8	163:14 186:13,16
went 26:6,19,20	43:2,5,10,14,18	102:3,9,13,22	witnessed 30:10	wrong 40:15
27:2 37:17 42:19	43:22 44:4,12,16	103:3,8,11,16,21	34:13 35:20 40:4	184:14
80:9 85:22 89:22	44:20 45:1,3,6,17	104:6,20 105:5,8	49:5,16,16 62:4	wrote 43:8,10
94:11 98:11	45:18,20 46:1,7	105:17 106:3,17	witnesses 2:5 12:8	57:16 90:14 151:2
104:21 108:22	46:11,15,19 47:1	106:20 107:2,6,9	12:20 20:20 46:10	
111:9 112:1	47:5,8,10,17,21	107:22 108:8,13	46:18,20 61:20	164:10 182:21
113:15,16,18	48:6,12,16,20	108:16 109:5,14	62:10 79:22 81:20	184:10
114:14 116:15	49:2,5,10,14,21	109:19 110:6,17	85:1 93:13 94:6	<b>T</b> 7
117:2 125:11	50:4,9,15,20 51:3	110:19 111:2	119:3 121:20	Y
137:20 182:22	51:7,12,17,22	112:21 113:3,7,11	122:22 129:16	Yeah 82:3 173:15
weren't 53:13	52:4,9,13,18,21	113:16,20 114:2,6	133:7	184:18 186:18
129:12 131:18	53:3,7,16,19 54:1	114:9,16,18 115:3	woman 60:21	188:22
156:17	54:6,12,15,19	115:8,11,20 116:2	wondering 80:15	year 195:6
<b>we'll</b> 17:7 69:6	55:4,7,11 56:3,7	116:9,11,14,21	97:15	years 16:5,9,10
127:9 131:9	56:14,18 57:1,6	117:4,14,18,21	word 103:6	141:7,8 161:21
150:10 194:7	57:15,18 58:5,11	118:7 119:1	words 165:18 167:4	young 60:20
205:16	58:13,16,20 59:2	135:22 137:16,20	work 43:4,19 44:2	107:18
we're 124:6 131:13	59:6,10,13,18,21	138:4,19 139:7	104:9 135:3	7
144:20 150:7,11	60:2,13,18,22	140:1,6 141:19	151:17 161:9,10	<u>Z</u>
				<b>zero</b> 9:8 10:17,17
	·		-	•

67.20.21.122.5	17 2.10 10	120.11 195.10 10		
67:20,21 133:5 196:9 207:12,12	<b>17</b> 2:18,18 <b>190</b> 2:9	129:11 185:10,10 185:14 186:2	9	
190:9 207:12,12	<b>190</b> 2:9 <b>192</b> 2:9		9 4:3 12:3,20 14:5	
<u></u>	<b>192</b> 2:9 <b>193</b> 2:3	201:13 202:13	18:15 19:18	
<b>þ</b> 1:6,15	<b>193</b> 2:3 <b>198</b> 2:4	<b>3:40</b> 150:21 <b>32</b> 4:16	134:10 156:6	
<b>p</b> 1.0,13	190 2.4	32 4.10	184:11	
\$	2	4	<b>9th</b> 154:1 157:9	
<b>\$1,000</b> 4:5 5:17	<b>2</b> 2:15 4:7 11:13	<b>4</b> 201:15	173:8	
<b>\$2,000</b> 4:7,8 5:17	12:12,17 15:1,6	<b>4:33</b> 3:2	90 207:18	
<b>\$5,000</b> 4:5	30:16 54:22 55:2	<b>4:42</b> 9:11	<b>911</b> 22:12 40:3	
	55:20 67:10 68:16	<b>4:51</b> 9:12	46:12 47:13 62:2	
#	96:21 119:17	<b>405</b> 8:2 130:4	167:5,17,19 168:2	
<b>#10-251-00245</b> 1:8	120:12,21 156:1	205:11 206:3	168:10,17,22	
4:2 8:5 130:7	168:9 201:12	<b>405(b)(13)</b> 206:12	169:4,9,12 171:11	
206:7,11	206:10	<b>405(b)(4)</b> 206:8	171:16 172:19	
<b>#11</b> 4:17	<b>2D</b> 38:3 39:2	<b>45</b> 2:6	176:15 178:12,20	
#11-251-00146	<b>2nd</b> 15:21 40:22		182:18 183:7,15	
3:22 8:5 130:7	41:3 42:19 201:10	5	183:20 184:16	
206:8,11	<b>2:35</b> 18:16	<b>5</b> 83:9 178:15	194:11 199:20	
# <b>60138</b> 1:11 3:6	<b>2000</b> 1:18	179:15,16 180:20	204:3,3	
	<b>2001</b> 4:7 5:5,8,14	182:10,17 201:16		
1	<b>2010</b> 4:3 6:22 8:3	203:3		
1 2:18,22 4:5 11:7	12:3,20 14:5,22	<b>5:00</b> 196:11		
12:12,16 16:14	18:15 19:19 130:5	<b>5:04</b> 194:18,19,22		
17:15 83:14 121:3	134:10 154:1	195:4 197:21		
154:7,10 155:2,20	156:6 157:9 164:2			
201:11 206:6	164:2 184:11	6		
<b>10</b> 16:5 137:15	206:4,9,13 207:17	<b>6</b> 2:2 55:1,3,18		
142:3,6,9 201:10	<b>2011</b> 4:1 7:2 11:11	56:12 57:12 64:14		
10th 174:5	11:14 12:15 13:14	64:19 66:5 67:8		
<b>10-251-00245</b> 3:4	<b>2012</b> 1:16	68:15 70:22 72:3		
<b>1010</b> 201:10	<b>23</b> 5:10	82:11 84:15 85:10		
11-251-001-00146	<b>25</b> 1:16 5:3,4 11:11	90:10,11 127:22		
1:9 <b>11-251-00146</b> 3:4	132:7	<b>6:18</b> 119:11		
<b>11-251-00140</b> 5:4 <b>120</b> 2:17	<b>25th</b> 130:11	<b>6:20</b> 119:12		
<b>1300</b> 20:4 24:5	<b>25-823(2)</b> 4:6	<b>6:33</b> 131:11		
<b>130</b> 20.4 24.3 <b>132</b> 2:6	<b>25-823(6)</b> 4:9 12:1	<b>6:57</b> 131:12		
<b>1343</b> 1:10 3:5 18:6	12:5 132:10	<b>60</b> 4:10		
14th 1:18	<b>25-830(c)(1)</b> 5:14	<b>606</b> 201:9		
<b>140</b> 2:6	<b>25-830(f)</b> 5:8	8		
<b>140</b> 2.6 <b>142</b> 2:6	<b>251</b> 18:1	<b>8</b> 194:16		
<b>15</b> 2:6,17	<b>251-00146</b> 4:18	<b>8:03</b> 207:21		
<b>150</b> 2:6	<b>27</b> 4:1 11:11,14	<b>800</b> 5:11		
<b>152</b> 2:9	12:15	<b>823</b> (1) 5:5		
153 2:22		<b>823(2)</b> 11:12		
155 2:22	3	<b>823(6)</b> 132:8		
<b>158</b> 2:9	3 2:2 4:8 6:22 12:2	0 <b>2</b> 3(0) 132.0		
150 2.7	122:5 125:7			
	•	•	•	•